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#### Abstract

We establish the existence of stationary solutions for certain systems of reactiondiffusion type equations in the corresponding $H^{2}$ spaces. Our method relies on the fixed point theorem when the elliptic problem contains second order differential operators with and without the Fredholm property, which may depend on the outcome of the competition between the natality and the mortality rates involved in the equations of the systems.
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## 1 Introduction

Let us recall that a linear operator $L$ acting from a Banach space $E$ into another Banach space $F$ has the Fredholm property if its image is closed, the dimension of its kernel and the codimension of its image are finite. As a consequence, the problem $L u=f$ is solvable if and only if $\phi_{k}(f)=0$ for a finite number of functionals $\phi_{k}$ from the dual space $F^{*}$. These properties of the Fredholm operators are widely used in various methods of the linear and nonlinear analysis.
Elliptic equations considered in bounded domains with a sufficiently smooth boundary satisfy the Fredholm property when the ellipticity condition, proper ellipticity and Lopatinskii conditions are fulfilled (see e.g. [1], [19], [21]), which is the main result of the theory of linear elliptic problems. When dealing with unbounded domains, these conditions may not be sufficient and the Fredholm property may not be satisfied. For example, for the Laplace
operator, $L u=\Delta u$ considered in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ the Fredholm property does not hold when the problem is studied either in Hölder spaces, such that $L: \mathbb{C}^{2+\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\alpha}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ or in Sobolev spaces, $L: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.
For linear elliptic equations studied in unbounded domains the Fredholm property is satisfied if and only if, in addition to the conditions stated above, the limiting operators are invertible (see [22]). In some simple cases, the limiting operators can be constructed explicitly. For example, when

$$
L u=a(x) u^{\prime \prime}+b(x) u^{\prime}+c(x) u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},
$$

with the coefficients of the operator having limits at infinity,

$$
a_{ \pm}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} a(x), \quad b_{ \pm}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} b(x), \quad c_{ \pm}=\lim _{x \rightarrow \pm \infty} c(x),
$$

the limiting operators are given by

$$
L_{ \pm} u=a_{ \pm} u^{\prime \prime}+b_{ \pm} u^{\prime}+c_{ \pm} u
$$

Since the coefficients here are constants, the essential spectrum of the operator, which is the set of complex numbers $\lambda$ for which the operator $L-\lambda$ does not possess the Fredholm property, can be found explicitly via the standard Fourier transform, such that

$$
\lambda_{ \pm}(\xi)=-a_{ \pm} \xi^{2}+b_{ \pm} i \xi+c_{ \pm}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The limiting operators are invertible if and only if the origin does not belong to the essential spectrum.
For the general elliptic equations the analogous assertions are valid. The Fredholm property is satisfied if the essential spectrum does not contain the origin or when the limiting operators are invertible. Such conditions may not be written explicitly.
For the non-Fredholm operators we may not apply the standard solvability conditions and in a general case the solvability relations are not known. However, the solvability conditions were obtained recently for some classes of operators. For example, consider the following problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
L u \equiv \Delta u+a u=f \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $\mathbb{R}^{d}, d \in \mathbb{N}$ with a positive constant $a$. Here the operator $L$ and its limiting operators coincide. The corresponding homogeneous problem has a nontrivial bounded solution, such that the Fredholm property is not satisfied. Since the differential operator involved in (1.1) has constant coefficients, we are able to find the solution explicitly by applying the standard Fourier transform. In Lemmas 5 and 6 of [31] we derived the following solvability relations. Let $f(x) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $x f(x) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. Then equation (1.1) has a unique solution in $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\left(f(x), \frac{e^{i p x}}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=0, \quad p \in S_{\sqrt{a}}^{d} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Here and below $S_{r}^{d}$ denotes the sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ of radius $r$ centered at the origin. Thus, although the Fredholm property is not satisfied for the operator, we are able to formulate
the solvability relations similarly. Note that this similarity is only formal because the range of the operator is not closed. In the case when the operator involves a scalar potential, such that

$$
H u \equiv \Delta u+b(x) u=f
$$

we cannot apply the standard Fourier transform directly. However, the solvability relations in three dimensions can be obtained by virtue of the spectral and the scattering theory of Schrödinger type operators (see [27]). As in the constant coefficient case, the solvability conditions are expressed in terms of orthogonality to the solutions of the adjoint homogeneous equation. The solvability relations for several other examples of non-Fredholm linear elliptic operators were derived (see [11], [13], [22], [23], [27], [26], [29], [24], [30], [31]).
Solvability conditions are crucial in the analysis of the nonlinear elliptic problems. When then non-Fredholm operators are involved, in spite of some progress in the studies of the linear equations, the nonlinear non-Fredholm operators were analyzed only in few examples (see [6], [7], [8], [10], [12], [13], [14], [28], [31]). Evidently, this situation can be explained by the fact that the majority of the methods of linear and nonlinear analysis rely on the Fredholm property. Fredholm structures, topological invariants and applications were covered in [9]. In the present article we study certain systems of nonlinear integrodifferential reaction-diffusion type equations, for which the Fredholm property may not be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t}=\Delta u_{k}+\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(u_{1}(y, t), u_{2}(y, t), \ldots, u_{N_{2}}(y, t), y\right) d y+a_{k} u_{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial t}=\Delta u_{k}+\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(u_{1}(y, t), u_{2}(y, t), \ldots, u_{N_{2}}(y, t), y\right) d y-a_{k} u_{k} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Here $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{N_{2}}$ are nonnegative if $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$ and they are strictly positive when $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Our domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad d=1,2,3$ which are the more physically relevant dimensions. Note that equations (1.2) describe the situation in the Population Dynamics in the Mathematical Biology when the natality rates are higher than the mortality ones for $a_{k}>0$ and the cases when the mortality and natality rates balance each other for $a_{k}=0$. On the other hand, equations (1.3) are important for understanding the situation when the mortality rates are higher than the natality ones. In the Population Dynamics the integro-differential problems are used to describe biological systems with the intra-specific competition and the nonlocal consumption of resources (see e.g. [2], [4], [15], [25]). The stability issues for travelling fronts of reaction- diffusion type equations with the essential spectrum of the linearized operator crossing the imaginary axis were also addressed in [3] and [16]. Note that the single equation of (1.2) type has been studied in [28]. The reaction-diffusion type problems in which in the diffusion term the Laplacian is replaced by the nonlocal operator with an integral kernel were discussed in [20].
The nonlinear terms of our system (1.2), (1.3) will satisfy the following regularity requirements.

Assumption 1.1. Let $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Functions $F_{k}(u, x): \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}} \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are satisfying the Caratheodory condition (see [18]), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}} F_{k}^{2}(u, x)} \leq K|u|_{\mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}}+h(x) \quad \text { for } \quad u \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}, \quad x \in \Omega \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $K>0$ and $h(x): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}, \quad h(x) \in L^{2}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, they are Lipschitz continuous functions, so that for any $u^{(1),(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}, \quad x \in \Omega$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left(F_{k}\left(u^{(1)}, x\right)-F_{k}\left(u^{(2)}, x\right)\right)^{2}} \leq L\left|u^{(1)}-u^{(2)}\right|_{\mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $L>0$.
Here and below we use the notations for a vector $u:=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{N_{2}}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$ and its norm $|u|_{\mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}}:=\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}} u_{k}^{2}}$. The solvability of a local elliptic equation in a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ was studied in [5]. The nonlinear function there was allowed to have a sublinear growth. Clearly, the stationary solutions of system (1.2), (1.3), if any exist, will satisfy the system of nonlocal elliptic equations

$$
\Delta u_{k}+\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(u_{1}(y), u_{2}(y), \ldots, u_{N_{2}}(y), y\right) d y+a_{k} u_{k}=0, \quad a_{k} \geq 0
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\Delta u_{k}+\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(u_{1}(y), u_{2}(y), \ldots, u_{N_{2}}(y), y\right) d y-a_{k} u_{k}=0, \quad a_{k}>0
$$

for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. For the technical purposes we introduce the auxiliary semi-linear problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}-a_{k} u_{k}=\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}(y), v_{2}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}+a_{k} u_{k}=\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}(y), v_{2}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k}>0 \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. We designate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}:=\int_{\Omega} f_{1}(x) \bar{f}_{2}(x) d x \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a slight abuse of notations in the case when these functions are not square integrable in $\Omega$, like for example those used in the orthogonality relations of the assumption below.

Indeed, if $f_{1}(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and $f_{2}(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then the integral in the right side of (1.8) is well defined. Let us begin the article with the studies of the whole space case, such that $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and the corresponding Sobolev space is equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}:=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}\right\}
$$

where $u(x): \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$. The primary obstacle in solving system (1.6), (1.7) is that operators $-\Delta-a_{k}: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), a_{k} \geq 0$ involved in its first part fail to satisfy the Fredholm property. The analogous situations in linear equations, which can be self- adjoint or non selfadjoint containing the non-Fredholm second, fourth and sixth order differential operators or even systems of equations including the non-Fredholm operators have been studied actively in recent years (see [11], [27], [26], [29], [24], [30]). We manage to establish that system of equations (1.6), (1.7) defines a map $T_{a}: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, which is a strict contraction under the stated technical conditions. We make the following assumption on the integral kernels involved in the nonlocal parts of system (1.6), (1.7).

Assumption 1.2. Let $G_{k}(x): \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), 1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, 1 \leq d \leq 3$ and $1 \leq m \leq N_{1}-1, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $N_{1} \geq 2, N_{2}>N_{1}$.
I) Let $a_{k}>0,1 \leq k \leq m$, assume that $x G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(G_{k}(x), \frac{e^{ \pm i \sqrt{a_{k}} x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}=0 \quad \text { if } \quad d=1 .  \tag{1.9}\\
\left(G_{k}(x), \frac{e^{i p x}}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad p \in S_{\sqrt{a_{k}}}^{d} \quad \text { if } \quad d=2,3 . \tag{1.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

II) Let $a_{k}=0, m+1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$, assume that $x^{2} G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{k}(x), 1\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad\left(G_{k}(x), x_{s}\right)_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}=0, \quad 1 \leq s \leq d \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

III) Let $a_{k}>0, N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$.

Let us use the hat symbol here and below to denote the standard Fourier transform, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{G_{k}}(p):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{k}(x) e^{-i p x} d x, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{G_{k}}(p)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the following auxiliary quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}-a_{k}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},\left\|\frac{p^{2} \widehat{G_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}-a_{k}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
M_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},\left\|\widehat{G_{k}}(p)\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right\}, \quad m+1 \leq k \leq N_{1} . \\
M_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}+a_{k}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)},\left\|\frac{p^{2} \widehat{G_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}+a_{k}}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}\right\}, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} . \tag{1.16}
\end{array}
$$

Evidently, expressions (1.14) and (1.15) are finite by virtue of Lemma A1 in one dimension and Lemma A2 for $d=2,3$ of [28] under Assumption 1.2 above. It can be easily verified that (1.16) are finite as well. Indeed, for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ using (1.13), we have

$$
\left|\frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}+a_{k}}\right| \leq \frac{\left|\widehat{G_{k}}(p)\right|}{a_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} a_{k}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}<\infty
$$

as assumed. Similarly, via (1.13)

$$
\left|\frac{p^{2} \widehat{G_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}+a_{k}}\right| \leq\left|\widehat{G_{k}}(p)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}<\infty
$$

such that $M_{k}<\infty$ for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ as well. This enables us to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
M:=\max M_{k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $M_{k}$ given by (1.14), (1.15) and (1.16). We have the following statement.
Theorem 1.3. Let $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}, d=1,2,3$, Assumptions 1.1 and 1.2 hold and $\sqrt{2}(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} M L<1$.
Then the map $T_{a} v=u$ on $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ defined by the system of equations (1.6), (1.7) has a unique fixed point $v_{a}(x): \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$, which is the only stationary solution of problem (1.2), (1.3) in $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$.

This fixed point $v_{a}(x)$ is nontrivial provided the intersection of supports of the Fourier transforms of functions supp $\widehat{F_{k}(0, x)}(p) \cap \operatorname{supp} \widehat{G_{k}}(p)$ is a set of nonzero Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for a certain $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$.

Let us turn our attention to the studies of the analogous system of equations on the interval $\Omega=I:=[0,2 \pi]$ with periodic boundary conditions for the solution vector function and its first derivative. We assume the following about the integral kernels involved in the nonlocal parts of problem (1.6), (1.7) in this case.

Assumption 1.4. Let $G_{k}(x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}(I)$ with $G_{k}(0)=G_{k}(2 \pi), 1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$, where $N_{1} \geq 3,1 \leq m<q \leq N_{1}-1, m, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N_{2}>N_{1}$.
I) Let $a_{k}>0$ and $a_{k} \neq n^{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad$ if $\quad 1 \leq k \leq m$.
II) Let $a_{k}=n_{k}^{2}, \quad n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{k}(x), \frac{e^{ \pm i n_{k} x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)_{L^{2}(I)}=0 \quad \text { if } \quad m+1 \leq k \leq q \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

III) Let $a_{k}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{k}(x), 1\right)_{L^{2}(I)}=0 \quad \text { for } \quad q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1} . \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

IV) Let $a_{k}>0, N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$.

Let $F_{k}(u, 0)=F_{k}(u, 2 \pi)$ for $u \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$ and $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$.
For the function on our $[0,2 \pi]$ interval, $G_{k}(x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G_{k}(0)=G_{k}(2 \pi)$, we introduce the Fourier transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k, n}:=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{k}(x) \frac{e^{-i n x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} d x, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that

$$
G_{k}(x)=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} G_{k, n} \frac{e^{i n x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}
$$

Clearly, the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|G_{k, n}\right\|_{l^{\infty}} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(I)} \tag{1.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

is valid. Let us define the following technical expressions

$$
\begin{gather*}
P_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{G_{k, n}}{n^{2}-a_{k}}\right\|_{l^{\infty}},\left\|\frac{n^{2} G_{k, n}}{n^{2}-a_{k}}\right\|_{l^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m .  \tag{1.22}\\
P_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{G_{k, n}}{n^{2}-n_{k}^{2}}\right\|_{l^{\infty}},\left\|\frac{n^{2} G_{k, n}}{n^{2}-n_{k}^{2}}\right\|_{l^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad m+1 \leq k \leq q .  \tag{1.23}\\
P_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{G_{k, n}}{n^{2}}\right\|_{l^{\infty}},\left\|G_{k, n}\right\|_{l^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1} .  \tag{1.24}\\
P_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{G_{k, n}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}\right\|_{l^{\infty}},\left\|\frac{n^{2} G_{k, n}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}\right\|_{l^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} . \tag{1.25}
\end{gather*}
$$

By virtue of Lemma A3 of [28] under our Assumption 1.4 the quantities given by (1.22), (1.23) and (1.24) are finite. It can be trivially checked that (1.25) are finite as well. Evidently, for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ by means of (1.21) we obtain

$$
\left|\frac{G_{k, n}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}\right| \leq \frac{\left|G_{k, n}\right|}{a_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{a_{k} \sqrt{2 \pi}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(I)}<\infty
$$

via the one of our assumptions. Using (1.21), we derive

$$
\left|\frac{n^{2} G_{k, n}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}\right| \leq\left|G_{k, n}\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(I)}<\infty .
$$

Thus, $P_{k}<\infty$ if $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ as well. This allows us to define

$$
\begin{equation*}
P:=\max P_{k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{2} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $P_{k}$ given by formulas (1.22), (1.23), (1.24) and (1.25). For the purpose of the studies of the existence of stationary solutions of our system we use the corresponding function space

$$
H^{2}(I)=\left\{v(x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid v(x), v^{\prime \prime}(x) \in L^{2}(I), \quad v(0)=v(2 \pi), \quad v^{\prime}(0)=v^{\prime}(2 \pi)\right\},
$$

aiming at $u_{k}(x) \in H^{2}(I), 1 \leq k \leq m$ and $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ as well. Let us use the following auxiliary constrained subspaces

$$
H_{k}^{2}(I):=\left\{v \in H^{2}(I) \left\lvert\,\left(v(x), \frac{e^{ \pm i n_{k} x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)_{L^{2}(I)}=0\right.\right\}, \quad n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m+1 \leq k \leq q
$$

with the goal of having $u_{k}(x) \in H_{k}^{2}(I), m+1 \leq k \leq q$. Also,

$$
H_{0}^{2}(I):=\left\{v \in H^{2}(I) \mid(v(x), 1)_{L^{2}(I)}=0\right\} .
$$

Our goal is to have $u_{k}(x) \in H_{0}^{2}(I), q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$. The constrained subspaces introduced above are Hilbert spaces as well (see e.g. Chapter 2.1 of [17]). The resulting space used for establishing the existence of solutions $u(x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$ of system (1.6), (1.7) will be the direct sum of the spaces given above, so that

$$
H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right):=\oplus_{k=1}^{m} H^{2}(I) \oplus_{k=m+1}^{q} H_{k}^{2}(I) \oplus_{k=q+1}^{N_{1}} H_{0}^{2}(I) \oplus_{k=N_{1}+1}^{N_{2}} H^{2}(I)
$$

The corresponding Sobolev norm is given by

$$
\|u\|_{H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}:=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}+\left\|u_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2}\right\}
$$

with $u(x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$. We establish that the system of equations (1.6), (1.7) in such case defines a map on the space given above, which will be a strict contraction under the stated conditions.

Theorem 1.5. Let $\Omega=I$, Assumptions 1.1 and 1.4 hold and $2 \sqrt{\pi} P L<1$.
Then the map $\tau_{a} v=u$ on $H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ defined by the system of equations (1.6), (1.7) has a unique fixed point $v_{a}(x): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$, the only stationary solution of problem (1.2), (1.3) in $H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$.
This fixed point $v_{a}(x)$ is nontrivial provided the Fourier coefficients $G_{k,{ }_{n}} F_{k}(0, x)_{n} \neq 0$ for a certain $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ and some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Note that the constrained subspaces $H_{k}^{2}(I)$ and $H_{0}^{2}(I)$ involved in the direct sum of spaces $H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ are such that the Fredholm operators

$$
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}-n_{k}^{2}: H_{k}^{2}(I) \rightarrow L^{2}(I) \quad \text { and } \quad-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}: H_{0}^{2}(I) \rightarrow L^{2}(I)
$$

possess the trivial kernels.

We conclude the article with the studies of our system of equations in the layer domain, which is the product of the two sets, such that one is the $I$ interval with periodic boundary conditions as in the previous part of the work and another is the whole space of dimension either one or two, namely $\Omega=I \times \mathbb{R}^{d}=[0,2 \pi] \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, d=1,2$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right)$, with $x_{1} \in I$ and $x_{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. The cumulative Laplacian in this context will be $\Delta:=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\sum_{s=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{\perp, s}^{2}}$. The corresponding Sobolev space for our problem will be $H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ of vector functions $u(x): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$, so that for $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$

$$
u_{k}(x), \Delta u_{k}(x) \in L^{2}(\Omega), \quad u_{k}\left(0, x_{\perp}\right)=u_{k}\left(2 \pi, x_{\perp}\right), \quad \frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(0, x_{\perp}\right)=\frac{\partial u_{k}}{\partial x_{1}}\left(2 \pi, x_{\perp}\right)
$$

with $x_{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. It is equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\left\|\Delta u_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right\}
$$

Analogously to the whole space case discussed in Theorem 1.3 , the operators $-\Delta-a_{k}$ : $H^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ for $a_{k} \geq 0,1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$ do not possess the Fredholm property. Let us establish that problem (1.6), (1.7) in such case defines a map $t_{a}: H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, which is a strict contraction under the appropriate technical conditions stated below.

Assumption 1.6. Let $G_{k}(x): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega), G_{k}\left(0, x_{\perp}\right)=G_{k}\left(2 \pi, x_{\perp}\right)$ and $F_{k}\left(u, 0, x_{\perp}\right)=F_{k}\left(u, 2 \pi, x_{\perp}\right)$ for $x_{\perp} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, u \in \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}, d=1,2$ and $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Let $N_{1} \geq 3,1 \leq m<q \leq N_{1}-1$ with $m, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and $N_{2}>N_{1}$.
I) Assume for $1 \leq k \leq m$ we have $n_{k}^{2}<a_{k}<\left(n_{k}+1\right)^{2}, n_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, x_{\perp} G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(G_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right), \frac{e^{i n x_{1}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{e^{ \pm i \sqrt{a_{k}-n^{2}} x_{\perp}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \quad|n| \leq n_{k} \quad \text { for } \quad d=1  \tag{1.27}\\
\left(G_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right), \frac{e^{i n x_{1}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{e^{i p x_{\perp}}}{2 \pi}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \quad p \in S_{\sqrt{a_{k}-n^{2}}}^{2} \quad, \quad|n| \leq n_{k} \quad \text { for } \quad d=2 \tag{1.28}
\end{gather*}
$$

II) Assume for $m+1 \leq k \leq q$ we have $a_{k}=n_{k}^{2}, n_{k} \in \mathbb{N}, x_{\perp}^{2} G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(G_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right), \frac{e^{i n x_{1}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{e^{ \pm i \sqrt{n_{k}^{2}-n^{2}} x_{\perp}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \quad|n| \leq n_{k}-1 \text { for } d=1,  \tag{1.29}\\
\left(G_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right), \frac{e^{i n x_{1}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \frac{e^{i p x_{\perp}}}{2 \pi}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \quad p \in S_{\sqrt{n_{k}^{2}-n^{2}}}^{2}, \quad|n| \leq n_{k}-1 \quad \text { for } d=2,  \tag{1.30}\\
\left(G_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right), \frac{e^{ \pm i n_{k} x_{1}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \quad\left(G_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right), \frac{e^{ \pm i n_{k} x_{1}}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} x_{\perp, s}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \tag{1.31}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $1 \leq s \leq d$.
III) Assume for $q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$ we have $a_{k}=0, \quad x_{\perp}^{2} G_{k}(x) \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(G_{k}(x), 1\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \quad\left(G_{k}(x), x_{\perp, s}\right)_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=0, \quad 1 \leq s \leq d \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

IV) Let $a_{k}>0, N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$.

Let us use the Fourier transform for the functions on such a product of sets, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p):=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} d x_{\perp} e^{-i p x_{\perp}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right) e^{-i n x_{1}} d x_{1} \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}}:=\sup _{\left\{p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}}\left|\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We introduce the following auxiliary expressions

$$
\begin{gather*}
R_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}-a_{k}}\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}},\left\|\frac{\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) \widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}-a_{k}}\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m .  \tag{1.35}\\
R_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}-n_{k}^{2}}\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}},\left\|\frac{\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) \widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}-n_{k}^{2}}\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad m+1 \leq k \leq q .  \tag{1.36}\\
R_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}}\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}},\left\|\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1} .  \tag{1.37}\\
R_{k}:=\max \left\{\left\|\frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}+a_{k}}\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}},\left\|\frac{\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) \widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}+a_{k}}\right\|_{L_{n, p}^{\infty}}\right\}, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} . \tag{1.38}
\end{gather*}
$$

Assumption 1.6 along with Lemmas A4, A5 and A6 of [28] yield that the quantities given by (1.35), (1.36) and (1.37) are finite. It can be trivially checked that (1.38) are finite as well. Obviously, for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ by virtue of (1.34) we have

$$
\left|\frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}+a_{k}}\right| \leq \frac{\left|\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)\right|}{a_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} a_{k}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}<\infty
$$

due to the one of our assumptions. Using (1.34), we arrive at

$$
\left|\frac{\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) \widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}+a_{k}}\right| \leq\left|\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)\right| \leq \frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}}}\left\|G_{k}\right\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}<\infty
$$

so that $R_{k}<\infty$ for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ as well. Thus, we can define

$$
\begin{equation*}
R:=\max R_{k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{2} \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $R_{k}$ given in (1.35), (1.36), (1.37) and (1.38). Our final proposition is as follows.
Theorem 1.7. Let $\Omega=I \times \mathbb{R}^{d}, d=1,2$, Assumptions 1.1 and 1.6 hold and $\sqrt{2}(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} R L<$ 1. Then the map $t_{a} v=u$ on $H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ defined by system (1.6), (1.7) has a unique fixed point $v_{a}(x): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}$, which is the only stationary solution of the system of equations (1.2), (1.3) in $H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. This fixed point $v_{a}(x)$ is nontrivial provided that the intersection of supports of the Fourier transforms of the functions supp $\left.\widehat{F_{k}(0, x}\right)_{n}(p) \cap \operatorname{supp} \widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)$ is a set of nonzero Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for a certain $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ and some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let us note that the maps considered in the theorems above are applied to the real valued vector functions by virtue of the conditions on $F_{k}(u, x)$ and $G_{k}(x), 1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ involved in the nonlocal terms of (1.6), (1.7).

## 2 The Problem in the Whole Space

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let us first suppose that in the case of $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{d}, d=1,2,3$ there exists $v(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ such that system (1.6), (1.7) admits two solutions $u^{(1),(2)}(x) \in$ $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. The the difference vector function $w(x):=u^{(1)}(x)-u^{(2)}(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ satisfies the homogeneous system of equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\Delta w_{k}=a_{k} w_{k}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1} \\
-\Delta w_{k}=-a_{k} w_{k}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since the operator $-\Delta: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ does not have any nontrivial eigenfunctions, we have that $w_{k}(x)$ vanishes in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ for all $k=1, \ldots, N_{2}$.
We consider an arbitrary vector function $v(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ and apply the standard Fourier transform (1.12) to both sides of system (1.6), (1.7). This gives us

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{u_{k}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p) \widehat{f_{k}}(p)}{p^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1},  \tag{2.1}\\
\widehat{u_{k}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p) \widehat{f}_{k}(p)}{p^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} . \tag{2.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\widehat{f}_{k}(p)$ denotes the Fourier image of $F_{k}(v(x), x)$. We have the trivial estimates using expressions (1.14), (1.15) (1.16) and (1.17), namely

$$
\left|\widehat{u_{k}}(p)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} M\left|\widehat{f_{k}}(p)\right| \quad \text { and } \quad\left|p^{2} \widehat{u_{k}}(p)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} M\left|\widehat{f_{k}}(p)\right|, 1 \leq k \leq N_{2}
$$

This gives us the upper bound for the norm as

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\left\|\widehat{u_{k}}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}+\left\|p^{2} \widehat{u_{k}}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}\right\} \leq \\
\leq 2(2 \pi)^{d} M^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\|F_{k}(v(x), x)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} . \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Clearly, the right side of (2.3) is finite via inequality (1.4) of Assumption 1.1 above. Thus, for any $v(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ there exists a unique vector function $u(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, which satisties system (1.6), (1.7) and its Fourier image is given by (2.1), (2.2). Hence, the map $T_{a}: H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ is well defined.
This enables us to choose arbitrarily $v^{(1),(2)}(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ and obtain their images under this map $u^{(1),(2)}:=T_{a} v^{(1),(2)} \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, such that by means of (1.6), (1.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(1)}-a_{k} u_{k}^{(1)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(1)}(y), v_{2}^{(1)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(1)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(1)}+a_{k} u_{k}^{(1)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(1)}(y), v_{2}^{(1)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(1)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k}>0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(2)}-a_{k} u_{k}^{(2)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(2)}(y), v_{2}^{(2)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(2)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(2)}+a_{k} u_{k}^{(2)}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(2)}(y), v_{2}^{(2)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(2)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k}>0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Let us apply the standard Fourier transform (1.12) to both sides of systems (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6), (2.7). This yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{u_{k}^{(1)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p) \widehat{f_{k}^{(1)}}(p)}{p^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1},  \tag{2.8}\\
\widehat{u_{k}^{(1)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p) \widehat{f_{k}^{(1)}}(p)}{p^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{u_{k}^{(2)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p) \widehat{f_{k}^{(2)}}(p)}{p^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{u_{k}^{(2)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k}}(p) \widehat{f_{k}^{(2)}}(p)}{p^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\widehat{f_{k}^{(1),(2)}}(p)$ stand for the Fourier images of $F_{k}\left(v^{(1),(2)}(x), x\right)$. From formulas (2.8), (2.9), (2.10), (2.11) via (1.14), (1.15) (1.16) and (1.17) we deduce easily the upper bounds for $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\widehat{u_{k}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{u_{k}^{(2)}}(p)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} M\left|\widehat{f_{k}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{f_{k}^{(2)}}(p)\right|, \\
\left|p^{2} \widehat{u_{k}^{(1)}}(p)-p^{2} \widehat{u_{k}^{(2)}}(p)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} M\left|\widehat{f_{k}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{f_{k}^{(2)}}(p)\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

This enables us to derive the estimate from above on the corresponding norm of the difference of vector functions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|u^{(1)}-u^{(2)}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\left\|\widehat{u_{k}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{u_{k}^{(2)}}(p)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}+\left\|p^{2}\left[\widehat{u_{k}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{u_{k}^{(2)}}(p)\right]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}\right\} \leq \\
\leq 2(2 \pi)^{d} M^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\|F_{k}\left(v^{(1)}(x), x\right)-F_{k}\left(v^{(2)}(x), x\right)\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2} . \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

By means of the Sobolev embedding theorem for $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ we have $v_{k}^{(1),(2)}(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \subset$ $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), 1 \leq d \leq 3$. Inequality (1.5) of Assumption 1.1 above trivially implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|T_{a} v^{(1)}-T_{a} v^{(2)}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)} \leq \sqrt{2}(2 \pi)^{\frac{d}{2}} M L\left\|v^{(1)}-v^{(2)}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant in the right side of (2.13) is less than one as assumed. Thus, the Fixed Point Theorem implies the existence of a unique vector function $v_{a}(x) \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, so that $T_{a} v_{a}=v_{a}$. This is the only stationary solution of system (1.2), (1.3) in $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. Finally, we suppose that $v_{a}(x)$ is trivial in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. This will give us the contradiction to our assumption that for a certain $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ the Fourier transforms of $G_{k}(x)$ and $F_{k}(0, x)$ do not vanish simultaneously on some set of nonzero Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

## 3 The Problem on the [0, 2 $\pi$ ] Interval

Proof of Theorem 1.5. First we suppose that for some $v(x) \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ there exist two solutions $u^{(1),(2)}(x) \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ of system (1.6), (1.7) with $\Omega=I$. Then the difference vector function $w(x):=u^{(1)}(x)-u^{(2)}(x) \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ will solve the homogeneous system of equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
-w_{k}^{\prime \prime}=a_{k} w_{k}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}  \tag{3.1}\\
-w_{k}^{\prime \prime}=-a_{k} w_{k}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

By means of Assumption 1.4, we have $a_{k}>0, a_{k} \neq n^{2}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$. Hence, they are not the eigenvalues of our operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}: H^{2}(I) \rightarrow L^{2}(I) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $w_{k}(x)$ are trivial in $I$ if $1 \leq k \leq m$. When $m+1 \leq k \leq q$ the values of $a_{k}$ coincide with the eigenvalues of (3.3) as assumed. But $w_{k}$ belong to the constraned subspaces $H_{k}^{2}(I)$. Therefore, $w_{k}$ vanish identically in $I$ if $m+1 \leq k \leq q$ due to their orthogonality to the eigenfunctions $\frac{e^{ \pm i n_{k} x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}$ of (3.3). By virtue of Assumption 1.4, the constants $a_{k}=0$ for $q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$. But $w_{k} \in H_{0}^{2}(I)$, so that they are orthogonal to the zero mode of our operator (3.3). Therefore, $w_{k}(x)$ are trivial in $I$ as well if $q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$. Finally, let us consider the situation when $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. But operator (3.3) cannot have any negative eigenvalues. Therefore, by means of (3.2) we have that $w_{k}(x)$ vanish identically in $I$ for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$.
We choose arbitrarily $v(x) \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. Let us apply the Fourier transform (1.20) to both sides of the system of equations (1.6), (1.7) considered on the interval $[0,2 \pi]$. This yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{k, n}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{G_{k, n} f_{k, n}}{n^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},  \tag{3.4}\\
u_{k, n}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{G_{k, n} f_{k, n}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

with $f_{k, n}:=F_{k}(v(x), x)_{n}$. Clearly, the Fourier coefficients of the second derivatives are equal to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-u_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{n^{2} G_{k, n} f_{k, n}}{n^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \\
\left(-u_{k}^{\prime \prime}\right)_{n}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{n^{2} G_{k, n} f_{k, n}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We easily obtain the estimate from above

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|u_{k, n}\right|^{2}+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|n^{2} u_{k, n}\right|^{2}\right\} \leq 4 \pi \sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}} P_{k}^{2}\left\|F_{k}(v(x), x)\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us recall inequality (1.4) of Assumption 1.1. Hence, the right side of (3.6) is finite. Thus, for an arbitrarily chosen vector function $v(x) \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ there exists a unique $u(x) \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, which satisfies the system of equations (1.6), (1.7) and its Fourier coefficients are given by formulas (3.4), (3.5), so that the map $\tau_{a}: H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ is well defined. Clearly, orthogonality relations (1.18) and (1.19) along with (3.4) yield that for $m+1 \leq k \leq q$ the components $u_{k}(x)$ are orthogonal to the Fourier harmonics $\frac{e^{ \pm i n_{k} x}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}$ in $L^{2}(I)$ and for $q+1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$ the functions $u_{k}(x)$ are orthogonal to 1 in $L^{2}(I)$, because the corresponding Fourier coeffients can be made equal to zero.

Let us consider arbitary vector functions $v^{(1),(2)}(x) \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, so that their images under the map dicussed above are $u^{(1),(2)}=\tau_{a} v^{(1),(2)} \in H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. By virtue of (1.6), (1.7), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} u_{k}^{(1)}-a_{k} u_{k}^{(1)}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(1)}(y), v_{2}^{(1)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(1)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} u_{k}^{(1)}+a_{k} u_{k}^{(1)}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(1)}(y), v_{2}^{(1)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(1)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k}>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Analogously,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} u_{k}^{(2)}-a_{k} u_{k}^{(2)}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(2)}(y), v_{2}^{(2)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(2)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}} u_{k}^{(2)}+a_{k} u_{k}^{(2)}=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(2)}(y), v_{2}^{(2)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(2)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k}>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. We apply the Fourier transform (1.20) to both sides of systems (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), (3.10). This gives us

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{k, n}^{(1)}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{G_{k, n} f_{k, n}^{(1)}}{n^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},  \tag{3.11}\\
u_{k, n}^{(1)}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{G_{k, n} f_{k, n}^{(1)}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
u_{k, n}^{(2)}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{G_{k, n} f_{k, n}^{(2)}}{n^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},  \tag{3.13}\\
u_{k, n}^{(2)}=\sqrt{2 \pi} \frac{G_{k, n} f_{k, n}^{(2)}}{n^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{3.14}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $f_{k, n}^{(1),(2)}$ denote the Fourier images of $F_{k}\left(v^{(1),(2)}(x), x\right)$ under transform (1.20). Let us recall (1.22), (1.23), (1.24), (1.25) and (1.26). By means of formulas (3.11), (3.12), (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain for $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|u_{k, n}^{(1)}-u_{k, n}^{(2)}\right| \leq \sqrt{2 \pi} P\left|f_{k, n}^{(1)}-f_{k, n}^{(2)}\right|, \\
\left|n^{2} u_{k, n}^{(1)}-n^{2} u_{k, n}^{(2)}\right| \leq \sqrt{2 \pi} P\left|f_{k, n}^{(1)}-f_{k, n}^{(2)}\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|u^{(1)}-u^{(2)}\right\|_{H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|u_{k, n}^{(1)}-u_{k, n}^{(2)}\right|^{2}+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left|n^{2}\left(u_{k, n}^{(1)}-u_{k, n}^{(2)}\right)\right|^{2}\right\} \leq \\
\leq 4 \pi P^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\|F_{k}\left(v^{(1)}(x), x\right)-F_{k}\left(v^{(2)}(x), x\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(I)}^{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Evidently, due to the Sobolev embedding theorem we have $v_{k}^{(1),(2)}(x) \in H^{2}(I) \subset L^{\infty}(I)$ with $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Let us recall inequality (1.5). Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\tau_{a} v^{(1)}-\tau_{a} v^{(2)}\right\|_{H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)} \leq 2 \sqrt{\pi} P L\left\|v^{(1)}-v^{(2)}\right\|_{H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the constant in the right side of bound (3.15) is less than one as assumed. Thus, the Fixed Point Theorem implies the existence and uniqueness of a vector function $v_{a}(x) \in$ $H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ satisfying $\tau_{a} v_{a}=v_{a}$. This is the only stationary solution of the system of equations (1.2), (1.3) in $H_{c}^{2}\left(I, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. Finally, we suppose that $v_{a}(x)$ is trivial in the interval $I$. This will contradict to the stated assumption that the Fourier coefficients $G_{k, n} F_{k}(0, x)_{n} \neq 0$ for a certain $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ and some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

## 4 The Problem in the Layer Domain

Proof of Theorem 1.7. First we suppose that there exists $v(x) \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, which generates $u^{(1),(2)}(x) \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ satisfying the system of equations (1.6), (1.7). Then the difference of these vector functions $w(x):=u^{(1)}(x)-u^{(2)}(x) \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ will solve the homogeneous system

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta w_{k}=a_{k} w_{k}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}  \tag{4.1}\\
-\Delta w_{k}=-a_{k} w_{k}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2} \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

We apply the partial Fourier transform to (4.1) and derive

$$
-\Delta_{\perp} w_{k, n}\left(x_{\perp}\right)=\left(a_{k}-n^{2}\right) w_{k, n}\left(x_{\perp}\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Here $w_{k, n}\left(x_{\perp}\right):=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} w_{k}\left(x_{1}, x_{\perp}\right) e^{-i n x_{1}} d x_{1}$ and $\Delta_{\perp}$ is the Laplace operator with respect to $x_{\perp}$. Clearly,

$$
\left\|w_{k}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|w_{k, n}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)}^{2}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1} .
$$

Thus,

$$
w_{k, n}\left(x_{\perp}\right) \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right), \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

But the operator $-\Delta_{\perp}$ considered in the whole $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ does not have any nontrivial square integrable eigenfunctions. Therefore, $w_{k}(x)$ vanish in $\Omega$ for $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$. The analogous assertion is true for $w_{k}(x)$ with $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ by virtue of (4.2), since the operator $-\Delta: H^{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{2}(\Omega)$ cannot possess any negative eigenvalues.
Let us consider an arbitrary vector function $v(x) \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ and apply the Fourier transform (1.33) to both sides of system (1.6), (1.7). This yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{u_{k, n}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p) \widehat{f_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},  \tag{4.3}\\
\widehat{u_{k, n}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p) \widehat{f_{k, n}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{4.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\widehat{f_{k, n}}(p)$ designates the Fourier image of $F_{k}(v(x), x)$ under transform (1.33). Obviously, we have the estimates from above in terms of the expressions given by (1.35), (1.36), (1.37) and (1.38), namely

$$
\left|\widehat{u_{k, n}}(p)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} R_{k}\left|\widehat{f_{k, n}}(p)\right|, \quad\left|\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) \widehat{u_{k, n}}(p)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} R_{k}\left|\widehat{f_{k, n}}(p)\right|,
$$

with $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. We arrive at

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|u\|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\widehat{u_{k, n}}(p)\right|^{2} d p+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left|\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) \widehat{u_{k, n}}(p)\right|^{2} d p\right\} \leq \\
\leq 2(2 \pi)^{d+1} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}} R_{k}^{2}\left\|F_{k}(v(x), x)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} . \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us recall inequality (1.4) of Assumption 1.1. Hence, the right side of (4.5) is finite. Thus, for any vector function $v(x) \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ there exists a unique $u(x) \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ satisfying system (1.6), (1.7). Its Fourier transform is given by (4.3), (4.4). Therefore, the $\operatorname{map} t_{a}: H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$ is well defined.
We choose arbitrarily two vector functions $v^{(1),(2)} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, so that their images under the map discussed above are $u^{(1),(2)}:=t_{a} v^{(1),(2)} \in H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. By means of (1.6), (1.7), we have precisely

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(1)}-a_{k} u_{k}^{(1)}=\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(1)}(y), v_{2}^{(1)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(1)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(1)}+a_{k} u_{k}^{(1)}=\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(1)}(y), v_{2}^{(1)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(1)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k}>0 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(2)}-a_{k} u_{k}^{(2)}=\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(2)}(y), v_{2}^{(2)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(2)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k} \geq 0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $1 \leq k \leq N_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u_{k}^{(2)}+a_{k} u_{k}^{(2)}=\int_{\Omega} G_{k}(x-y) F_{k}\left(v_{1}^{(2)}(y), v_{2}^{(2)}(y), \ldots, v_{N_{2}}^{(2)}(y), y\right) d y, \quad a_{k}>0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Let us apply the Fourier transform (1.33) to both sides of systems (4.6), (4.7) and (4.8), (4.9). This yields

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{u_{k, n}^{(1)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p) \widehat{f_{k, n}^{(1)}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},  \tag{4.10}\\
\widehat{u_{k, n}^{(1)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p) \widehat{f_{k, n}^{(1)}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{4.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\widehat{u_{k, n}^{(2)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p) \widehat{f_{k, n}^{(2)}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}-a_{k}}, \quad a_{k} \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq k \leq N_{1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d},  \tag{4.12}\\
\widehat{u_{k, n}^{(2)}}(p)=(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} \frac{\widehat{G_{k, n}}(p) \widehat{f_{k, n}^{(2)}}(p)}{p^{2}+n^{2}+a_{k}}, \quad a_{k}>0, \quad N_{1}+1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^{d} . \tag{4.13}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $\widehat{f_{k, n}^{(1),(2)}}(p)$ stand for the Fourier images of $F_{k}\left(v^{(1),(2)}(x), x\right)$ under transform (1.33). We recall (1.35), (1.36), (1.37), (1.38) and (1.39). By virtue of formulas (4.10), (4.11), (4.12) and (4.13) we derive for $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}, n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\widehat{u_{k, n}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{u_{k, n}^{(2)}}(p)\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} R\left|\widehat{f_{k, n}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{f_{k, n}^{(2)}}(p)\right|, \\
\left|\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right)\left[\widehat{u_{k, n}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{u_{k,{ }_{n}}^{(2)}}(p)\right]\right| \leq(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} R\left|\widehat{f_{k,{ }_{n}}^{(1)}}(p)-\widehat{f_{k,{ }_{n}}^{(2)}}(p)\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| u^{(1)}- u^{(2)} \|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\{\sum _ { n = - \infty } ^ { \infty } \int _ { \mathbb { R } ^ { d } } \left\{\widehat{u^{(1)}} k, n(p)-\widehat{u^{(2)}} k,\left.n(p)\right|^{2} d p+\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.+\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mid\left(p^{2}+n^{2}\right) \widehat{\left(u^{(1)}\right.} k, n(p)-\widehat{u^{(2)}} k, n(p)\right)\left.\right|^{2} d p\right\} \leq \\
& \quad \leq 2(2 \pi)^{d+1} R^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{N_{2}}\left\|F_{k}\left(v^{(1)}(x), x\right)-F_{k}\left(v^{(2)}(x), x\right)\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of the Sobolev embedding theorem, $v_{k}^{(1),(2)}(x) \in H^{2}(\Omega) \subset L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$. Let us recall inequality (1.5). We arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|t_{a} v^{(1)}-t_{a} v^{(2)}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)} \leq \sqrt{2}(2 \pi)^{\frac{d+1}{2}} R L\left\|v^{(1)}-v^{(2)}\right\|_{H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)} . \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant in its right side of (4.14) is less than one via the one of our assumptions. The Fixed Point Theorem yields the existence and uniqueness of a vector function $v_{a}(x) \in$ $H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$, for which $t_{a} v_{a}=v_{a}$ is valid. This is the only stationary solution of system (1.2), (1.3) in $H^{2}\left(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^{N_{2}}\right)$. Let us suppose that $v_{a}(x)$ is trivial in $\Omega$. This will give us the contradiction to the imposed condition that there exist $1 \leq k \leq N_{2}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{F_{k}(0, x)}{ }_{n}(p) \cap \operatorname{supp} \widehat{G_{k, n}}(p)$ is a set of nonzero Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.
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