Strong Stability of KAM Tori — from the view of Viscosity Solutions of H-J equations

Zhenguo Liang*and Jun Yan[†]

School of Mathematical Sciences and Key Lab of Mathematics for Nonlinear Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China. E-mail: zgliang@fudan.edu.cn; yanjun@fudan.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we prove the strong stability of Diophantine KAM tori in the view of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

1 Introduction

The objective of this paper is to study the changes of the graphs of viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

$$H(x, P + Du(x, P)) = \overline{H}(P).$$
(1.1)

In (1.1), $H(x,p) : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth Hamiltonian, strictly convex, i.e. $\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial p^2} > \zeta I > 0$ uniformly, and superlinear growth in $p(\lim_{|p|\to\infty} \frac{H(x,p)}{\|p\|} = \infty)$, and $2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n$ periodic in x. Instead of studying a general Hamiltonian H as above, in this paper we will restrict us in the real analytic Lagrangian

$$L_0(x, \dot{x}) = l_0(\dot{x}) + \epsilon l_1(x, \dot{x}), \tag{1.2}$$

of which associated Hamiltonian is

$$H_0(x,p) = h_0(p) + \epsilon h_1(x,p), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$\frac{\partial^2 l_0}{\partial \dot{x}^2} > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

Except that, we also restrict us around the graph of a smooth viscosity solution, which is the so-called KAM torus. In [11], for the Lagrangian systems (1.2), Salamon and Zehnder proved that for any Diophantine frequency vector $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists an invariant torus Γ , which is in $T\mathbb{T}^n$, corresponds to it. Write $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{G}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}: T\mathbb{T}^n \to T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ is the

^{*}supported by NNSFC No.10671035

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ supported by NNSFC No.10601013 and STCSM-06JC14005

Legendre transformation. In fact, \mathcal{G} is a smooth graph of some viscosity solution. We can write $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} (x, P_0 + Du(x, P_0))$, where $u(x, P_0)$ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$H_0(x, P_0 + Du(x, P_0)) = \overline{H_0}(P_0).$$
(1.5)

From [5]¹, we get many viscosity solutions for (1.5) for any P, where $||P - P_0||$ is small enough. Our problem is what the graphs of $\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} (x, P + Du(x, P))$ look like? What is the relationship between the graphs and the KAM torus \mathcal{G} ? We will answer these problems in our theorems(see Theorem 1,2). In the following, we will give a heuristic description about our results. We remark that the notations in this section are independent of the following ones.

Suppose that ω satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle k, \omega \rangle| \geq &\frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau}}, \ k \neq 0, \\ \gamma > 0, \ \tau \geq n - 1. \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

When $||P - P_0||$ small enough, we will have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Du(x,P) - Du(x,P_0)\| &\leq C \|P - P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \\ \|u(x,P) - u(x,P_0)\| &\leq C \|P - P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, one gets

$$\|(P + Du(x, P)) - (P_0 + Du(x, P_0))\| \le C \|P - P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}.$$
(1.7)

Definition 1.1 For the H-J equation (1.1) and some $P_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if the graph of $\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} (x, P_0 + Du(x, P_0))$ corresponds to a KAM torus and this torus and the graphs of its nearby viscosity solutions satisfy

$$\|(P + Du(x, P)) - (P_0 + Du(x, P_0))\| \le C \|P - P_0\|^{\chi}, \qquad 0 < \chi \le 1,$$
(1.8)

then we call this KAM torus strong stability, where $||P-P_0||$ is small enough and $x \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{T}^n$ and $meas(\{x \in \mathbb{T}^n \setminus \Delta\}) = 0$. χ is called the strong stability index.

Remark 1.1 The definition for the strong stability of KAM torus is local. Therefore, the conditions of H, which are uniformly convex and superlinear growth in p, aren't necessary.

From (1.7) and above discussions, we have known that the KAM torus \mathcal{G} in $T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ is strong stability. We remark that the strong stability of KAM torus in the view of viscosity solutions of H-J equations has deep relationships with the stickiness of KAM torus(see [10], also see [9]) and the minimal property of the trajectories which lie in KAM tori(see [7]).

¹Note here, we need neither that H_0 is superlinear in p, nor that H_0 is uniformly convex. We only need $\frac{\partial^2 h_0}{\partial n^2} > 0$. The reason lies in that we only care about the dynamics of the small neighborhood of \mathcal{G} .

Let us close this introductory section with one important reference. In the Corollary 8.3 of [6], J. Mather has shown that if ω satisfies a Diophantine condition of order τ , then $\omega \to P_{\omega}(\xi)$ satisfies a Hölder condition of order $\frac{1}{2\tau}$ at ω , i.e. $|P_{\omega}(\xi) - P_{\rho}(\xi)| \leq const. |\omega - \rho^*|^{\frac{1}{2\tau}}$, for $|\omega - \rho^*| \leq 1$, where $P_{\omega}(\cdot)$ is Peierl's barrier. It is well-known that the barrier function can be represented by viscosity solutions. Our results about C^0 estimation partially generalize his result to high dimensional positive definite Hamiltonian systems.

2 Main Results

2.1 Theorem 1

We start from the Hamiltonian

$$H(x,p) = \langle \omega, p \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle A(x)p, p \rangle + f(x,p)$$

= N + R₁ + R₂ (2.1)

where $N = \langle \omega, p \rangle$, $R_1 = \frac{1}{2} \langle A(x)p, p \rangle$ and $R_2 = f(x,p) = \mathcal{O}(p^3)$. *H* is assumed to be defined and real analytic in a neighbourhood of the origin, more precisely on a complex domain $D = D(R, \rho, \sigma)(\rho > 0, \sigma > 0)$ defined as follows: let us employ the Euclidean norm on complex numbers *z* and the max norm on complex vectors $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) : |z| = \{[Re(z)]^2 + [Im(z)]^2\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $|\xi| = \max_{j=1,\dots,n} |\xi_j|$. Denote by $\mathbb{T}^n + \sigma$ the complex σ -neighborhood of \mathbb{T}^n :

$$\mathbb{T}^n + \sigma = \{ q \in \mathbb{C}^n / 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^n || Im(q_i) | < \sigma, \forall j \}.$$

Similarly, for all r < R denote by $B_r + \rho$ the ρ -neighborhood of B_r in \mathbb{C}^n :

$$B_r + \rho = \{ p \in \mathbb{C}^n | \exists p' \in B_r \text{ such that } |p_j - p'_j| < \rho, \forall j \}.$$

For the combined complexified domain we write $D(r, \rho, \sigma) = (\mathbb{T}^n + \sigma) \times (B_r + \rho)$. A norm on the bounded complex-valued functions on $D(r, \rho, \sigma)$ is given by

$$||F||_{r,\rho,\sigma} = \sup_{(x,p)\in D(r,\rho,\sigma)} |F(x,p)|.$$

The Hamiltonian (2.1) is defined in $D(R, \rho, \sigma)$, where R will be chosen small enough. If $|p| \geq \Xi$, we define² $H = \frac{1}{2} ||p||^2$, where Ξ will be chosen large enough. We extend H which defined in $\{(x,p)|x \in \mathbb{T}^n, |p| < R\}$ to $\{(x,p)|x \in \mathbb{T}^n, |p| \geq \Xi\}$ by a suitable smooth function and pertain the positive definiteness. We still write the new Hamiltonian by H for simplicity. Obviously, H is superlinear in p.

Suppose $A(x)(x \in \mathbb{T}^n)$ is a positive definite and symmetric matrix and satisfies

$$\lambda_2 \|v\|^2 \le \langle A(x)v, v \rangle \le \lambda_1 \|v\|^2, \qquad v \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $\lambda_2 > 0$. Further, we suppose (1.6). When |p| < R, the Hamilton equation of H is

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = \omega + A(x)p + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \\ \dot{p} = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \nabla A(x)p, p \rangle - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}, \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

²In this paper, define $||p|| = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} |p_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

where $\nabla A = (\frac{\partial A}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial A}{\partial x_n})^T$. When p = 0, the above Hamiltonian equation admits a KAM torus with a Diophantine rotation number ω . It is well-known that the cell equation (1.1) admits viscosity solutions for any P. When P = 0, it is clear that H(x,0) = 0. This means that $u \equiv c$ is a smooth viscosity solution of (1.1) for P = 0. From Lemma 5.3, we have the unique viscosity solution(mod constant) u(x,0) = c. Its graph $\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} (x,0)$ corresponds

to the Diophantine KAM tori mentioned above³.

Theorem 1 For any $0 < \delta \leq 1$, if $||P|| \leq \min\{\epsilon_0, \eta_0, \eta_1\}$, then

$$||D_x u(x, P)|| \le C\delta^{-1} ||P||^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}},$$

for any u(x, P) satisfying (1.1) and $x \in dom(Du(x, P))^4$.

Remark 2.1 The constants ϵ_0 , η_0 and η_1 will be explained in the following sections.

Remark 2.2 For $0 < \delta \leq K_0$, the result is similar, where K_0 is any large constant.

Define

$$||u(x,P) - u(x,0)|| = \inf_{a} |u(x,P) - u(x,0) - c|_{a}$$

then we have the following conclusion.

Corollary 1 For any $0 < \delta \le 1$, if $||P|| \le \min\{\epsilon_0, \eta_0, \eta_1\}$, then

$$\|u(x,P) - u(x,0)\| \le C\delta^{-1} \|P\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}.$$

2.2 Theorem 2

In this subsection, we will give another important theorem. Consider the following Lagrangian systems

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L_0}{\partial \dot{x}} = \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial x}$$

with the Lagrangian

$$L_0(x, \dot{x}) = l_0(\dot{x}) + \epsilon l_1(x, \dot{x}), \qquad (2.3)$$

where $\frac{\partial^2 l_0}{\partial \dot{x}^2} > 0$. $L_0(x,v)$ is a real analytic function in the domain $|Imx| \leq 2\lambda_0 r_0$, $|Imv| \leq 2\lambda_0 r_0$ which is of period 2π in the *x*-variables. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfying $||\omega|| \leq M_0$, $|\langle k, \omega \rangle| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau}}$, $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, for some constants $M_0 \geq 1$, $\gamma_0 > 0$, $\tau \geq n-1$. $|\partial^{\alpha} L_0|_{2\lambda_0 r_0} \leq M_0$, $|\alpha| \leq 4$. From Theorem 1 in [11], we have the following conclusions: $\exists \delta^* = \delta^*(r_0, \tau, M_0, \lambda_0, n) > 0$ and $c = c(r_0, \tau, M_0, \lambda_0, n) \geq 8M_0^3$ such that $c\delta^* \leq 1$. If $c_0\epsilon \leq \delta^*$, then there exits a real analytic torus diffeomorphism $x = f(\xi)$ mapping the strip $|Im\xi| \leq \frac{r_0}{2}$ into $|Imf(\xi)| \leq 2\lambda_0 r_0$, $|Im\mathcal{D}f(\xi)| \leq 2\lambda_0 r_0$ such that $f(\xi) - \xi$ is of period 2π and $\mathcal{D}(L_0)_p(f, \mathcal{D}f) =$

³From Proposition 5.1 in the appendix, one gets $||P+D_xu(x,P)|| \to 0$ when $||P|| \to 0$. This means when ||P|| is small, the Hamiltonian in the cell equation, which u(x,P) satisfies, is the original Hamiltonian H.

^{||}P|| is small, the Hamiltonian in the cell equation, which u(x, P) satisfies, is the original Hamiltonian H. ⁴the notation dom(Du(x,P)) means the domain of definition of Du(x,P), i.e. the set of the points x where the derivative $D_x u(x, P)$ exists.

 $(L_0)_x(f, \mathcal{D}f)$, where c_0 is a constant depending on L_0 and M and $\mathcal{D} = \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_j}$. Moreover, the pair (L_0, f) is stable and satisfies the estimates

$$\|f - f_0\|_{\frac{r_0}{2}} \le cc_0 \epsilon r_0^{2\tau}, \|U - U_0\|_{\frac{r_0}{2}} \le cc_0 \epsilon r_0^{2\tau - 1}, \|U^T (L_0)_{pp}(f, \mathcal{D}f)U - a|_{\frac{r_0}{2}} \le \frac{cc_0 \epsilon}{4M_0^3},$$
(2.4)

where we denote $||u||_r = |u|_r + |\mathcal{D}u|_r + |\mathcal{D}^2u|_r$ and $U_0 = \frac{\partial f_0}{\partial \xi}$ and $U = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi}$. For our conveniences, write the initial torus $\Gamma_0 = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}^n} (f(\xi), Df \cdot \omega)$. For more concretely, please see [11].

From the above, it is easy to check that the Lagrangian equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \dot{\xi}} = \frac{\partial L_1}{\partial \xi} \tag{2.5}$$

has the solution $(\xi_0 + \omega t, \omega)$, $\xi_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$, where $L_1(\xi, \dot{\xi}) = L_0(f(\xi), \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi} \dot{\xi})$. Write $\bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}^n} (\xi, \omega) = \Gamma$. From [7], \exists a closed 1-form η , $[\eta] = P_0$, such that

$$(L_1 - \eta)|_{\Gamma} = 0, \ (L_1 - \eta)|_{\notin \Gamma} > 0.$$
 (2.6)

Write $L_2 = L_1 - \eta$. From (2.6), we have

$$L_2 = (\dot{\xi} - \omega)^T \frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \xi^2} (\xi, \omega) (\dot{\xi} - \omega) + \mathcal{O}(\dot{\xi} - \omega)^3.$$

Write $\frac{\partial^2 L_2}{\partial \xi^2}(\xi, \omega) = \frac{1}{2}A^{-1}(\xi)$. Clearly, $A^{-1}(\xi) > 0$. Therefore,

$$L_2 = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\xi} - \omega)^T A^{-1}(\xi) (\dot{\xi} - \omega) + \mathcal{O} (\dot{\xi} - \omega)^3.$$

And its associated Hamiltonian is

$$H_2(\xi, p) = \langle \omega, p \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle A(\xi)p, p \rangle + \mathcal{O}(p^3).$$

Since $L_0(x,v)$ is real analytic, it is easy to see that there exist r' > 0 and $\sigma' > 0$ and $H_2(\xi,p)$ is real analytic in $(\mathbb{T}^n + \sigma') \times (B_{r'}(0) + \rho')$, where

$$B_{r'}(0) + \rho' = \{ p \in \mathbb{C}^n | \exists \ p' \in B_{r'}(0) \text{ such that } |p_j - p'_j| \le \rho', \ \forall j \}.$$

Clearly, we can choose r', σ' and ρ' small enough such that Theorem 1 can been used. Therefore, for any $u(\xi, P)$ satisfying the equation $H_2(\xi, P + Du(\xi, P)) = \overline{H}_2(P)$, we have

$$\|Du(\xi, P)\| \le C\delta^{-1} \|P\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \tag{2.7}$$

for $\forall \xi \in Dom(Du, P)$ and $\forall \delta \in (0, 1]$ and for ||P|| small enough. From Corollary 1, we also get that for $\forall \delta \in (0, 1]$ and ||P|| small enough,

$$\|u(\xi, P) - u(\xi, 0)\| \le C\delta^{-1} \|P\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}},$$
(2.8)

where $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Write $\eta = P_0 d\xi + df_1$, $f_1 \in C^{\omega}(\mathbb{T}^n)$. Therefore⁵, $L_1 = L_2 + P_0 d\xi + df_1$ and $L_1 - \langle P, \dot{\xi} \rangle = L_2 - \langle P - P_0 - f'_1, \dot{\xi} \rangle$. Further, one has

$$H_2(\xi, P - P_0 + D(v - f_1)) = \overline{H}_2(P - P_0).$$
(2.9)

From (2.7) and (2.8), we have for $||P - P_0||$ small enough,

$$\begin{split} \|D(v-f_1)(P-P_0) - D(v-f_1)(0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P-P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \\ \|v(\xi, P-P_0) - v(\xi, 0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P-P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \end{split}$$

where $Dv(0) = Df_1$. Note $v(\xi, P - P_0)$ is viscosity solution corresponding with $L_1 - \langle P, \dot{\xi} \rangle$. We will denote $v_1(\xi, P) = v(\xi, P - P_0)$. Therefore, for $||P - P_0||$ small, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dv_1(\xi, P) - Dv_1(\xi, P_0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P - P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \\ \|v_1(\xi, P) - v_1(\xi, P_0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P - P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \end{aligned}$$
(2.10)

where $Dv_1(\xi, P_0) = Df_1$ and $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}^n} (\xi, P_0 + Dv_1(\xi, P_0)) = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}^n} (\xi, P_0 + Df_1)$ is the smooth torus. From (2.6) and Lemma 5.3, we get

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Gamma) = \mathcal{G},\tag{2.11}$$

 $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}: T\mathbb{T}^n \to T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ is the Legendre transformation. Further, we obtain

$$Df \cdot \frac{\partial L_0}{\partial \dot{q}} (f(\xi), Df \cdot \omega) = P_0 + Dv_1(\xi, P_0).$$
(2.12)

Lemma 2.1 $v_1(\xi, P)$ is the viscosity solution of $L_1 - \langle P, \dot{\xi} \rangle$ and satisfies (2.10). From the real analytic torus diffeomorphism $\xi = f^{-1}(x)$, we have the Lagrangian

$$L_1(f^{-1}(x), Df^{-1}(x)\dot{x}) - \langle P, Df^{-1}(x)\dot{x} \rangle = L_0(x, \dot{x}) - \langle P, Df^{-1}(x)\dot{x} \rangle$$

Write $\eta_{P_1}(\dot{x}) = \langle P, Df^{-1}\dot{x} \rangle$, then η_{P_1} is a closed 1-form and $\eta_{P_1} = P_1 dx + df_2$, where $[\eta_{P_1}] = C(0)P = P_1$, $C(0) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^n} Df^{-1}(x) dx$ and $f_2(x) \in C^{\omega}(x)$. $v_2(x, P_1) = v_1(f^{-1}(x), P)$ is the viscosity solution of $L_0 - \eta_{P_1}$. $v_2(x, P_1)$ satisfies

$$H_0(x, P_1 + D(v_2 + f_2)) = \overline{H}_0(P_1).$$
(2.13)

For $||P - P_0||$ small enough and $\forall \delta \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{split} \|Dv_2(x,P_1) - Dv_2(x,P_1^0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P - P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}} \leq C\delta^{-1} \|P_1 - P_1^0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \ x \in \Lambda, \\ \|v_2(x,P_1) - v_2(x,P_1^0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P_1 - P_1^0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \ x \in \mathbb{T}^n, \end{split}$$

where $P_1^0 = C(0)P_0$ and $\Lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{T}^n | x \in Dom(Dv_2, P_1) \cap f^{-1}(x) \in Dom(Dv_1(\xi), P)\}.$

Proof. As Proposition 4.4.8 in [3], we need prove two points: (1). $v_2(x, P_1) \prec L_1(f^{-1}(x), Df^{-1}(x)\dot{x}) - \langle P, Df^{-1}(x)\dot{x} \rangle + \overline{H}_0(P_1).$

⁵In fact, we need extend H_2 , L_2 as before. The same for L_1 and etc.. We skip the steps for simplicity.

(2). for each $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, there exists a

$$(v_2(x,P_1), L_1(f^{-1}(x), Df^{-1}(x)\dot{x}) - \langle P, Df^{-1}(x)\dot{x} \rangle, \overline{H}_0(P_1)) - \text{calibrated curve}$$

 $\gamma^x\!:\!(-\infty,0]\!\rightarrow\!\mathbb{T}^n \text{ such that } \gamma^x(0)\!=\!x, \text{ and } \forall t,$

$$v_1(f^{-1}(x), P) - v_1(f^{-1}(\gamma(-t)), P) = \int_{-t}^0 L_1(f^{-1}(\gamma(s)), Df^{-1}\dot{\gamma}(s)) - \langle P, Df^{-1}\dot{\gamma}(s)\rangle ds + \overline{H}_0(P_1)t.$$
(2.14)

We only prove the second point and the first one is similar. For any $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$, there exists only one $\xi \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\xi = f^{-1}(x)$. Since $v_1(\xi, P)$ is the viscosity solution of $L_1 - \langle P, \dot{\xi} \rangle$, we have the following: \exists a minimizing extremal curve $\tilde{\gamma}^{\xi} : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{T}^n$ with $\tilde{\gamma}^{\xi}(0) = \xi$ and such that $\forall t \in (-\infty, 0]$,

$$v_1(\xi, P) - v_1(\tilde{\gamma}(-t), P) = \int_{-t}^0 L_1(\tilde{\gamma}(s), \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s)) - \langle P, \dot{\tilde{\gamma}}(s) \rangle ds + \overline{H}_1(P)t.$$
(2.15)

We define $\gamma^x(s) = f(\tilde{\gamma}^{\xi}(s))$ and $\overline{H}_0(P_1) = \overline{H}_1(P)$. Clearly, (2.14) holds from (2.15). As (2.9), one has (2.13). If we choose $x \in \Lambda = Dom(Dv_2, P_1) \cap f^{-1}(x) \in Dom(Dv_1(\xi), P)$, then,

$$Dv_2(x, P_1) = \frac{\partial f^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} Dv_1(f^{-1}(x), P), \qquad (2.16)$$

and

$$Dv_2(x, P_1^0) = \frac{\partial f^{-1}(x)}{\partial x} Dv_1(f^{-1}(x), P_0).$$
(2.17)

Therefore, from (2.4), (2.16) and (2.17), one gets

$$\begin{split} \|Dv_2(x,P_1) - Dv_2(x,P_1^0)\| &\leq C \|Dv_1(f^{-1}(x),P) - Dv_1(f^{-1}(x),P_0)\| \\ &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P - P_0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}} \\ &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P_1 - P_1^0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}. \end{split}$$

The rest are obvious.

If denote $\mathcal{G}_0 = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} (x, P_1^0 + D(f_2 + v_2(x, P_1^0)))$, from (2.12), it is easy to check that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\Gamma_0) = \mathcal{G}_0.$$

From all above in this subsection, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2 The Lagrangian

$$L_0(x, \dot{x}) = l_0(\dot{x}) + \epsilon l_1(x, \dot{x})$$

with associated Hamiltonian H_0 , where $\frac{\partial^2 l_0}{\partial \dot{x}^2} > 0$. $L_0(x,v)$ is a real analytic function in the domain $|Imx| \leq 2\lambda_0 r_0$, $|Imv| \leq 2\lambda_0 r_0$ which is of period 2π in the x-variables. Let

$$\begin{split} &\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ satisfying } \|\omega\| \leq M_0, \ |\langle k, \omega \rangle| \geq \frac{\gamma}{|k|^{\tau}}, \ 0 \neq k \in \mathbb{Z}^n, \text{ for some constants } M_0 \geq 1, \ \gamma > 0, \\ &\tau \geq n-1. \ |\partial^{\alpha} L_0|_{2\lambda_0 r_0} \leq M_0, \ |\alpha| \leq 4. \ \text{If } c_0 \epsilon \leq \delta^*, \text{ then } \exists \text{ a real analytic smooth torus} \end{split}$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}^n} (f(\xi), Df \cdot \omega) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1} (\bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} (x, P_1^0 + D(f_2 + v_2(x, P_1^0)))),$$

where $v_2(x, P_1)$ satisfies

$$H_0(x, P_1 + D(f_2 + v_2(x, P_1))) = \overline{H}_0(P_1)$$

If $\|P_1 - P_1^0\|$ small and for any $\delta \in (0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Dv_2(x,P_1) - Dv_2(x,P_1^0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P_1 - P_1^0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \ x \in \Lambda, \\ \|v_2(x,P_1) - v_2(x,P_1^0)\| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P_1 - P_1^0\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \ x \in \mathbb{T}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3 $meas(\{x \in \mathbb{T}^n \setminus \Lambda\}) = 0.$

3 Three Preparing Lemmata

In this section and the following ones, we will prove Theorem 1. Before that, we will give three preparing lemmata.

3.1 Lemma 3.1

Lemma 3.1 If $||P|| \leq \overline{\delta}$, there exists at least one point $x_0 \in {}^6\mathcal{M}_P$ satisfying

$$||P + D_x u(x_0, P)|| \le C_0 ||P||, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\overline{\delta}$ depends on n, λ_1 , λ_2 and f and C_0 depends on λ_1 , λ_2 .

Before we prove Lemma 3.1, we first prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 If $||P|| \leq \delta_0$, at least there exists one point $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}_P$ such that

$$\|v(x) - \omega\| \le C_1 \|P\|, \tag{3.2}$$

where δ_0 depends on n, λ_1 and f and C_1 depends on λ_1 .

Proof. The corresponding Lagrangian with the hamiltonian (2.1) is

$$L = \frac{1}{2} (\dot{x} - \omega)^T A^{-1}(x) (\dot{x} - \omega) + \mathcal{O}((\dot{x} - \omega)^3).$$
(3.3)

Our aim is to prove at least one point $(x, v) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_P$ satisfying (3.2), where the corresponding Lagrangian is $L - \langle P, \dot{x} \rangle$. Suppose $|\mathcal{O}((\dot{x} - \omega)^3)| \leq C ||\dot{x} - \omega||^3$. Since $Man\acute{e}'s$ set is upper semi-continuous(see [8]), for $|P| \leq \delta_0$, we have

$$L \ge \frac{1}{4} \lambda_1^{-1} \| \dot{x} - \omega \|^2$$

 $^{^{6}}$ In this paper, we will admit the notations in [3] without further explanations. For more details, please refer to [3].

where δ_0 depend on λ_1 and $\mathcal{O}((\dot{x}-\omega)^3)$ and $(x,\dot{x}) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_P$. Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \bar{L} &= L - \langle P, \dot{x} \rangle \geq \frac{1}{4} \lambda_1^{-1} \| \dot{x} - \omega \|^2 - \langle P, \dot{x} \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \lambda_1^{-1} \| \dot{x} - \omega - 2\lambda_1 P \|^2 - \lambda_1 \| P \|^2 - \langle P, \omega \rangle. \end{split}$$

In the following we will discuss from the contrary. If $||v(x) - \omega|| \ge A ||P||$ and $A > 4\lambda_1$, then

$$\begin{split} \|\dot{x} - \omega - 2\lambda_1 P\| &\geq \|\dot{x} - \omega\| - 2\lambda_1 \|P\| \\ &\geq \frac{A}{2} \|P\|. \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$\int \bar{L}d\mu > -\langle P, \omega \rangle = \int \bar{L}d\mu_0,$$

where μ_0 denote the Borel probability measure, invariant by the Euler-Lagrange flow, supporting on the KAM torus $\{(x,\omega)|x \in \mathbb{T}^n\}$. It contradicts with the definition of the minimizing measure μ .

Proof of Lemma 3.1:

Proof. From [3], if $(x, v) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_P$, one has

$$P + D_x u(x, P) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x, v).$$

From (3.3), it is easy to get

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}} = A^{-1}(\dot{x} - \omega) + \mathcal{O}((\dot{x} - \omega)^2).$$

Then, for $||P|| \leq \delta_1$, one obtains

$$\|\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\| \leq \lambda_2^{-1} \|\dot{x} - \omega\| + C \|\dot{x} - \omega\|^2 \leq 2\lambda_2^{-1} \|\dot{x} - \omega\|_2$$

where δ_1 depends on λ_2 and $\mathcal{O}((\dot{x}-\omega)^3)$. Therefore, if choose $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}_P$ satisfying (3.2), then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P + D_x u(x_0, P)\| &\leq \|\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}}\| \\ &\leq 2\lambda_2^{-1} \|\dot{x}_0 - \omega\| \\ &\leq C_0 \|P\|, \end{aligned}$$

for $||P|| \leq \overline{\delta} = \min\{\delta_0, \delta_1\}.$

3.2 Lemma 3.2

We introduce the theorem from [10] in the following:

Theorem 3 Consider a Hamiltonian of the form

$$H(x,p) = \langle \omega, p \rangle + F(x,p), \qquad (3.4)$$

where ω satisfies (1.6). F is a bounded real-analytic function on $D(R,\rho,\sigma)$ for some positive constants R,ρ and $\sigma < 1$. Furthermore, assume that F, regarded as a function of p, is order $|p|^2$, so that there exists $E' \ge 0$ such that $|F(x,p)| < E' \frac{|p|^2}{R^2}$ for all $(q,p) \in D(R,\rho,\sigma)$. Assume $\rho < 4R$, and choose

$$a \in (0, \beta), \ \beta = \frac{1 - \frac{\rho}{4R}}{1 + \frac{\rho}{4R}}.$$

Then for all $r \leq R$,

$$|p(0)| \le ar \Rightarrow |p(t)| \le r \qquad \text{for all } |t| \le T,$$

where

$$\begin{split} T &= \Gamma(\frac{R}{r})e^{(\frac{k_{3}R}{r})^{\alpha}}, \ \Gamma &= (\beta - a)(1 + \frac{\rho}{4R})^{2}\frac{\sigma R}{2k_{1}}, \ k_{1} = eE, \\ E &= E'(1 + \frac{\rho}{R})^{2}, \ k_{3} = k(1 + \frac{\rho}{4R}), \ \alpha = \frac{1}{\tau + 2}, \\ k &= \frac{\gamma\rho}{D_{\tau,n}E}(\frac{\sigma}{8\kappa_{\tau}})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}, \ D_{\tau,n} = \frac{\sqrt{(2\tau)!}}{2^{\tau - n - 1}}, \ \kappa_{\tau} = \frac{1}{4}(\frac{e^{2}}{e - 1}a_{\tau} + eb_{\tau})^{\frac{1}{\tau + 2}}, \\ a_{\tau} &= 2^{\tau + 3} + 2^{3\tau + 7}, \ b_{\tau} = 2^{\tau + 3} + 2^{2\tau + 5} + 2^{3\tau + 7}. \end{split}$$

Remark 3.1 If choose $\rho = 2R$ and $a = \frac{1}{6}$ in the above theorem, then for all $r \leq R$, $|p(0)| \leq \frac{1}{6}r \Rightarrow |p(t)| \leq r$ for all

$$|t| \leq T = \frac{c\sigma R^2}{E'r} exp[(\frac{C_{\tau,n}\gamma\rho R}{E'r})^{\alpha}\sigma],$$

where c is an absolute constant and $C_{\tau,n}$ is a general constant depending on n, τ .

From Remark 3.1, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.2 If $\epsilon \leq R$, then for any initial value point $(x_0, p_0)(|p_0| \leq \frac{1}{6}\epsilon)$, its solution curve (x(t), p(t)) under (2.2) satisfies $|p(t)| \leq \epsilon$ for

$$|t| \leq \frac{c\sigma R^2}{E'\epsilon} exp[(\frac{C_{\tau,n}\gamma\rho R}{E'\epsilon})^\alpha\sigma],$$

where c is an absolute constant and $C_{\tau,n}$ is a general constant depending on n, τ .

Lemma 3.2 (a). For any $x \in \mathcal{M}_P$, then

$$(x,v(x)) = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1}(x, D_x u(x, P)) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_P,$$

where u(x, P) is the viscosity solution of (1.1) and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$: $T\mathbb{T}^n \to T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ is the global Legendre transformation associated to $\tilde{L} = L - \langle P, \dot{x} \rangle$. Denote $w(s) = (x(s), v(s)) = \phi^s_{\tilde{L}}(x, v(x))$, then

$$\begin{split} w(s) \in \tilde{M}_P, & \text{where } \phi_{\tilde{L}} \text{ is the Lagrangian flow of } \tilde{L}. & \text{The corresponding curve in } T^*\mathbb{T}^n \text{ is } \\ \text{denoted by } l(s) = (x(s), \tilde{p}(s)) = \phi_{\tilde{H}}^s(x, D_x u(x, P)), & \text{where } \tilde{H}(x, \tilde{p}) = H(x, P + \tilde{p}), & \text{H as } (2.1). \\ (b). & \text{For } t_1 \in \mathbb{R}, & D_x u(x_1, P) + P = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x_1, v(x_1)), & \text{where } (x_1, v(x_1)) = (x(t_1), v(t_1)) = w(t_1). \end{split}$$

(c). Denote the curve by l(s) of which the initial point is $(x_0, D_x u(x_0, P))$, where x_0 and u(x, P) satisfy (3.1). Denote $\pi: T^*\mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$ and $x(s) = \pi(l(s))$. The obit of x(s) will ergodize \mathbb{T}^n to within $C_6 ||P||^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}$, if $||P|| \leq \epsilon_0$ where ϵ_0 depends on R, n, τ , γ , σ , λ_1 , λ_2 , ρ , f and C_6 depends on f, n, τ , γ , λ_1 , λ_2 . More concretely, for $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $\exists 0 \leq t_0 \leq \frac{C_{n,\tau}}{\gamma ||P||^{\frac{\tau}{\tau+1}}}$ and $x(-t_0)$ such that

$$||x(-t_0) - \theta|| \le C_6 ||P||^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}$$

where $C_{n,\tau}$ is a general constant depending on n and τ .

Proof. (a) is easy. and (b) is clear from Theorem 4.8.3 in [3]. We mainly prove (c). Note

$$||P + D_x u(x_0, P)|| \le C_0 ||P||.$$
(3.5)

Then $(x(t), p(t)) = (x(t), P + \tilde{p}(t))$ satisfies (2.2). From (3.5), we have $|P + D_x u(x_0, P)| \le C'_0 |P|$, where C'_0 depends on λ_1 , λ_2 and n. Denote $|P| = \frac{\epsilon}{6C'_0}$. If P satisfies

$$|P| \le \min\{\frac{R}{6C_0}, \ \bar{\delta}\}\tag{3.6}$$

then for initial value point $(x_0, P+D_x u(x_0, P))$, its solution curve (x(t), p(t)) under (2.2) satisfies

$$|p(t)| \le 6C_0 |P|, \tag{3.7}$$

$$|t| \le \frac{c\sigma R^2}{E'C_0|P|} exp[(\frac{C_{\tau,n}\gamma\rho R}{E'C_0|P|})^{\alpha}\sigma], \qquad (3.8)$$

where c is an absolute constant and $C_{\tau,n}$ is a general constant depending on n, τ . From

$$\dot{x} = \omega + A(x)p + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}(x, p)$$

we have

$$x(t) - x_0 - \omega t = \int_0^t (A(x)p + \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}(x, p))ds.$$
(3.9)

Denote $\left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\right| \leq C_2 |p|^2$. From (3.7) and (3.9), if

$$|t| \leq \frac{c\sigma R^2}{E'C_0|P|} exp[(\frac{C_{\tau,n}\gamma\rho R}{E'C_0|P|})^{\alpha}\sigma]$$

then

$$|x(t) - x_0 - \omega t| \le C_3 |t| |P|. \tag{3.10}$$

From [1](also see [2], [4]), one obtains for $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $\forall r_1^M(r_1^M \text{ will be chosen in the following}), \exists t_0 \text{ satisfies}$

$$0 \le t_0 \le \frac{C_{n,\tau}}{\gamma r_1^{M\tau}}$$

such that

$$|\theta - (-\omega t_0 + x_0)| \le r_1^M.$$
(3.11)

From (3.10) and (3.11), if

$$0 \le t_0 \le \frac{C(n,\tau)}{\gamma r_1^{M\tau}} \le \frac{c\sigma R^2}{E'C_0|P|} exp[(\frac{C_{\tau,n}\gamma\rho R}{E'C_0|P|})^{\alpha}\sigma],$$
(3.12)

we will have for $\forall \theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $\exists 0 \leq t_0 \leq \frac{C(n,\tau)}{\gamma r_1^{M\tau}}$ such that

$$\begin{split} |x(-t_0) - \theta| &\leq |x(-t_0) - (-\omega t_0 + x_0)| + |\theta - (-\omega t_0 + x_0)| \\ &\leq C_3 t_0 |P| + r_1^M \\ &\leq C_3 \frac{C(n, \tau)}{\gamma r_1^{M\tau}} |P| + r_1^M \\ &= \frac{C_4}{r_1^{M\tau}} |P| + r_1^M. \end{split}$$

If we choose $r_1^M = |P|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}},$ then

$$|x(-t_0) - \theta| \le C_5 |P|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}.$$

Note (3.6) and (3.12), if $|P| \leq \epsilon_0$, then

$$\frac{C(n,\tau)}{\gamma|P|^{\frac{\tau}{\tau+1}}} \leq \frac{c\sigma R^2}{E'C_0|P|} exp[(\frac{C_{\tau,n}\gamma\rho R}{E'C_0|P|})^\alpha\sigma]$$

holds naturally, where ϵ_0 depends on R, n, τ , γ , σ , λ_1 , λ_2 , ρ , f and C_5 depends on f, n, τ , γ , λ_1 , λ_2 . Obviously, if $||P|| \leq \epsilon_0$, then $|P| \leq \epsilon_0$. For any $\theta \in \mathbb{T}^n$,

$$\exists 0 \le t_0 \le \frac{C_{n,\tau}}{\gamma \|P\|^{\frac{\tau}{\tau+1}}} \text{ and } x(-t_0) \text{ such that}$$
(3.13)

$$\|x(-t_0) - \theta\| \le C_6 \|P\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}, \tag{3.14}$$

where C_6 depends on f, n, τ , γ , λ_1 , λ_2 .

3.3 Lemma 3.3

The following lemma is almost a direct corollary of Theorem 4.7.5 of [3]. As [3], for $\delta > 0$ is given and any map $u \prec L - \langle P, \dot{x} \rangle + \tilde{H}(P)$, we define the set $\mathcal{A}_{\delta,u}$ formed by the $x \in \mathbb{T}^n$ for which there exists a (continuous) piecewise C^1 curve $\gamma : [-\delta, \delta] \to \mathbb{T}^n$ with $\gamma(0) = x$ and

$$u(\gamma(\delta)) - u(\gamma(-\delta)) = \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} L(\gamma(s), \dot{\gamma(s)}) - \langle P, \dot{\gamma}(s) \rangle ds + 2\overline{\tilde{H}}(P) \delta ds$$

Lemma 3.3 For any u(x, P) satisfying (1.1), we define

$$Graph(Du(x,P)) = \{(x, D_xu(x,P)) | x \in dom(Du(x,P))\}.$$

Then $(\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{-\delta})^* \operatorname{Graph}(Du(x,P)) \subset \mathcal{A}_{\delta,u}$. It is a Lipschitzian graph with Lipschitzian constant depending on a fixed $\delta > 0$ on each subset with diameter $\leq \eta$, where $\phi_{\tilde{H}}$ is the Hamiltonian flow of $\tilde{H}(x,p) = H(x,P+p)$ in $T^*\mathbb{T}^n$ and η doesn't depend on δ .

Proof. Since u(x, P) is a viscosity solution of (1.1), obviously, we have

$$T_t^- u + \tilde{H}(P)t = u, \ t \ge 0,$$

where the relative Lagrangian of T_t^- is $L - \langle P, \dot{x} \rangle$. From Proposition 4.4.8 of [3], for any $x \in dom(Du(x,P)), \exists a (u, L - \langle P, \dot{x} \rangle, \overline{H}(P))$ -calibrated curve $\gamma_-^x : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{T}^n$, such that $\gamma_-^x(0) = x$. This means that for any $t \ge 0$,

$$u(x) - u(\gamma_{-}^{x}(-t)) = \int_{-t}^{0} (L(\gamma_{-}^{x}(s), \dot{\gamma}_{-}^{x}(s)) - \langle P, \dot{\gamma}_{-}^{x}(s) \rangle) ds + \overline{\tilde{H}}(P)t.$$
(3.15)

Since u has a derivative at x, we have $P+D_x u = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x,\dot{\gamma}_-^x(0))$ and $\phi_{-\delta}^*(x,D_x u) = D_{\gamma_-^x(-\delta)}u$, where $\phi_{\tilde{H}}$ is the Hamiltonian flow of $\tilde{H}(x,p) = H(x,P+p)$. In order to apply Theorem 4.7.5 of [3], we choose $t = 2\delta$ in (3.15). In the following, we will give the Lipschitzian constant. From (5.3), we know that when $\|P\| \leq \eta_0$, $\|D_x u(x,P)\| \leq 1$. Write

$$D_1 = \tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1} D_2,$$

where $D_2 = \{(x, D_x u(x, P)) \in T^* \mathbb{T}^n | \forall x \in \mathbb{T}^n, \forall u(x, P) \text{ satisfying (1.1)}, ||P|| \leq \eta_0\}$. It is clear that there exists compact sets D_3 and D_4 such that $D_2 \subset D_3$ and $D_1 \subset D_4$. It is clear that

$$\sup_{x\in D_4} |\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x^2}|, \ \sup_{x\in D_4} |\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x \partial v}|, \ \sup_{x\in D_4} |\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial v^2}| \le \frac{1}{2}K.$$

From Theorem 4.7.5 and Proposition 4.7.1 of [3], the Lipschitzian constant is no more than $\frac{K}{\delta}$.

4 Proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1

Proof. For any $x \in dom(Du(x, P))$, from the proof of Lemma 3.3, there exists a calibrated curve γ^x such that $\phi^*_{-\delta}(x, D_x u) = (\gamma^x(-\delta), D_{\gamma^x(-\delta)}u)$. Denote $x_1 = \gamma^x(-\delta)$. For $||P|| \le \epsilon_0$, from Lemma 3.2 and (3.14), there exists $x(-t_0) = x_2 \in \mathcal{M}_P$ such that

$$\|x_1 - x_2\| \le C_6 \|P\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}.$$
(4.1)

Moreover, from (3.7), (3.8), (3.13) and (3.14), one gets $|p(-t_0)| \leq 6C_0 |P|$ and $|p(-t_0+\delta)| \leq 6C_0 |P|$. Then $\|\tilde{p}(-t_0)\| \leq (6C_0+1)\sqrt{n}\|P\|$ and $\|\tilde{p}(-t_0-\delta)\| \leq (6C_0+1)\sqrt{n}\|P\|$. Clearly, for x_0 , there exists a calibrated curve γ_1 such that $\gamma_1(0) = x_0$. Write $\gamma_1(-t_0) = x_2$ and $\gamma_1(-t_0+\delta) = x_3$. From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$P + D_x u(x_3, P) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x_3, v(x_3)).$$

It is clear $\tilde{p}(-t_0+\delta) = D_x u(x_3, P)$. It results in

$$||D_x u(x_3, P)|| \le (6C_0 + 1)\sqrt{n}||P|| = C_7 ||P||.$$
(4.2)

From the proof of Lemma 3.3, we know that for $||P|| \leq \eta_0$, $||D_x u(x, P)|| \leq 1$. Therefore, there exits a compact set $D_5 \subset T^* \mathbb{T}^n$ such that

$$\{\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{-\delta}(x, D_x u(x, P)) | \forall x \in dom(Du(x, P)), \ \forall u(x, P) \text{ satisfying } (1.1)\} \subset D_5.$$

Denote d the flat metric in $T^*\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$. Clearly, for $||P|| \leq \eta_1$, one has

$$||x_1 - x_2|| \le C_6 ||P||^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}} \le \eta.$$

Then for $||P|| \leq \min\{\epsilon_0, \eta_0, \eta_1\}$, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} d(\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{\delta}(\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{-\delta}(x,D_{x}u(x,P))),\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{\delta}(\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{-\delta}(x_{3},D_{x}u(x_{3},P))) \\ &\leq \sup_{D_{5}} |D\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{\delta}| d(\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{-\delta}(x,D_{x}u(x,P)),\phi_{\tilde{H}}^{-\delta}(x_{3},D_{x}u(x_{3},P))) \\ (\text{from a compact discussion}) &\leq Cd((x_{1},D_{x_{1}}u(x_{1},P)),(x_{2},D_{x_{2}}u(x_{2},P))) \\ (\text{from Lemma 3.3}) &\leq C\delta^{-1} ||x_{1}-x_{2}|| \\ (\text{from (4.1)}) &\leq C\delta^{-1} ||P||^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$||D_x u(x, P) - D_x u(x_3, P)|| \le C\delta^{-1} ||P||^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}$$

Combining with (4.2), we get

$$||D_x u(x, P)|| \le C\delta^{-1} ||P||^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}$$

The following is the proof of Corollary 1.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(x,P) - u(x,0)\| &\leq |u(x,P) - \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} u(x,P)| \\ &= |u(x,P) - u(y,P)|. \end{aligned}$$

From the proof of Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 1, if $0 < \delta \leq 1$ and $||P|| \leq \min\{\epsilon_0, \eta_0, \eta_1\}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x,P) - u(y,P)| &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}} \|x - y\| \\ &\leq C\delta^{-1} \|P\|^{\frac{1}{\tau+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

5 Appendix

Lemma 5.1 u_n is a viscosity solution of

$$H(x, P_n + Du_n(x, P_n)) = \overline{H}(P_n), \tag{5.1}$$

 $P_n \in D$, where D is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n , then $\exists K'_2$ depending only on H and D, such that

$$|u_n(x, P_n) - u_n(y, P_n)| \le K_2' ||x - y||,$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Proof. From $P_n \in D$, $\exists K'_1$ depending only on D and H, such that $|\overline{H}(P_n)| \leq K'_1$. Since H is superlinear and (5.1), it is clear that the set $\{(x,p): |H(x,p)| \leq K'_1\}$ is compact. It follows that $\exists K'_2$ depending only on H and D, such that $|Du_n(x,P_n)| \leq K'_2$, where $x \in W_{P_n} = dom(Du_n, P_n)$. For each P_n , $u_n(x, P_n)$ is Lipschitz function. So, $\mathbb{T}^n \setminus W_{P_n}$ is negligible for Lebsgue measure.

Given two point $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^n$, by Fubini theorem, there exist two sequences of points $x_k, y_k \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $x_k \to x, y_k \to y$, and the affine segment $\Gamma_k : \gamma_k(t) = x_k + \frac{y_k - x_k}{|y_k - x_k|}t$ intersect W_{P_n} in a set of full linear measure in Γ_k . We have

$$|u_{n}(y_{k}, P_{n}) - u_{n}(x_{k}, P_{n})| \leq \int_{0}^{\|y_{k} - x_{k}\|} |\langle D_{x}u_{n}(x, P_{n}), \dot{\gamma}_{k}\rangle|dt$$
$$\leq \int_{0}^{\|y_{k} - x_{k}\|} |\|D_{x}u_{n}(x, P_{n})\|\|\dot{\gamma}_{k}\|dt$$
$$\leq K_{2}'\|y_{k} - x_{k}\|.$$

Since $u_n(x, P_n)$ is continuous and $x_k \to x, y_k \to y$, we have

$$|u_n(x, P_n) - u_n(y, P_n)| \le K_2' ||x - y||.$$

Lemma 5.2 Write $[u_m](x) = u_m(x) - \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} u_m(x)$. If u_n is a viscosity solution of $H(x, P_n + Du_n(x, P_n)) = \overline{H}(P_n)$, where $P_n \in D$ and D is a compact set in \mathbb{R}^n , then there exits a sequence of $[u_n]$ and $u_0 \in C^0(M, \mathbb{R})$ such that $[u_n] \to u_0$ in the C^0 topology uniformly on M.

Proof. Write $u_n(x_0^n, P_n) = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^n} u_n(x, P_n)$. From Lemma 5.1, for $\forall n$, we get

$$|u_n(x, P_n) - u_n(x_0^n, P_n)| \le K_2' ||x - x_0^n|| \le K_3'$$

where K'_3 depends on H and D. This means that $|[u_n]| \leq K'_3$, for any n. Similarly, from Lemma 5.1, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{T}^n$, we have

$$|[u_n](x, P_n) - [u_n](y, P_n)| = |u_n(x, P_n) - u_n(y, P_n)|$$

$$\leq K_2' ||x - y||.$$

From Ascoli's Theorem, we get the conclusion.

Lemma 5.3 M is a compact and connected manifold. L is a Tonelli Lagrangian. Denote by $H: T^*M \to \mathbb{R}$ its associated Hamiltonian. If $\mathcal{A}_0 = \mathcal{M}_0 = M$ and u is a viscosity solution of H(x, Du) = c, then u is unique(mod a constant).

Proof. From Section 5.2 in [3], we have the following:

$$\mathcal{I}_{(u_{-},u_{+})} = \{x \in M | u_{-}(x) = u_{+}(x)\}
\tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{(u_{-},u_{+})} = \{(x,v) | x \in \mathcal{I}_{(u_{-},u_{+})}, D_{x}u_{-} = D_{x}u_{+} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(x,v)\}
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{0} = \cap \tilde{\mathcal{I}}_{(u_{-},u_{+})}, \ \mathcal{A}_{0} = \pi(\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{0}).$$
(5.2)

From (5.2) and Theorem 5.2.8 in [3], we know that if v is another viscosity solution(also weak KAM solution) of H(x, Du) = c, then $D_x u = D_x v$, for any $x \in M$. The conclusion is clear.

Proposition 5.1 When $P \rightarrow 0$, then

$$\|P + D_x u(x, P)\| \to 0, \tag{5.3}$$

for any $x \in dom(Du(x, P))$ and any u(x, P) satisfying (1.1).

Proof. Suppose it is not true, then we have the following: $\exists \epsilon_0 > 0$, $\forall \delta_n = \frac{1}{n} \to 0$, $\exists \|P_n\| \leq \delta_n$, $\exists u_n(x, P_n)$ and $x_n \in dom(Du_n(x, P_n))$ such that

$$||P_n + D_x u_n(x_n, P_n)|| \ge \epsilon_0.$$

Since u_n is a viscosity solution(weak KAM solution) and satisfies $H(x, P_n + Du) = \overline{H}(P_n)$, from Proposition 4.4.8 of [3], we have the following: for $x_n \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $\exists (u_n, L - \langle P_n, \dot{x} \rangle, \overline{H}(P_n))$ calibrated curve γ_n such that for $\forall t > 0$,

$$u_n(\gamma_n(0)) - u_n(\gamma_n(-t)) = \int_{-t}^0 [L - \langle P_n, \dot{x} \rangle] ds + \overline{H}(P_n)t, \qquad (5.4)$$

where $\gamma_n(0) = x_n$. We also have

$$P_n + D_x u_n(x_n, P_n) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial v}(\gamma_n(0), \dot{\gamma}_n(0)).$$
(5.5)

Since $||P_n|| \leq 1$, it is easy to see that $\exists K_1 > 0$, such that

$$||P_n + D_x u_n(x_n, P_n)|| \le K_1.$$
(5.6)

Otherwise, we will have $||P_{n_i} + D_x u_{n_i}(x_{n_i}, P_{n_i})|| \to \infty$. Then $H(x_{n_i}, P_{n_i} + D_x u_{n_i}(x_{n_i}, P_{n_i})) = \overline{H}(P_{n_i}) \to \infty$. But it is impossible since $\overline{H}(P_{n_i})$ is bounded.

From (5.5) and (5.6), one gets that $\exists K_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|(\gamma_n(0), \dot{\gamma}_n(0))\| \le K_2. \tag{5.7}$$

Therefore, there exists a sequence denoted still by $(\gamma_n(0), \dot{\gamma}_n(0))$ and $(x_0, \dot{x}_0) \in T\mathbb{T}^n$ such that

$$(\gamma_n(0), \dot{\gamma}_n(0)) \to (x_0, \dot{x}_0).$$
 (5.8)

Denote

$$(\gamma_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) = (\phi_{-t}^L)_*(x_0, \dot{x}_0)$$

where t > 0 and ϕ_t^L is the Lagrangian flow in $T\mathbb{T}^n$. From (5.8), it is clear that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} (\phi_{-t}^L)_* (\gamma_n(0), \dot{\gamma}_n(0)) = (\phi_{-t}^L)_* (x_0, \dot{x}_0) = (\gamma_0(t), \dot{\gamma}_0(-t)).$$
(5.9)

This means for any $t \in [0, \infty)$, we have

$$(\gamma_n(-t), \dot{\gamma}_n(-t)) \to (\gamma_0(-t), \dot{\gamma}_0(-t)).$$
 (5.10)

From Lemma 5.2 in the appendix, we know that there exists a sequence $[u_n]$ and $u \in C^0(M,\mathbb{R})$ such that $[u_n] \to u$ in the C^0 topology uniformly on M. Since $||P_n|| \leq \delta_n \to 0$, one obtains $P_n \to 0$ when $n \to 0$. Further, we have

$$\overline{H}(P_n) \to \overline{H}(0) = 0. \tag{5.11}$$

From (5.4), (5.10) and (5.11), when $n \rightarrow 0$, we have for any t > 0,

$$u(\gamma_0(0)) - u(\gamma_0(-t)) = \int_{-t}^0 L(\gamma_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) ds.$$
(5.12)

Write $H_n(x,p) = H(x,P_n+p) - \overline{H}(P_n)$, from Theorem 8.1.1 of [3], one has that u is a viscosity solution of $H(x,d_x u) = 0$. From Theorem 7.6.1 of [3], we have

$$u \prec L. \tag{5.13}$$

From (5.12) and (5.13), it is obvious that $\gamma_0(s)$ is (u, L, 0)-calibrated. From Proposition 4.4.10 of [3], we have the following: there exists a Borel probability measure μ on $T\mathbb{T}^n$, invariant by ϕ_t^L , carried by the α -limit set of the orbit of (x_0, \dot{x}_0) , and such that $\int Ld\mu = 0$.

From Corollary 4.8.4 of [3], we have $\overline{supp\mu} \subset \{(x,\omega) | x \in \mathbb{T}^n\}$. Therefore, $\exists t_1 > 0$ large enough and $\epsilon_1 > 0$ small enough, such that

$$\|\dot{\gamma}_0(-t_1) - \omega\| = \epsilon_1 > 0. \tag{5.14}$$

But

$$L(\gamma_0(-t_1), \dot{\gamma}_0(-t_1)) \ge \frac{1}{4}\lambda_1^{-1} \|\dot{\gamma}_0(-t_1) - \omega\|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\lambda_1^{-1}\epsilon_1^2 > 0.$$
(5.15)

From Lemma 5.3, we know that u is unique(mod constant). But from H(x, du) = 0, it is clear that u = c is the smooth solution. Then (5.12) is changed into the following: for any t > 0, $\int_{-t}^{0} L(\gamma_0(s), \dot{\gamma}_0(s)) ds = 0$. Then for any t > 0, we have $L(\gamma_0(-t), \dot{\gamma}_0(-t)) = 0$. This contradicts with (5.15).

References

- J. Bourgain, F. Golse, and B. Wennberg: on the distribution of free path lengths for the periodic Lorentz gas. Commun. Math. Phys. 190, 491-508(1998)
- [2] H. S. Dumas: Ergodization rates for linear flow on the torus. J. Dynam. Differential Equations 3, 593-610(1991)
- [3] A. Fathi: Weak KAM Theorem in Lagrangian Dynamics. Seventh Preliminary Version, 2006.
- [4] D. Gomes: Perturbation theory for viscosity solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations and stability of Aubry-Mather sets. SIAM, J.Math.Anal. 35, 135-147(2003)
- [5] P. L. Lions, G. Papanicolao, and S. R. S. Varadhan: Homogeneization of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Preliminary Version, 1988.
- [6] J. Mather: Modulus of continuity for Peierls's barrier, P.H. Rabinowitz et al. (eds.), Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian Systems and related topics. 177-202(1987)
- [7] J. Mather: Action minimizing invariant measures for positive definite Lagrangian systems. Math. Z. 207, 169-207(1991)
- [8] J. Mather: Variational constuction of connecting orbits. Ann. Inst. Fourier(Grenoble) 43(5), 1349-1386(1993)
- [9] A. Morbidelli, A. Giorgilli: superexponential stability of KAM tori. J. Stat. Phys 78, 1607-1617 (1995)
- [10] A.D. Perry, S. Wiggins: KAM tori are very sticky. Physica D, 71 102-121(1994)
- [11] D. Salamon, E. Zehnder: KAM theory in configuration space. Comment. Math. Helv. 64, 84-132(1989)