

On singular solutions to PDEs with turning point involving a quadratic nonlinearity

Stéphane Malek

University of Lille 1, Laboratoire Paul Painlevé,
59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq cedex, France,
Stephane.Malek@math.univ-lille1.fr

November, 24 2016

Abstract

We study a singularly perturbed PDE with quadratic nonlinearity depending on a complex perturbation parameter ϵ . The problem involves an irregular singularity in time, as in a previous work of the author and A. Lastra [20], but possess also, as a new feature, a turning point at the origin in \mathbb{C} . We construct a family of sectorial meromorphic solutions obtained as a small perturbation in ϵ of a slow curve of the equation in some time scale. We show that the non singular part of these solutions share a common formal power series (that generally diverge) in ϵ as Gevrey asymptotic expansion of some order depending on data both arising from the turning point and from the irregular singular point of the main problem.

Key words: asymptotic expansion, Borel-Laplace transform, Fourier transform, Cauchy problem, formal power series, nonlinear integro-differential equation, nonlinear partial differential equation, singular perturbation. 2000 MSC: 35C10, 35C20.

1 Introduction

In this work, we consider a family of nonlinear singularly perturbed equations of the form

$$(1) \quad Q(\partial_z)(P_1(t, \epsilon)u(t, z, \epsilon) + P_2(t, \epsilon)u^2(t, z, \epsilon)) = f(t, z, \epsilon) + P_3(t, \epsilon, \partial_t, \partial_z)u(t, z, \epsilon)$$

where Q, P_1, P_2, P_3 are polynomials with complex coefficients and f is an analytic function in the vicinity of the origin w.r.t t and ϵ in \mathbb{C} and holomorphic w.r.t z on an horizontal strip in \mathbb{C} of the form $H_\beta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} / |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < \beta\}$ for some $\beta > 0$.

Here we consider the case when $P_1(0, \epsilon)$ vanishes identically near 0. The point $t = 0$ is known to be called a *turning point* in that situation, see [27] and [9] for a more detailed description of this terminology in the linear and nonlinear settings. Let us recall the definition of the valuation $\operatorname{val}_t(f)$ of an analytic function near $t = 0$ as the smallest integer $k \geq 0$ with the factorization $f(t) = t^k \tilde{f}(t)$ for an analytic function \tilde{f} near $t = 0$ with $\tilde{f}(0) \neq 0$. The most interesting case examined in this work is when the valuation $\operatorname{val}_t(P_1)$ of $P_1(t, \epsilon)$ w.r.t t is larger than the valuation $\operatorname{val}_t(P_2)$ or $\operatorname{val}_t(f(t, z, \epsilon))$ since the problem cannot be reduced to the case $P_1(0, 0) \neq 0$ by dividing the equation (1) by a suitable power of t and ϵ , see Remark 4.

In our previous study [20], we already have considered a similar problem which corresponds to the situation when $P_1(0, 0) \neq 0$ for our equation (1). Namely, we focused on the following problem

$$(2) \quad Q(\partial_z)\partial_t y(t, z, \epsilon) = (Q_1(\partial_z)y(t, z, \epsilon))(Q_2(\partial_z)y(t, z, \epsilon)) + H(t, \epsilon, \partial_t, \partial_z)y(t, z, \epsilon) + f(t, z, \epsilon)$$

for given vanishing initial data $y(0, z, \epsilon) \equiv 0$, where Q, Q_1, Q_2, H are polynomials with complex coefficients and $f(t, z, \epsilon)$ is a forcing term constructed as above. Under appropriate assumptions on the shape of (2), we established the existence of a family of actual bounded holomorphic solutions $y_p(t, z, \epsilon)$, $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, for some integer $\varsigma \geq 2$, defined on domains $\mathcal{T} \times H_\beta \times \mathcal{E}_p$, for some fixed bounded sector \mathcal{T} with vertex at 0 and $\mathcal{E} = \{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}$ a set of bounded sectors whose union covers a full neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^* . These solutions are obtained by means of Laplace and inverse Fourier transforms. On each sector \mathcal{E}_p , they share w.r.t ϵ a common asymptotic expansion $\hat{y}(t, z, \epsilon) = \sum_{n \geq 0} y_n(t, z) \epsilon^n$ which defines a formal series with bounded holomorphic coefficients on $\mathcal{T} \times H_\beta$. Moreover, this asymptotic expansion is shown to be of Gevrey order (at most) $1/k$ that appears in the highest order term of the operator H which is of irregular type in the sense of [23] outlined as $\epsilon^{(\delta_D - 1)k} t^{(\delta_D - 1)(k+1)} \partial_t^{\delta_D} R_D(\partial_z)$, for some integer $\delta_D \geq 2$ and a polynomial R_D with complex coefficients. Conjointly, since the aperture of the sectors \mathcal{E}_p can be chosen slightly larger than π/k , the functions $\epsilon \mapsto y_p(t, z, \epsilon)$ can be viewed as k -sums of the formal series \hat{y} as defined in [2].

In this work, our goal is to achieve a similar statement namely the existence of sectorial holomorphic solutions and asymptotic expansions as ϵ tends to 0. However, the main contrast with the problem (2) is that, due to the presence of the turning point, our solutions are no longer bounded in the vicinity of the origin, being meromorphic in both time variable t and parameter ϵ . Namely, we build a set of actual meromorphic solutions $u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ to the problem (1) of the form

$$u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) = \epsilon^\beta (U_0(\epsilon^\alpha t) + (\epsilon^\alpha t)^\gamma v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon))$$

where $\alpha > 1, \beta$ are some rational numbers, γ is an integer and $U_0(T)$ is a non identically vanishing root of a second order algebraic equation with polynomial coefficients related to the polynomials P_1, P_2 , see (37) and where $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ is a bounded holomorphic function on products $\mathcal{T} \times H_\beta \times \mathcal{E}_p$ similar to the ones mentioned above, which can be expressed as a Laplace transform of some order $\kappa \geq 1$ and Fourier inverse transform

$$v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) = \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{L_{\mathfrak{d}_p}} \omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(u, m, \epsilon) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha} t}\right)^\kappa\right) e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm$$

along some halfline $L_{\mathfrak{d}_p} = \mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\mathfrak{d}_p}$, for some positive rational number $\chi > 0$, where $\omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(u, m, \epsilon)$ represents a function with at most exponential growth of order κ on a sector containing $L_{\mathfrak{d}_p}$ w.r.t u , with exponential decay w.r.t m on \mathbb{R} and with analytic dependence on ϵ near 0 (see Theorem 1). Furthermore, we show that these functions $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ own w.r.t ϵ a common asymptotic expansion $\hat{v}(t, z, \epsilon) = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_n(t, z) \epsilon^n$ which represents a formal series with bounded holomorphic coefficients on $\mathcal{T} \times H_\beta$. We specify also the nature of this asymptotic expansion which turns out to be of Gevrey order (at most) $\frac{1}{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}$. Besides, since the aperture of the sectors \mathcal{E}_p may be selected slightly larger than $\frac{\pi}{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}$, the functions $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}$ can be identified as $(\chi + \alpha)\kappa$ -sums of the formal series \hat{v} (Theorem 2). By construction, the integer κ shows up in the highest order term of the operator P_3 which is of irregular type of the form $\epsilon^{\Delta_D} t^{\delta_D(\kappa+1)+k_0} \partial_t^{\delta_D} R_D(\partial_z)$, with $k_0 = \text{val}_t(P_1)$, for some integers $\Delta_D \geq 0, \delta_D \geq 2$ and a polynomial R_D with complex coefficients. The rational number χ is built with the help of the integers $\Delta_D, \delta_D, k_0, \kappa$ and

the rational numbers α, β , see (52). According to the fact that α, β are mainly related to constraints assumed on the polynomials P_1 and P_2 (see (34), (35)), we observe that the Gevrey order $\frac{1}{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}$ of the asymptotic expansion involves informations both coming from the highest irregular term and from the two polynomials P_1, P_2 that shape the turning point at $t = 0$, whereas in our previous contribution [20], the Gevrey order was exclusively stemming from the irregular singularity at $t = 0$.

The kind of equations with quadratic nonlinearity we investigate in this work are strongly related to singularly perturbed ODEs which are nonsingular at the origin of the form $\epsilon^\sigma dy/dt = F(t, y, a, \epsilon)$ for some analytic functions F , small complex parameter ϵ and a complex additional parameter a , described in the seminal joint paper by M. Canalis-Durand, J.P. Ramis, R. Schäfke, Y. Sibuya, see [4], where they study asymptotic properties of actual overstable solutions near a slow curve $\phi_0(t)$ (meaning that $F(t, \phi_0(t), a, 0) \equiv 0$) in the case when the Jacobian $\partial_y F(t, \phi_0(t), a, 0)$ is not invertible at $t = 0$. The main notable difference is that we assume the origin to be at the same time a turning point and an irregular singularity. More precisely, with the rescaling map $(t, \epsilon) \mapsto (T = \epsilon t, \epsilon)$ the transformed equation (32) possess a rational slow curve $U_0(T)$ and $T = 0$ remains a turning point and an irregular singularity for this new equation.

The construction of the distinguished solution performed in Section 4 and the parametric Borel/Laplace summable character of these solutions shown in Section 7 are also intimately linked to recent developments of exact WKB analysis of formal and analytic solutions to second order linear ODEs of Schrödinger type. Namely, let

$$(3) \quad \epsilon^2 \psi''(t, \epsilon) = Q(t) \psi(t, \epsilon)$$

be a singularly perturbed ODE where ϵ is a small complex parameter and $Q(t)$ is some polynomial with complex coefficients. WKB solutions of (3) are known as special solutions that are described as an exponential $\hat{\psi}(t, \epsilon) = \exp(\int_{t_0}^t \hat{S}(s, \epsilon) ds)$ where the expression $\hat{S}(t, \epsilon)$ satisfies a so-called *Riccati equation*

$$\epsilon^2 \hat{S}'(t, \epsilon) + \epsilon^2 \hat{S}^2(t, \epsilon) = Q(t).$$

This last equation possess formal power series solutions $\hat{S}(t, \epsilon) = S_{-1}(t)/\epsilon + \sum_{n \geq 0} S_n(t) \epsilon^n$ where $S_{-1}(t)$ satisfies the quadratic equation $S_{-1}^2(t) = Q(t)$. Once $S_{-1}(t) = \pm \sqrt{Q(t)}$ is fixed, we get two formal solutions $\hat{S}_\pm(t, \epsilon) = S_{-1}(t)/\epsilon + \hat{T}_\pm(t, \epsilon)$, where $\hat{T}_\pm(t, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{C}[[\epsilon]]$ for any $t \in U = \{t \in \mathbb{C}/Q(t) \neq 0\}$. Notice that $\hat{T}_\pm(t, \epsilon)$ solves the first order Riccati equation

$$\epsilon \hat{T}'_\pm(t, \epsilon) + 2S_{-1}(t) \hat{T}_\pm(t, \epsilon) + \epsilon \hat{T}_\pm^2(t, \epsilon) + S'_{-1}(t) = 0$$

with turning points at the roots of $Q(t)$. Our main PDE (1) resembles this last one provided that $S_{-1}(t)$ is a polynomial and with the significant distinction that our equation only involves differential operators with irregular singularity at $t = 0$. An essential feature of the theory is that the formal series $\hat{T}_\pm(t, \epsilon)$ are 1-summable in suitable directions $d \in \mathbb{R}$ w.r.t ϵ (that are related to the function $\int_{t_0}^t S_{-1}(s) ds$ for any fixed $t \in U$). Different proofs of this fact can be found in [25], [10], [8], [5]. Our second main statement Theorem 2 can be considered as a similar contribution for some higher order PDEs of this latter result. Furthermore, in our study we are also able to described the behaviour of our specific solutions near $(t, \epsilon) = (0, 0)$.

For more recent and advanced works related to WKB analysis and local/global studies of solutions to linear ODEs near turning points, we refer to contributions related to the 1D Schrödinger equation with simple poles [18], with merging pairs of simple poles and turning points [15], with merging triplet of poles and turning points [16], [17] and for analytic continuation properties of the Borel transform (resurgence) of WKB expansions in the problem of confluence of two

simple turning points we quote [6]. Concerning the structure of singular formal solutions to singularly perturbed linear systems of ODEs with turning points we point out [24] solving an old question of W. Wasow. We mention also preminent studies on WKB analysis for higher order differential equations which reveal new Stokes phenomena giving rise to so-called virtual turning points, [12], [1].

In the framework of linear PDEs, normal forms for completely integrable systems near a degenerate point where two turning points coalesce have been obtained in [11], which is a first step toward the so-called Dubrovin conjecture which concerns the question of universal behaviour of generic solutions near gradient catastrophe of singularly Hamiltonian perturbations of first order hyperbolic equations, see [7]. We mention also that sectorial analytic transformations to normal forms have been obtained for systems of singularly perturbed ODEs near a turning point with multiplicity using the recent approach of composite asymptotic expansions developed in [9], see [14].

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2, we recall the definition introduced in the work [20] of some weighted Banach spaces of continuous functions with exponential growth on unbounded sectors in \mathbb{C} and with exponential decay on \mathbb{R} . We analyze the continuity of specific multiplication and linear/nonlinear convolution operators acting on these spaces.

In Section 3, we remind the reader basic statements concerning m_k -Borel-Laplace transforms, a version of the classical Borel-Laplace maps already used in previous works [19], [20], [21] and Fourier transforms acting on exponentially flat functions.

In Section 4, we display our main problems and explain the leading strategy in order to solve them. It consists in four operations. In a first step, we restrict our inquiry for the sets of solutions to time rescaled function spaces, see (31). Then, we consider candidates for solutions to the resulting auxiliary problem (32) that are small perturbations of a so-called slow curve which solves a second order algebraic equation and which may be singular at the origin in \mathbb{C} . In a third step, we search again for time rescaled functions solutions for the associated problem (50) solved by the small perturbation of the slow curve, see (51). In the last step, we write down the convolution problem (58) solved by a suitable m_κ -Borel transform of a formal solution to the attached problem (53).

In Section 5, we solve the main convolution problem (58) within the Banach spaces described in Section 2 using some fixed point theorem argument.

In Section 6, we provide a set of actual meromorphic solutions to our initial equation (29) by executing backwards the operations described in Section 4. In particular, we show that our singular functions actually solve the problem (120) which is a factorized part of equation (29) with a more restrictive forcing term. Furthermore, the difference of any two neighboring solutions tends to 0 as ϵ tends to 0 faster than a function with exponential decay of order $(\chi + \alpha)\kappa$.

In Section 7, we show the existence of a common asymptotic expansion of Gevrey order $\frac{1}{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa}$ for the non singular part of these solutions of (29), (120) based on the flatness estimates obtained in Section 6 using a theorem by Ramis and Sibuya.

2 Banach spaces with exponential growth and exponential decay

We denote $D(0, \rho)$ the open disc centered at 0 with radius $\rho > 0$ in \mathbb{C} and by $\bar{D}(0, \rho)$ its closure. Let S_d be an open unbounded sector in direction $d \in \mathbb{R}$ and \mathcal{E} be an open sector with finite radius $r_{\mathcal{E}}$, both centered at 0 in \mathbb{C} . By convention, these sectors do not contain the origin in \mathbb{C} .

We first give definitions of Banach spaces which already appear in our previous work [20].

Definition 1 Let $\beta > 0$ and $\mu > 1$ be real numbers. We denote $E_{(\beta,\mu)}$ the vector space of functions $h : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\|h(m)\|_{(\beta,\mu)} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |m|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m|) |h(m)|$$

is finite. The space $E_{(\beta,\mu)}$ endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(\beta,\mu)}$ becomes a Banach space.

As a direct consequence of Proposition 5 from [20], we notice that

Proposition 1 The Banach space $(E_{(\beta,\mu)}, \|\cdot\|_{(\beta,\mu)})$ is a Banach algebra for the convolution product

$$(f \star g)(m) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(m - m_1)g(m_1)dm_1$$

Namely, there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$ (depending on μ) such that

$$\|(f \star g)(m)\|_{(\beta,\mu)} \leq C_0 \|f(m)\|_{(\beta,\mu)} \|g(m)\|_{(\beta,\mu)}$$

for all $f, g \in E_{(\beta,\mu)}$.

Definition 2 Let $\nu, \rho > 0$ and $\beta > 0, \mu > 1$ be real numbers. Let $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\chi, \alpha \geq 0$ be integers. Let $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$. We denote $F_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)}^d$ the vector space of continuous functions $(\tau, m) \mapsto h(\tau, m)$ on $(\bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d) \times \mathbb{R}$, which are holomorphic w.r.t τ on $D(0, \rho) \cup S_d$ and such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|h(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)} \\ &= \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0,\rho) \cup S_d, m \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |m|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m|) \frac{1 + |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|^{2\kappa}}{|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|} \exp(-\nu|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|^\kappa) |h(\tau, m)| \end{aligned}$$

is finite. One can check that the normed space $(F_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)}^d, \|\cdot\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)})$ is a Banach space.

Throughout the whole section, we keep the notations of Definitions 1 and 2.

In the next lemma, we check that some parameter depending functions with polynomial growth w.r.t the variable τ and exponential decay w.r.t the variable m , that will appear later on in our study (Section 5), belong to the Banach spaces described above.

Lemma 1 Let $\gamma_1 \geq 0, \gamma_2 \geq 1$ be integers. Let $\tilde{R}(X)$ be a polynomial that belongs to $\mathbb{C}[X]$ such that $\tilde{R}(im) \neq 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. We take a function $\tilde{B}(m)$ located in $E_{(\beta,\mu)}$ and we consider a continuous function $a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau, m)$ on $(\bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d) \times \mathbb{R}$, holomorphic w.r.t τ on $D(0, \rho) \cup S_d$ such that

$$|a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau, m)| \leq \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|^\kappa)^{\gamma_1} |\tilde{R}(im)|}$$

for all $\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the function $\epsilon^{-\chi\gamma_2} \tau^{\gamma_2} \tilde{B}(m) a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau, m)$ belongs to $F_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)}^d$. Moreover, there exists a constant $C_1 > 0$ (depending on κ and γ_2) such that

$$(4) \quad \|\epsilon^{-\chi\gamma_2} \tau^{\gamma_2} \tilde{B}(m) a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)} \leq C_1 \frac{\|\tilde{B}(m)\|_{(\beta,\mu)}}{\inf_{m \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{R}(im)|} |\epsilon|^{\gamma_2 \alpha}$$

for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$.

Proof By definition of the norm and bearing in mind the constraint on the polynomial $\tilde{R}(X)$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|\epsilon^{-\chi\gamma_2}\tau^{\gamma_2}\tilde{B}(m)a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau,m)\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)} &= \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0,\rho) \cup S_d, m \in \mathbb{R}} (1+|m|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m|)|\tilde{B}(m)| \\ &\times \frac{1 + |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon\chi+\alpha}|^{2\kappa}}{|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon\chi+\alpha}|} \exp(-\nu|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon\chi+\alpha}|^\kappa)|\epsilon|^{-\chi\gamma_2}|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon\chi+\alpha}|^{\gamma_2}|\epsilon|^{\gamma_2(\chi+\alpha)} \frac{1}{(1 + |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon\chi+\alpha}|^\kappa|\epsilon|^\kappa(\chi+\alpha))^{\gamma_1}|\tilde{R}(im)|} \\ &\leq \frac{\|\tilde{B}(m)\|_{(\beta,\mu)}}{\inf_{m \in \mathbb{R}}|\tilde{R}(im)|}|\epsilon|^{\gamma_2\alpha} \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1+x^{2\kappa}}{x} x^{\gamma_2} \frac{e^{-\nu x^\kappa}}{(1+x^\kappa|\epsilon|^\kappa(\chi+\alpha))^{\gamma_1}} \\ &\leq \frac{\|\tilde{B}(m)\|_{(\beta,\mu)}}{\inf_{m \in \mathbb{R}}|\tilde{R}(im)|}|\epsilon|^{\gamma_2\alpha} \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1+x^{2\kappa}}{x} x^{\gamma_2} e^{-\nu x^\kappa} \end{aligned}$$

which yields the lemma since an exponential grows faster than any polynomial. \square

The next proposition provides norms estimates for some linear convolution operators acting on the Banach spaces introduced above. These bounds are more accurate than the one supplied in Proposition 2 from [20]. These new estimates will be essential in Section 5 in order to solve the problem (58). The improvements are due to the use of thorough upper bounds estimates of a generalized Mittag-Leffler function described in the proofs of Propositions 1 and 5 from [21].

Proposition 2 *Let γ_j , $0 \leq j \leq 3$, be real numbers with $\gamma_1 \geq 0$. Let $\tilde{R}(X)$, $\tilde{R}_D(X)$ be polynomials with complex coefficients such that $\deg(\tilde{R}) \leq \deg(\tilde{R}_D)$ and with $\tilde{R}_D(im) \neq 0$ for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. We consider a continuous function $a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau,m)$ on $(\bar{D}(0,\rho) \cup S_d) \times \mathbb{R}$, holomorphic w.r.t τ on $D(0,\rho) \cup S_d$ such that*

$$|a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau,m)| \leq \frac{1}{(1+|\tau|^\kappa)^{\gamma_1}|\tilde{R}_D(im)|}$$

for all $\tau \in \bar{D}(0,\rho) \cup S_d$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. We make the next assumptions

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3 + 1 > 0 \quad , \quad \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + 2 \geq 0 \quad , \quad \gamma_2 > -1.$$

1) If $1 + \gamma_3 \leq 0$, then there exists a constant $C_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ and $\tilde{R}(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$) such that

$$(6) \quad \|\epsilon^{-\gamma_0}a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau,m)\tilde{R}(im)\tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\gamma_2} s^{\gamma_3} f(s^{1/\kappa}, m) ds\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)} \leq C_2 |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\gamma_2+\gamma_3+2)-\gamma_0} \|f(\tau,m)\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)}$$

for all $f(\tau,m) \in F_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)}^d$.

2) If $1 + \gamma_3 > 0$ and $\gamma_1 \geq 1 + \gamma_3$, then there exists a constant $C'_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ and $\tilde{R}(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$) such that

$$(7) \quad \|\epsilon^{-\gamma_0}a_{\gamma_1,\kappa}(\tau,m)\tilde{R}(im)\tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\gamma_2} s^{\gamma_3} f(s^{1/\kappa}, m) ds\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)} \leq C'_2 |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\gamma_2+\gamma_3+2)-\gamma_0-(\chi+\alpha)\kappa\gamma_1} \|f(\tau,m)\|_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)}$$

for all $f(\tau,m) \in F_{(\nu,\beta,\mu,\chi,\alpha,\kappa,\epsilon)}^d$.

Proof By definition of the norm, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
(8) \quad A &= \|\epsilon^{-\gamma_0} a_{\gamma_1, \kappa}(\tau, m) \tilde{R}(im) \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\gamma_2} s^{\gamma_3} f(s^{1/\kappa}, m) ds\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\
&= \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d, m \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |m|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m|) \frac{1 + \left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|^{2\kappa}}{\left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|} \exp(-\nu \left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|^\kappa) |\epsilon|^{-\gamma_0} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|^\kappa)^{\gamma_1}} \frac{|\tilde{R}(im)|}{|\tilde{R}_D(im)|} \\
&\quad \times |\tau|^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} \left\{ (1 + |m|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m|) \frac{1 + \frac{|s|^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}}{\frac{|s|^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}}} \exp(-\nu \frac{|s|}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) f(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \right\} \mathcal{A}(\tau, s, m, \epsilon) ds
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(\tau, s, m, \epsilon) = \frac{1}{(1 + |m|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m|)} \frac{\exp(\nu \frac{|s|}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}})}{\left(1 + \frac{|s|^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}\right)} \frac{|s|^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\gamma_2} s^{\gamma_3}.$$

Again by the definition of the norm of f and by the constraints on the polynomials R, R_D , we deduce that

$$(9) \quad A \leq C_{2.1}(\epsilon) \sup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\tilde{R}(im)}{\tilde{R}_D(im)} \right| \|f(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{2.1}(\epsilon) &= \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d} \frac{1 + \left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|^{2\kappa}}{\left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|} \exp(-\nu \left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|^\kappa) |\epsilon|^{-\gamma_0} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|^\kappa)^{\gamma_1}} \\
&\quad \times |\tau|^\kappa \int_0^{|\tau|^\kappa} \frac{\exp(\nu \frac{h}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}})}{1 + \frac{h^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}} \frac{h^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} (|\tau|^\kappa - h)^{\gamma_2} h^{\gamma_3} dh.
\end{aligned}$$

We perform the change of variable $h = |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa} h'$ inside the integral which is a part of $C_{2.1}(\epsilon)$ that yields

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{2.1}(\epsilon) &= \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d} \frac{1 + \left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|^{2\kappa}}{\left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|} \exp(-\nu \left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}\right|^\kappa) |\epsilon|^{-\gamma_0} \frac{1}{(1 + |\tau|^\kappa)^{\gamma_1}} \\
&\quad \times |\tau|^\kappa \int_0^{\frac{|\tau|^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}} \frac{e^{\nu h'}}{1 + (h')^2} (h')^{1/\kappa} \left(\frac{|\tau|^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}} - h' \right)^{\gamma_2} (h')^{\gamma_3} dh' |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

As a result, we obtain the bounds

$$(10) \quad C_{2.1}(\epsilon) \leq |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\gamma_2 + \gamma_3 + 1) - \gamma_0 + (\chi+\alpha)\kappa} \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1 + |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa} x)^{\gamma_1}} G(x)$$

where

$$G(x) = \int_0^x \frac{e^{\nu h'}}{1 + (h')^2} (h')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} (x - h')^{\gamma_2} dh'.$$

We now proceed as in Proposition 1 of [21]. We split the function $G(x)$ into two pieces and study them separately. Namely, we decompose $G(x) = G_1(x) + G_2(x)$ where

$$G_1(x) = \int_0^{x/2} \frac{e^{\nu h'}}{1 + (h')^2} (h')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} (x - h')^{\gamma_2} dh' \quad , \quad G_2(x) = \int_{x/2}^x \frac{e^{\nu h'}}{1 + (h')^2} (h')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} (x - h')^{\gamma_2} dh'.$$

We first provide estimates for $G_1(x)$.

a) Assume that $-1 < \gamma_2 < 0$. We see that $(x - h')^{\gamma_2} \leq (x/2)^{\gamma_2}$ for all $0 \leq h' \leq x/2$, for $x > 0$. Hence, from the first constraint of (5), we get

$$G_1(x) \leq \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\gamma_2} e^{\nu x/2} \int_0^{x/2} (h')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} dh' = \left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\gamma_2} e^{\nu x/2} \frac{(x/2)^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3 + 1}}{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3 + 1}$$

for all $x \geq 0$. Subsequently, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1 + |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa} x)^{\gamma_1}} G_1(x) \leq \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} x G_1(x)$$

which is finite due to the second assumption of (5).

b) Assume that $\gamma_2 > 0$. We notice that $(x - h')^{\gamma_2} \leq x^{\gamma_2}$ for all $0 \leq h' \leq x/2$, for $x > 0$. Therefore, again from the first constraint of (5) we get

$$G_1(x) \leq x^{\gamma_2} e^{\nu x/2} \int_0^{x/2} (h')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} dh' = x^{\gamma_2} e^{\nu x/2} \frac{(x/2)^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3 + 1}}{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3 + 1}$$

for all $x \geq 0$. Consequently, we obtain

$$(12) \quad \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1 + |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa} x)^{\gamma_1}} G_1(x) \leq \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} x G_1(x)$$

which is finite due to the second assumption of (5).

In a second step, we study $G_2(x)$.

We see that $1 + (h')^2 \geq 1 + (x/2)^2$ for all $x/2 \leq h' \leq x$. Hence,

$$(13) \quad G_2(x) \leq \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{x}{2})^2} \int_{x/2}^x e^{\nu h'} (h')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} (x - h')^{\gamma_2} dh' \leq \frac{1}{1 + (\frac{x}{2})^2} G_{2.1}(x)$$

where

$$G_{2.1}(x) = \int_0^x e^{\nu h'} (h')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} (x - h')^{\gamma_2} dh'$$

for all $x \geq 0$. Taking account of the estimates (18) in [21] which are deduced from the asymptotic behaviour for large x of the generalized Mittag-Leffler function $E_{\alpha, \beta}(x) = \sum_{n \geq 0} x^n / \Gamma(\beta + n\alpha)$, for $\alpha, \beta > 0$, we get a constant $K_{2.1} > 0$ (that depends on $\nu, \kappa, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$) such that

$$(14) \quad G_{2.1}(x) \leq K_{2.1} x^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} e^{\nu x}$$

for all $x \geq 1$, provided the first and last constraints of (5) hold.

1) We consider the first case when $1 + \gamma_3 \leq 0$.

Bearing in mind (13) and (14), we deduce that

$$(15) \quad \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1 + |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa} x)^{\gamma_1}} G_2(x) \leq \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{1 + x^2}{1 + (x/2)^2} K_{2.1} x^{1 + \gamma_3}$$

which is finite. On the other hand, when $0 \leq x < 1$, we make the change of variable $h' = xu'$ inside $G_{2.1}(x)$ and taking (13) into account, we get

$$(16) \quad \sup_{0 \leq x < 1} \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1 + |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa} x)^{\gamma_1}} G_2(x) \leq \sup_{0 \leq x < 1} \frac{1 + x^2}{1 + (x/2)^2} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{x^{1/\kappa}} x^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3 + \gamma_2 + 1} \times \int_0^1 e^{\nu x u'} (u')^{\frac{1}{\kappa} + \gamma_3} (1 - u')^{\gamma_2} du'$$

which is finite provided that the constraints (5) are fulfilled.

2) We examine the second case when $1 + \gamma_3 > 0$ and $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_3 + 1$.

We use this time the fact that $1 + |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}x \geq |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}x$ for all $x \geq 1$ and the bounds (14) in order to get

$$(17) \quad \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{1+x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1 + |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}x)^{\gamma_1}} G_2(x) \leq |\epsilon|^{-(\chi+\alpha)\kappa\gamma_1} K_{2,1} \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{1+x^2}{1+(x/2)^2} \frac{x^{\gamma_3+1}}{x^{\gamma_1}}$$

On the other hand, the bounds on the domain $0 \leq x < 1$ have already been treated above owing to (16).

Finally, gathering (9), (10), (11), (12), (15), (16) and (17) yields the statement of Proposition 2. \square

The forthcoming proposition present norms estimates for some bilinear convolution operators acting on the aforementioned Banach spaces.

Proposition 3 *There exists a constant $C_3 > 0$ (depending on μ and κ) such that*

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\tau^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) g((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \frac{1}{(\tau^\kappa - s')s'} ds' dm_1\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_3}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} \|f(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \|g(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $f(\tau), g(\tau) \in F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$.

Proof We follow the same guidelines as in the proof of Proposition 3 from [20]. By definition of the norm, we can write

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= \|\tau^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) g((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \frac{1}{(\tau^\kappa - s')s'} ds' dm_1\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ &= \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d, m \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |m|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m|) \frac{1 + \frac{|\tau|}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|^{2\kappa}}{|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|} \exp(-\nu |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|^\kappa) \\ & \quad \times |\tau^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \{(1 + |m - m_1|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m - m_1|) \\ & \quad \times \frac{1 + \frac{|\tau^\kappa - s'|^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}}{\frac{|\tau^\kappa - s'|^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}}} \exp(-\nu \frac{|\tau^\kappa - s'|}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) f((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1)\} \\ & \quad \times \{(1 + |m_1|)^\mu \exp(\beta|m_1|) \frac{1 + \frac{|s'|^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}}{\frac{|s'|^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}}} \exp(-\nu \frac{|s'|}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) g((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1)\} \times \mathcal{B}(\tau, s, m, m_1) ds' dm_1 | \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}(\tau, s, m, m_1) &= \frac{\exp(-\beta|m - m_1|) \exp(-\beta|m_1|)}{(1 + |m - m_1|)^\mu (1 + |m_1|)^\mu} \frac{\frac{|s'|^{1/\kappa} |\tau^\kappa - s'|^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{2(\chi+\alpha)}}}{(1 + \frac{|\tau^\kappa - s'|^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}) (1 + \frac{|s'|^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}})} \\ & \quad \times \exp(\nu \frac{|\tau^\kappa - s'|}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) \exp(\nu \frac{|s'|}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) \frac{1}{(\tau^\kappa - s')s'}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition of the norms of f and g and according to the triangular inequality $|m| \leq |m - m_1| + |m_1|$ for all $m, m_1 \in \mathbb{R}$, we deduce that

$$(20) \quad B \leq C_3(\epsilon) \|f(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \|g(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_3(\epsilon) = & \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d, m \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |m|)^\mu \frac{1 + \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}} \right|^{2\kappa}}{\left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}} \right|} \exp(-\nu \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}} \right|^\kappa) |\tau|^{\kappa-1} \\ & \times \int_0^{|\tau|^\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + |m - m_1|)^\mu (1 + |m_1|)^\mu} \frac{(h')^{1/\kappa} (|\tau|^\kappa - h')^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{2(\chi+\alpha)}} \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{(|\tau|^\kappa - h')^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{(h')^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}\right)} \\ & \times \exp(\nu \frac{|\tau|^\kappa - h'}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) \exp(\nu \frac{h'}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) \frac{1}{(|\tau|^\kappa - h') h'} dh' dm_1. \end{aligned}$$

We provide upper bounds that can be split in two parts,

$$(21) \quad C_3(\epsilon) \leq C_{3.1} C_{3.2}(\epsilon)$$

where

$$(22) \quad C_{3.1} = \sup_{m \in \mathbb{R}} (1 + |m|)^\mu \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(1 + |m - m_1|)^\mu (1 + |m_1|)^\mu} dm_1$$

is finite under the condition that $\mu > 1$ according to Lemma 4 of [22] and

$$\begin{aligned} C_{3.2}(\epsilon) = & \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d} \frac{1 + \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}} \right|^{2\kappa}}{\left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}} \right|} |\tau|^{\kappa-1} \\ & \times \int_0^{|\tau|^\kappa} \frac{\frac{(h')^{1/\kappa} (|\tau|^\kappa - h')^{1/\kappa}}{|\epsilon|^{2(\chi+\alpha)}}}{\left(1 + \frac{(|\tau|^\kappa - h')^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{(h')^2}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)2\kappa}}\right)} \frac{1}{(|\tau|^\kappa - h') h'} dh' \end{aligned}$$

We carry out the change of variable $h' = |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa} h$ inside the integral piece of $C_{3.2}(\epsilon)$ which yields the bounds

$$(23) \quad C_{3.2}(\epsilon) = \sup_{\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_d} \frac{1 + \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}} \right|^{2\kappa}}{\left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}} \right|} |\tau|^{\kappa-1} \times \int_0^{\frac{|\tau|^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}} \frac{h^{1/\kappa} \left(\frac{|\tau|^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}} - h \right)^{1/\kappa}}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{|\tau|^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}} - h \right)^2\right) (1 + h^2)} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{|\tau|^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}} - h \right) h} \frac{1}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}} dh \leq \frac{1}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} \sup_{x \geq 0} B(x)$$

where

$$B(x) = \frac{1 + x^2}{x^{2/\kappa}} x \int_0^x \frac{h^{1/\kappa} (x - h)^{1/\kappa}}{(1 + (x - h)^2) (1 + h^2)} \frac{1}{(x - h) h} dh.$$

A change of variable $h = xu$ in this last expression followed by a partial fraction decomposition allow us to write

$$(24) \quad B(x) = (1 + x^2) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1 + x^2(1 - u)^2)(1 + x^2u^2)} \frac{1}{(1 - u)^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}} u^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}}} du \\ = \frac{1 + x^2}{x^2 + 4} \int_0^1 \frac{3 - 2u}{1 + x^2(1 - u)^2} \frac{1}{(1 - u)^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}} u^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}}} du + \frac{1 + x^2}{x^2 + 4} \int_0^1 \frac{2u + 1}{1 + x^2u^2} \frac{1}{(1 - u)^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}} u^{1 - \frac{1}{\kappa}}} du$$

which acquaints us that $B(x)$ is finite provided that $\kappa \geq 1$ and bounded on \mathbb{R}_+ w.r.t x .

At last, collecting (19), (20), (21), (22), (23) and (24) leads to the statement of Proposition 3.

□

3 Borel-Laplace and Fourier transforms

In this section, we review some basic statements concerning a k -Borel summability method of formal power series which is a slightly modified version of the more classical procedure (see [2], Section 3.2). This novel version has already been used in works such as [19] and [20] when studying Cauchy problems under the presence of a small perturbation parameter. We remind also the reader the definition of Fourier inverse transform acting on functions with exponential decay.

Definition 3 *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. Let $(m_k(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence*

$$m_k(n) = \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{k}\right) = \int_0^\infty t^{\frac{n}{k}-1} e^{-t} dt, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let $(\mathbb{E}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{E}})$ be a complex Banach space. We say a formal power series

$$\hat{X}(T) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n T^n \in T\mathbb{E}[[T]]$$

is m_k -summable with respect to T in the direction $d \in [0, 2\pi)$ if the following assertions hold:

1. *There exists $\rho > 0$ such that the m_k -Borel transform of \hat{X} , $\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X})$, is absolutely convergent for $|\tau| < \rho$, where*

$$\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X})(\tau) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{k}\right)} \tau^n \in \tau\mathbb{E}[[\tau]].$$

2. *The series $\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X})$ can be analytically continued in a sector $S = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}^* : |d - \arg(\tau)| < \delta\}$ for some $\delta > 0$. In addition to this, the extension is of exponential growth at most k in S , meaning that there exist $C, K > 0$ such that*

$$\left\| \mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X})(\tau) \right\|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq C e^{K|\tau|^k}, \quad \tau \in S.$$

Under these assumptions, the vector valued Laplace transform of $\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X})$ along direction d is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d\left(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X})\right)(T) = k \int_{L_\gamma} \mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X})(u) e^{-(u/T)^k} \frac{du}{u},$$

where L_γ is the path parametrized by $u \in [0, \infty) \mapsto ue^{i\gamma}$, for some appropriate direction γ depending on T , such that $L_\gamma \subseteq S$ and $\cos(k(\gamma - \arg(T))) \geq \Delta > 0$ for some $\Delta > 0$.

The function $\mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}))$ is well defined and turns out to be a holomorphic and bounded function in any sector of the form $S_{d,\theta,R^{1/k}} = \{T \in \mathbb{C}^ : |T| < R^{1/k}, |d - \arg(T)| < \theta/2\}$, for some $\frac{\pi}{k} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{k} + 2\delta$ and $0 < R < \Delta/K$. This function is known as the m_k -sum of the formal power series $\hat{X}(T)$ in the direction d .*

The following are some elementary properties concerning the m_k -sums of formal power series which will be crucial in our procedure.

1) The function $\mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}))(T)$ admits $\hat{X}(T)$ as its Gevrey asymptotic expansion of order $1/k$ with respect to T in $S_{d,\theta,R^{1/k}}$. More precisely, for every $\frac{\pi}{k} < \theta_1 < \theta$, there exist $C, M > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}))(T) - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} a_p T^p \right\|_{\mathbb{E}} \leq CM^n \Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{k}) |T|^n,$$

for every $n \geq 2$ and $T \in S_{d,\theta_1,R^{1/k}}$. Watson's lemma (see Proposition 11 p.75 in [3]) allows us to affirm that $\mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}))(T)$ is unique provided that the opening θ_1 is larger than $\frac{\pi}{k}$.

2) Whenever \mathbb{E} is a Banach algebra, the set of holomorphic functions having Gevrey asymptotic expansion of order $1/k$ on a sector with values in \mathbb{E} turns out to be a differential algebra (see Theorem 18, 19 and 20 in [3]). This, and the uniqueness provided by Watson's lemma allow us to obtain some properties on m_k -summable formal power series in direction d .

By \star we denote the product in the Banach algebra and also the Cauchy product of formal power series with coefficients in \mathbb{E} . Let $\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2 \in T\mathbb{E}[[T]]$ be m_k -summable formal power series in direction d . Let $q_1 \geq q_2 \geq 1$ be integers. Then $\hat{X}_1 + \hat{X}_2$, $\hat{X}_1 \star \hat{X}_2$ and $T^{q_1} \partial_T^{q_2} \hat{X}_1$, which are elements of $T\mathbb{E}[[T]]$, are m_k -summable in direction d . Moreover, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}_1))(T) + \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}_2))(T) &= \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}_1 + \hat{X}_2))(T), \\ \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}_1))(T) \star \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}_2))(T) &= \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}_1 \star \hat{X}_2))(T), \\ T^{q_1} \partial_T^{q_2} \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{X}_1))(T) &= \mathcal{L}_{m_k}^d(\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(T^{q_1} \partial_T^{q_2} \hat{X}_1))(T), \end{aligned}$$

for every $T \in S_{d,\theta,R^{1/k}}$.

The next proposition is written without proof for it can be found in [20], Proposition 6.

Proposition 4 *Let $\hat{f}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} f_n t^n$ and $\hat{g}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 1} g_n t^n$ that belong to $\mathbb{E}[[t]]$, where $(\mathbb{E}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{E}})$ is a Banach algebra. Let $k, m \geq 1$ be integers. The following formal identities hold.*

$$\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(t^{k+1} \partial_t \hat{f}(t))(\tau) = k \tau^k \mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{f}(t))(\tau),$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(t^m \hat{f}(t))(\tau) = \frac{\tau^k}{\Gamma(\frac{m}{k})} \int_0^{\tau^k} (\tau^k - s)^{\frac{m}{k}-1} \mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{f}(t))(s^{1/k}) \frac{ds}{s}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{f}(t) \star \hat{g}(t))(\tau) = \tau^k \int_0^{\tau^k} \mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{f}(t))((\tau^k - s)^{1/k}) \star \mathcal{B}_{m_k}(\hat{g}(t))(s^{1/k}) \frac{1}{(\tau^k - s)s} ds.$$

In the last part of the section, we recall without proofs some properties of the inverse Fourier transform acting on continuous functions with exponential decay on \mathbb{R} , see [20], Proposition 7 for more details.

Proposition 5 *1) Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function with a constant $C > 0$ such that $|f(m)| \leq C \exp(-\beta|m|)$ for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, for some $\beta > 0$. The inverse Fourier transform of f is defined by the integral representation*

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(m) \exp(ixm) dm$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It turns out that the function $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)$ extends to an analytic function on the horizontal strip

$$(25) \quad H_\beta = \{z \in \mathbb{C} / |\operatorname{Im}(z)| < \beta\}.$$

Let $\phi(m) = \operatorname{im} f(m)$. Then, we have the commuting relation

$$(26) \quad \partial_z \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)(z)$$

for all $z \in H_\beta$.

2) Let $f, g \in E_{(\beta, \mu)}$ and let $\psi(m) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} f \star g(m)$, the convolution product of f and g , for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. From Proposition 1, we know that $\psi \in E_{(\beta, \mu)}$. Moreover, the next formula

$$(27) \quad \mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(z) \mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\psi)(z)$$

holds for all $z \in H_\beta$.

4 Layout of the main nonlinear PDE and related auxiliary problems

Let $q, M, Q \geq 0$, $D \geq 2$ be integers. For all $0 \leq l \leq q$, let k_l, m_l be non negative integers and a_l be complex numbers with $a_0 \neq 0$ such that $k_l < k_{l+1}$ for $l \in \{0, \dots, q-1\}$. For all $0 \leq l \leq M$, we consider non negative integers h_l, μ_l and complex numbers c_l with $c_0 \neq 0$ such that $h_l < h_{l+1}$ for $l \in \{0, \dots, M-1\}$. For all $0 \leq l \leq Q$, we denote n_l and b_l non negative integers such that $b_l < b_{l+1}$ for $l \in \{0, \dots, Q-1\}$. For $1 \leq l \leq D$, we set nonnegative integers Δ_l, d_l and δ_l such that $1 \leq \delta_l < \delta_{l+1}$ for $l \in \{1, \dots, D-1\}$.

Let $Q(X), R_l(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$, $1 \leq l \leq D$, be polynomials which can be factorized as $Q(X) = X^v \tilde{Q}(X)$, $R_l(X) = X^v \tilde{R}_l(X)$ for some common integer $v \geq 1$ where $\tilde{Q}(X)$ and $\tilde{R}_l(X)$ are polynomial that satisfy

$$(28) \quad \deg(\tilde{Q}) = \deg(\tilde{R}_D) \geq \deg(\tilde{R}_l) \quad , \quad \tilde{Q}(im) \neq 0 \quad , \quad \tilde{R}_D(im) \neq 0$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $1 \leq l \leq D-1$.

We consider the following nonlinear singularly perturbed PDE

$$(29) \quad Q(\partial_z) \left(\left(\sum_{l=0}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l} t^{k_l} \right) u(t, z, \epsilon) + \left(\sum_{l=0}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l} t^{h_l} \right) u^2(t, z, \epsilon) \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^Q b_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j} t^{b_j} + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l} t^{d_l} \partial_t^{\delta_l} R_l(\partial_z) u(t, z, \epsilon)$$

The coefficients $b_j(z)$ are constructed as follows. For all $0 \leq j \leq Q$, we consider functions $m \mapsto \tilde{B}_j(m)$ that belong to the Banach space $E_{(\beta, \mu)}$ for some $\mu > 1$ and $\beta > 0$. We define $B_j(m) = (im)^v \tilde{B}_j(m)$ where v is the integer introduced above, for $0 \leq j \leq Q$. We set

$$(30) \quad b_j(z) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \mapsto B_j(m))(z) \quad , \quad 0 \leq j \leq Q,$$

where \mathcal{F}^{-1} denotes the Fourier inverse transform defined in Proposition 5. From (26), it turns out by construction that one can write $b_j(z) = \partial_z^v \tilde{b}_j(z)$ where $\tilde{b}_j(z)$ is the inverse Fourier transform of $\tilde{B}_j(m)$.

Remark 1. The reason why we make these factorizations hypotheses on the polynomials $Q(X), R_l(X)$ and the functions $B_j(m)$ will be explained later on in the remark 5 of next section and is related to the construction of the Banach spaces in Section 2 and their Fourier inverse transforms.

Within this work, we will search for time rescaled solutions of (29) of the form

$$(31) \quad u(t, z, \epsilon) = \epsilon^\beta U(\epsilon^\alpha t, z, \epsilon)$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ are two rational numbers and $\alpha > 0$. Then, the expression $U(T, z, \epsilon)$ needs to formally solve the next nonlinear PDE

$$(32) \quad Q(\partial_z) \left(\left(\sum_{l=0}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l} T^{k_l} \right) U(T, z, \epsilon) + \left(\sum_{l=0}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) U^2(T, z, \epsilon) \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^Q b_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j - \alpha b_j} T^{b_j} + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} T^{d_l} R_l(\partial_z) \partial_T^{\delta_l} U(T, z, \epsilon)$$

4.1 Construction of a distinguished solution

We make the additional assumption that α, β set above can be chosen in such a way that the next inequalities

$$(33) \quad \Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta > 0 \quad , \quad n_j - \alpha b_j > 0$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq D, 0 \leq j \leq Q$ and

$$(34) \quad m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l = 0 \quad , \quad m_j + \beta - \alpha k_j > 0$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq s$ and all $s + 1 \leq j \leq q$, for some integer $0 \leq s \leq q - 1$, together with

$$(35) \quad \mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l = 0 \quad , \quad \mu_j + 2\beta - \alpha h_j > 0$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq s'$ and all $s' + 1 \leq j \leq M$, for some integer $0 \leq s' \leq M - 1$, hold.

Remark 2. In the case $q = 1, k_0, k_1 \geq 1$, the roots of the polynomial (in t) $P(t, \epsilon) = a_0 \epsilon^{m_0} t^{k_0} + a_1 \epsilon^{m_1} t^{k_1}$ all have modulus equal to

$$|a_1/a_0|^{\frac{1}{k_0 - k_1}} |\epsilon|^{\frac{m_1 - m_0}{k_0 - k_1}}$$

except the trivial root 0. The constraints (34) imply in particular that $m_1 - m_0 > \alpha(k_1 - k_0)$. As a result, all the nonvanishing roots of $P(t, \epsilon)$ tend to ∞ as ϵ tends to 0 and 0 is therefore the only root (with order k_0) of $P(t, \epsilon)$ in the vicinity of 0 as ϵ stays near the origin.

Let us assume that the expression $U(T, z, \epsilon)$ is allowed to be written as a perturbation series w.r.t ϵ

$$(36) \quad U(T, z, \epsilon) = U_0(T) + \sum_{n \geq 1} U_n(T, z) \epsilon^n.$$

where the constant term $U_0(T)$ is taken independent of z and not identically equal to 0. The coefficient $U_0(T)$ is called the *slow curve* of the equation (32) in the terminology of [4].

In the following, we make the assumption that $U_0(T)$ solves the next second order algebraic equation

$$(37) \quad \left(\sum_{l=0}^s a_l T^{k_l} \right) U_0(T) + \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} \right) (U_0(T))^2 = 0$$

As $U_0(T)$ is not identically vanishing, it must be equal to $-(\sum_{l=0}^s a_l T^{k_l})/(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l})$. Bearing in mind that $a_0, c_0 \neq 0$, we get its asymptotic behaviour

$$(38) \quad U_0(T) \sim -\frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0}$$

as T tends to 0.

Remark 3. Under the hypotheses (28) and (30), we observe by factoring out the operator ∂_z^v from (32), that $U(T, z, \epsilon)$ must solve the related PDE

$$(39) \quad \tilde{Q}(\partial_z) \left(\left(\sum_{l=0}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l} T^{k_l} \right) U(T, z, \epsilon) + \left(\sum_{l=0}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) U^2(T, z, \epsilon) \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{b}_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j - \alpha b_j} T^{b_j} + F(T, z, \epsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} T^{d_l} \tilde{R}_l(\partial_z) \partial_T^{\delta_l} U(T, z, \epsilon)$$

where the forcing term $F(T, z, \epsilon)$ is a polynomial in z of degree less than $v - 1$. According to the assumptions (33), (34), (35) and using the fact that $\tilde{Q}(0) \neq 0$, by taking $\epsilon = 0$ into equation (39) we see that the constraint (37) is equivalent to the fact that $F(T, z, 0) \equiv 0$. The precise shape of the term $F(T, z, \epsilon)$ will be given later in Section 6, see (134).

In a **first step**, we express $U(T, z, \epsilon)$ as a small perturbation of $U_0(T)$ that can be express in the form

$$U_0(T) = -\frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} - \frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} J(T)$$

where $J(T) = \sum_{j \geq 1} J_j T^j$ is a convergent series near $T = 0$, namely

$$(40) \quad U(T, z, \epsilon) = -\frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} - \frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} J(T) + T^\gamma V(T, z, \epsilon)$$

for some integer $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some expression $V(T, x, \epsilon)$. By plugging this last expansion inside (32) and using the Leibniz rule, we get

$$(41) \quad Q(\partial_z) \left(\left(\sum_{l=0}^s a_l T^{k_l} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l} T^{k_l} \right) \left(-\frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} - \frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} J(T) + T^\gamma V(T, z, \epsilon) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) \left(-\frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} - \frac{a_0}{c_0} T^{k_0-h_0} J(T) + T^\gamma V(T, z, \epsilon) \right)^2 \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^Q b_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j - \alpha b_j} T^{b_j} \\ + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} T^{d_l} R_l(\partial_z) \left(-\frac{a_0}{c_0} \prod_{d=0}^{\delta_l-1} (k_0 - h_0 - d) T^{k_0-h_0-\delta_l} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{a_0}{c_0} \sum_{q_1+q_2=\delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1!q_2!} \partial_T^{q_1} (T^{k_0-h_0}) \partial_T^{q_2} J(T) + \sum_{q_1+q_2=\delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1!q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) T^{\gamma-q_1} \partial_T^{q_2} V(T, z, \epsilon) \right)$$

where we put $\Pi_{d=0}^{-1}(\gamma-d) = 1$ by convention. At this level, we observe the important fact that the coefficient in front of $Q(\partial_z)V(T, z, \epsilon)$ contains the term $a_0T^{k_0+\gamma} - 2\frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0+\gamma}c_0T^{h_0} = -a_0T^{k_0+\gamma}$ that we want to set apart. As a result, we get the next equation satisfied by $V(T, z, \epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(42) \quad Q(\partial_z)V(T, z, \epsilon) & \left(-a_0T^{k_0+\gamma} + \left(\sum_{l=1}^s a_l T^{k_l} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l+\beta-\alpha k_l} T^{k_l} \right) T^\gamma \right. \\
& - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0+\gamma} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0+\gamma} J(T) \\
& \left. \times \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) \right) \\
& + Q(\partial_z)V^2(T, z, \epsilon) T^{2\gamma} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) \\
& = \sum_{j=0}^Q b_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j-\alpha b_j} T^{b_j} \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l+\alpha(\delta_l-d_l)+\beta} \left(\sum_{q_1+q_2=\delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1!q_2!} \Pi_{d=0}^{q_1-1}(\gamma-d) T^{d_l+\gamma-q_1} R_l(\partial_z) \partial_T^{q_2} V(T, z, \epsilon) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We now introduce some additional constraints on the integers γ , k_l , h_j , b_h , for $0 \leq l \leq q$, $0 \leq j \leq M$, $0 \leq h \leq Q$ and d_l, δ_l , for $1 \leq l \leq D$. Namely, we impose that the next inequalities hold

$$(43) \quad k_0 - h_p \leq \gamma$$

for $0 \leq p \leq M$, together with

$$(44) \quad \gamma \leq b_j - k_0$$

for all $0 \leq j \leq Q$ and finally

$$(45) \quad k_0 \leq d_l - \delta_l$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq D$.

Remark 4.

1) For the case $k_0 > h_0$, from (43), we need that $\gamma \geq k_0 - h_0 > 0$. As consequence of (44), we get that $b_j > k_0$, for $0 \leq j \leq Q$. Let for instance $q = 1$, $M = 1$, $Q = 0$ and $D = 2$. We set $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 1$, $\gamma = 6$, $\kappa = 1$ and we choose the powers of t and ϵ in the coefficients of (29) as follows,

$$(46) \quad m_0 = 3, k_0 = 2, m_1 = 6, k_1 = 3, \mu_0 = 0, h_0 = 1, \mu_1 = 3, h_1 = 2, n_0 = 19, b_0 = 9, \\ \Delta_1 = 12, d_1 = 5, \delta_1 = 1, \Delta_2 = 20, d_2 = 6, \delta_2 = 2.$$

For these data, we can check that the constraints (33), (34), (35), (43), (44), (45) above are fulfilled. Moreover, all the forthcoming requirements (54), (67), (68), (69) and (135) stated in Theorem 1 are also verified. In this special case, the main equation (29) writes

$$(47) \quad Q(\partial_z) \left((a_0 \epsilon^3 t^2 + a_1 \epsilon^6 t^3) u(t, z, \epsilon) + (c_0 t + c_1 \epsilon^3 t^2) u^2(t, z, \epsilon) \right) \\ = b_0(z) \epsilon^{19} t^9 + \epsilon^{12} t^5 \partial_t R_1(\partial_z) u(t, z, \epsilon) + \epsilon^{20} t^6 \partial_t^2 R_2(\partial_z) u(t, z, \epsilon).$$

We can divide this last equation by t , but not by ϵ , and the resulting equation still possess a turning point and an irregular singularity at $t = 0$.

2) For the case $h_0 > k_0$, we may take $\gamma < 0$ and hence one can choose some $b_j < k_0$ for some $0 \leq j \leq Q$. Let for instance $q = 1$, $M = 1$, $Q = 0$ and $D = 2$. We choose $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = -1$, $\gamma = -2$, $\kappa = 1$ and we select the powers of t and ϵ in the coefficients of (29) as follows,

$$(48) \quad m_0 = 5, k_0 = 2, m_1 = 10, k_1 = 4, \mu_0 = 14, h_0 = 6, \mu_1 = 19, h_1 = 8, n_0 = 3, b_0 = 1, \\ \Delta_1 = 10, d_1 = 5, \delta_1 = 1, \Delta_2 = 12, d_2 = 6, \delta_2 = 2.$$

For these data, we can figure out that the constraints (33), (34), (35), (43), (44), (45) above are satisfied. Moreover, all the forthcoming requirements (54), (67), (68), (69) and (135) stated in Theorem 1 are also verified. In this particular case, the main equation (29) writes

$$(49) \quad Q(\partial_z) \left((a_0 \epsilon^5 t^2 + a_1 \epsilon^{10} t^4) u(t, z, \epsilon) + (c_0 \epsilon^{14} t^6 + c_1 \epsilon^{19} t^8) u^2(t, z, \epsilon) \right) \\ = b_0(z) \epsilon^3 t + \epsilon^{10} t^5 \partial_t R_1(\partial_z) u(t, z, \epsilon) + \epsilon^{12} t^6 \partial_t^2 R_2(\partial_z) u(t, z, \epsilon).$$

We can divide this latter equation by ϵ^3 and by t . The corresponding equation still suffers the presence of a turning point and an irregular singularity at $t = 0$.

In a **second step**, we divide the left and right handside of (42) by the monomial $T^{k_0+\gamma}$. We obtain the next equation

$$(50) \quad Q(\partial_z) V(T, z, \epsilon) \left(-a_0 + \left(\sum_{l=1}^s a_l T^{k_l} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l+\beta-\alpha k_l} T^{k_l} \right) T^{-k_0} \right. \\ \left. - 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) T^{-h_0} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) - 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) T^{-h_0} J(T) \right. \\ \left. \times \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) \right) \\ + Q(\partial_z) V^2(T, z, \epsilon) T^{-k_0+\gamma} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^Q b_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j-\alpha b_j} T^{b_j-k_0-\gamma} \\ + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l+\alpha(\delta_l-d_l)+\beta} \left(\sum_{q_1+q_2=\delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1!q_2!} \Pi_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma-d) T^{d_l-k_0-q_1} R_l(\partial_z) \partial_T^{q_2} V(T, z, \epsilon) \right)$$

Notice that the additional constraints (33), (34), (35) and (43), (44), (45) ensure that the coefficients of the PDE (50) are analytic with respect to T and ϵ on a neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^2 . Moreover, the coefficient of $Q(\partial_z)V(T, z, \epsilon)$ is invertible at $T = 0$ since $a_0 \neq 0$. We will see later that this fact is essential in order to solve this equation within some function space of analytic functions.

We look for solutions which are rescaled in time of the form

$$(51) \quad V(T, z, \epsilon) = \mathbb{V}(\epsilon^X T, z, \epsilon)$$

where

$$(52) \quad \chi = \frac{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta}{d_D - k_0 - \delta_D}.$$

As a result, the expression $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon)$ is supposed to solve the next equation

$$(53) \quad \begin{aligned} & Q(\partial_z)\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \left(-a_0 + \sum_{l=1}^s a_l \epsilon^{-\chi(k_l - k_0)} \mathbb{T}^{k_l - k_0} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l - \chi(k_l - k_0)} \mathbb{T}^{k_l - k_0} \right. \\ & - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} \right) - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right) J(\epsilon^{-\chi} \mathbb{T}) \\ & \quad \times \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} \right) \\ & + Q(\partial_z)\mathbb{V}^2(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0 + \gamma)} \mathbb{T}^{-k_0 + \gamma} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi h_l} \mathbb{T}^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi h_l} \mathbb{T}^{h_l} \right) \\ & = \sum_{j=0}^Q b_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j - \alpha b_j - \chi(b_j - k_0 - \gamma)} \mathbb{T}^{b_j - k_0 - \gamma} \\ & \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{D-1} \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} \\ & \quad \times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - q_1)} \mathbb{T}^{d_l - k_0 - q_1} R_l(\partial_z) \epsilon^{\chi q_2} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_2} \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \\ & + \epsilon^{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta} \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D, q_1 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_D!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - q_1)} \mathbb{T}^{d_D - k_0 - q_1} \\ & \quad \times R_D(\partial_z) \epsilon^{\chi q_2} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_2} \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) + \mathbb{T}^{d_D - k_0} R_D(\partial_z) \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{\delta_D} \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

We make further assumptions on the coefficients d_l and δ_l for $1 \leq l \leq D$ which are stronger than the constraint (45). Assume the existence of integers $\kappa \geq 1$ and $d_{l,0} \geq 1$ such that

$$(54) \quad d_D - k_0 = \delta_D(\kappa + 1) \quad , \quad d_l - k_0 = \delta_l(\kappa + 1) + d_{l,0}$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq D-1$. Then, for all $1 \leq l \leq D$, and all integers $q_1 \geq 0$, $q_2 \geq 0$ with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l$ we deduce the existence of a nonnegative integer d_{l,q_1,q_2} which is larger than 1 except $d_{D,0,\delta_D} = 0$ such that

$$(55) \quad d_l - k_0 - q_1 = (\kappa + 1)q_2 + d_{l,q_1,q_2}.$$

Indeed, if one puts $d_{D,0} = 0$, from (54), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} d_{l,q_1,q_2} &= d_l - k_0 - q_1 - (\kappa + 1)q_2 = \delta_l(\kappa + 1) + d_{l,0} - q_1 - (\kappa + 1)q_2 \\ &= (q_1 + q_2)(\kappa + 1) + d_{l,0} - q_1 - (\kappa + 1)q_2 = q_1\kappa + d_{l,0}. \end{aligned}$$

According to (54) and (55), with the help of the formula (8.7) from [26] p. 3630, we can expand

the following pieces appearing in (53) satisfied by $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(56) \quad \mathbb{T}^{d_D - k_0} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{\delta_D} \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) &= \left((\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^{\delta_D} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1} A_{\delta_D, p} \mathbb{T}^{\kappa(\delta_D - p)} (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^p \right) \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon), \\
\mathbb{T}^{d_l - k_0 - (\delta_l - 1)} \partial_{\mathbb{T}} \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) &= \mathbb{T}^{d_l, \delta_l - 1, 1} (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}) \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon), \\
\mathbb{T}^{d_l - k_0 - q_1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_2} \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) &= \mathbb{T}^{d_l, q_1, q_2} \mathbb{T}^{(\kappa+1)q_2} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_2} \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \\
&= \mathbb{T}^{d_l, q_1, q_2} \left((\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^{q_2} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} A_{q_2, p} \mathbb{T}^{\kappa(q_2 - p)} (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^p \right) \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq l \leq D$, all integers $q_1 \geq 0$ and $q_2 \geq 2$ such that $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l$, for some real constants $A_{\delta_D, p}$, $1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1$ and $A_{q_2, p}$, $1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1$.

In a **third step**, let us assume that the expression $\mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon)$ has a formal power series expansion

$$(57) \quad \mathbb{V}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{V}_n(z, \epsilon) \mathbb{T}^n$$

where each coefficient $\mathbb{V}_n(z, \epsilon)$ is defined as an inverse Fourier transform

$$\mathbb{V}_n(z, \epsilon) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \mapsto \omega_n(m, \epsilon))(z)$$

for some function $m \mapsto \omega_n(m, \epsilon)$ belonging to the Banach space $E_{(\beta, \mu)}$ and depending holomorphically on ϵ on some punctured disc $D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$ centered at 0 with radius $\epsilon_0 > 0$. We consider the formal power series

$$\omega_{\kappa}(\tau, m, \epsilon) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\omega_n(m, \epsilon)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{\kappa})} \tau^n$$

obtained by formally applying a m_{κ} -Borel transform w.r.t \mathbb{T} and Fourier transform w.r.t z to the power series (57). The constraints (54) are introduced in such a way that $\omega_{\kappa}(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ satisfies some integral equation by making use of the properties of the m_{κ} -Borel transform of formal series and Fourier inverse transforms described in Propositions 4 and 5 with the help of the prepared expansions (56). Namely, after division by the power $(im)^v$, which is by construction a common factor of the functions $Q(im)$, $R_l(im)$ and $B_j(m)$ for $1 \leq l \leq D$, $0 \leq j \leq Q$, we get the new problem

$$(58) \quad L_{\tau, m, \epsilon}(\omega_{\kappa}(\tau, m, \epsilon)) = R_{\tau, m, \epsilon}(\omega_{\kappa}(\tau, m, \epsilon))$$

with vanishing initial data $\omega_\kappa(0, m, \epsilon) \equiv 0$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
(59) \quad L_{\tau, m, \epsilon}(\omega_\kappa(\tau, m, \epsilon)) = & \\
& \tilde{Q}(im) \left(-a_0 \omega_\kappa(\tau, m, \epsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^s a_l \epsilon^{-\chi(k_l - k_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa} - 1} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
& + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l - \chi(k_l - k_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa} - 1} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \\
& - 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa} - 1} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
& + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa} - 1} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \\
& \left. - 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \sum_{j \geq 1} J_j \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0 + j)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa} - 1} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \sum_{j \geq 1} J_j \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0 + j)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa} - 1} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \right) \right) \\
& + \tilde{Q}(im) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa} - 1} \right. \\
& \times \left\{ s \int_0^s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \omega_\kappa((s - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1, \epsilon) \omega_\kappa((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1, \epsilon) \frac{1}{(s - s') s'} ds' dm_1 \right\} \frac{ds}{s} \\
& + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - k_0 + \gamma)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa} - 1} \\
& \times \left\{ s \int_0^s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \omega_\kappa((s - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1, \epsilon) \omega_\kappa((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1, \epsilon) \frac{1}{(s - s') s'} ds' dm_1 \right\} \frac{ds}{s} \Big)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(60) \quad R_{\tau, m, \epsilon}(\omega_\kappa(\tau, m, \epsilon)) &= \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{B}_j(m) e^{n_j - \alpha b_j - \chi(b_j - k_0 - \gamma)} \frac{\tau^{b_j - k_0 - \gamma}}{\Gamma(\frac{b_j - k_0 - \gamma}{\kappa})} + \sum_{l=1}^{D-1} \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1! q_2!} \Pi_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - q_1 - q_2)} \tilde{R}_l(im) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^{q_2} s^{q_2} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} A_{q_2, p} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^p s^p \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \\
&\quad \left. + \epsilon^{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta} \right. \\
&\quad \times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D, q_1 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_D!}{q_1! q_2!} \Pi_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - q_1 - q_2)} \tilde{R}_D(im) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^{q_2} s^{q_2} \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} A_{q_2, p} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^p s^p \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \\
&\quad \left. + \tilde{R}_D(im) \left\{ (\kappa \tau^\kappa)^{\delta_D} \omega_\kappa(\tau, m, \epsilon) + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1} A_{\delta_D, p} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\Gamma(\frac{\kappa(\delta_D - p)}{\kappa})} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. \times \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{\kappa(\delta_D - p)}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^p s^p \omega_\kappa(s^{1/\kappa}, m, \epsilon) \frac{ds}{s} \right\} \right.
\end{aligned}$$

By convention, the two sums $\sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} [\dots]$ appearing in (60) are vanishing provided that $q_2 \in \{0, 1\}$.

Remark 5. The hypotheses (28) and (30) ensure that the equation (53) does not contain terms that involve isolated polynomials in \mathbb{T} which are not inverse Fourier transformable.

5 Analytic solutions of a convolution problem with complex parameters

Our main goal in this section is the construction of a unique solution of the problem (58) within the Banach spaces introduced in Section 2.

We make the following further assumptions. The conditions below are very similar to the ones proposed in Section 4 of [20]. Namely, we demand that there exists an unbounded sector

$$S_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} / |z| \geq r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} \quad , \quad |\arg(z) - d_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}| \leq \eta_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}\}$$

with direction $d_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} \in \mathbb{R}$, aperture $\eta_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ for some radius $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ such that

$$(61) \quad \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)}{\tilde{R}_D(im)} \in S_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. The polynomial $\tilde{P}_m(\tau) = -\tilde{Q}(im)a_0 - \tilde{R}_D(im)\kappa^{\delta_D}\tau^{\delta_D\kappa}$ can be factorized in the form

$$(62) \quad \tilde{P}_m(\tau) = -\tilde{R}_D(im)\kappa^{\delta_D}\prod_{l=0}^{\delta_D\kappa-1}(\tau - q_l(m))$$

where

$$(63) \quad q_l(m) = \left(\frac{|a_0\tilde{Q}(im)|}{|\tilde{R}_D(im)|\kappa^{\delta_D}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_D\kappa}} \exp(\sqrt{-1}(\arg(\frac{-a_0\tilde{Q}(im)}{\tilde{R}_D(im)\kappa^{\delta_D}})\frac{1}{\delta_D\kappa} + \frac{2\pi l}{\delta_D\kappa}))$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq \delta_D\kappa - 1$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

We select an unbounded sector S_d centered at 0, a small closed disc $\bar{D}(0, \rho)$ and we require the sector $S_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}$ to fulfill the next conditions.

1) There exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$(64) \quad |\tau - q_l(m)| \geq M_1(1 + |\tau|)$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq \delta_D\kappa - 1$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $\tau \in S_d \cup \bar{D}(0, \rho)$. Indeed, from (61) and the explicit expression (63) of $q_l(m)$, we first observe that $|q_l(m)| > 2\rho$ for every $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $0 \leq l \leq \delta_D\kappa - 1$ for an appropriate choice of $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}$ and of $\rho > 0$. We also see that for all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $0 \leq l \leq \delta_D\kappa - 1$, the roots $q_l(m)$ remain in a union \mathcal{U} of unbounded sectors centered at 0 that do not cover a full neighborhood of the origin in \mathbb{C}^* provided that $\eta_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}$ is small enough. Therefore, one can choose an adequate sector S_d such that $S_d \cap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ with the property that for all $0 \leq l \leq \delta_D\kappa - 1$ the quotients $q_l(m)/\tau$ lay outside some small disc centered at 1 in \mathbb{C} for all $\tau \in S_d$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. This yields (64) for some small constant $M_1 > 0$.

2) There exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$(65) \quad |\tau - q_{l_0}(m)| \geq M_2|q_{l_0}(m)|$$

for some $l_0 \in \{0, \dots, \delta_D\kappa - 1\}$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $\tau \in S_d \cup \bar{D}(0, \rho)$. Indeed, for the sector S_d and the disc $\bar{D}(0, \rho)$ chosen as above in 1), we notice that for any fixed $0 \leq l_0 \leq \delta_D\kappa - 1$, the quotient $\tau/q_{l_0}(m)$ stays outside a small disc centered at 1 in \mathbb{C} for all $\tau \in S_d \cup \bar{D}(0, \rho)$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence (65) must hold for some small constant $M_2 > 0$.

By construction of the roots (63) in the factorization (62) and using the lower bound estimates (64), (65), we get a constant $C_{\tilde{P}} > 0$ such that

$$(66) \quad \begin{aligned} |\tilde{P}_m(\tau)| &\geq M_1^{\delta_D\kappa-1} M_2 |\tilde{R}_D(im)\kappa^{\delta_D}| \left(\frac{|a_0\tilde{Q}(im)|}{|\tilde{R}_D(im)|\kappa^{\delta_D}}\right)^{\frac{1}{\delta_D\kappa}} (1 + |\tau|)^{\delta_D\kappa-1} \\ &\geq M_1^{\delta_D\kappa-1} M_2 \frac{\kappa^{\delta_D} |a_0|^{\frac{1}{\delta_D\kappa}}}{(\kappa^{\delta_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D\kappa}}} (r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D\kappa}} |\tilde{R}_D(im)| \\ &\quad \times \left(\min_{x \geq 0} \frac{(1+x)^{\delta_D\kappa-1}}{(1+x^\kappa)^{\delta_D-\frac{1}{\kappa}}}\right) (1 + |\tau|^\kappa)^{\delta_D-\frac{1}{\kappa}} \\ &= C_{\tilde{P}} (r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D\kappa}} |\tilde{R}_D(im)| (1 + |\tau|^\kappa)^{\delta_D-\frac{1}{\kappa}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $\tau \in S_d \cup \bar{D}(0, \rho)$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$.

In the next proposition, we provide sufficient conditions under which the main convolution equation (58) possess solutions $\omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ in the Banach space $F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$ described in Section 2.

Proposition 6 *Under the additional assumptions*

$$(67) \quad \delta_D \geq \frac{2}{\kappa}, \quad -k_0 + \gamma + h_l > 0, \quad b_j - k_0 - \gamma \geq 1,$$

$$(\chi + \alpha)(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l - \kappa\delta_D + 1) - \chi(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l) \geq 0$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq M$, $0 \leq j \leq Q$,

$$(68) \quad \delta_D \geq \frac{1}{\kappa} + \delta_l,$$

$$\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta + (\chi + \alpha)\kappa\left(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right) - \chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l) \geq 0$$

for all $q_1 \geq 0, q_2 \geq 1$ such that $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l$, for $1 \leq l \leq D - 1$ and

$$(69) \quad \Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta + (\chi + \alpha)\kappa\left(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}\right) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D) \geq 0$$

for all $q_1 \geq 1, q_2 \geq 1$ such that $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D$, there exist a radius $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$, $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and a constant $\varpi > 0$ such that the equation (58) has a unique solution $\omega_{\kappa}^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ in the Banach space $F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$ which suffers the bounds

$$\|\omega_{\kappa}^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \varpi$$

for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$, where the direction $d \in \mathbb{R}$ can be chosen for any sector S_d that fulfills the constraints (64) and (65) above.

Proof We undertake the proof with a lemma that studies some shrinking map on the Banach spaces mentioned above and reduces the main convolution problem (58) to the existence of a unique fixed point for this map.

Lemma 2 *Taking for granted that the assumptions (67), (68) and (69) hold, one can select the constant $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ large enough and a constant $\varpi > 0$ small enough such that for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$, the map \mathcal{H}_{ϵ} defined as*

$$(70) \quad \mathcal{H}_{\epsilon} = \mathcal{H}_{\epsilon}^1 + \mathcal{H}_{\epsilon}^2 + \mathcal{H}_{\epsilon}^3$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(71) \quad \mathcal{H}_\epsilon^1(w(\tau, m)) &:= \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{B}_j(m) \epsilon^{n_j - \alpha b_j - \chi(b_j - k_0 - \gamma)} \frac{\tau^{b_j - k_0 - \gamma}}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{b_j - k_0 - \gamma}{\kappa})} \\
&- \tilde{Q}(im) \left(\sum_{l=1}^s a_l \epsilon^{-\chi(k_l - k_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa} - 1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l - \chi(k_l - k_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa} - 1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \\
&- 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa} - 1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa} - 1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \\
&- 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \sum_{j \geq 1} J_j \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0 + j)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa} - 1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \sum_{j \geq 1} J_j \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0 + j)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa} - 1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(72) \quad \mathcal{H}_\epsilon^2(w(\tau, m)) &:= -\tilde{Q}(im) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa} - 1} \right. \\
&\times \left\{ s \int_0^s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} w((s - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) w((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \frac{1}{(s - s') s'} ds' dm_1 \right\} \frac{ds}{s} \\
&+ \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - k_0 + \gamma)} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa} - 1} \\
&\times \left\{ s \int_0^s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} w((s - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) w((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \frac{1}{(s - s') s'} ds' dm_1 \right\} \frac{ds}{s} \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^{D-1} \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} \times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1! q_2!} \Pi_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - q_1 - q_2)} \tilde{R}_l(im) \\
&\quad \times \left\{ \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^{q_2} s^{q_2} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
&+ \left. \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} A_{q_2, p} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa})} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^p s^p w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

along with

$$\begin{aligned}
(73) \quad \mathcal{H}_\epsilon^3(w(\tau, m)) &:= \epsilon^{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta} \\
&\times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D, q_1 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_D!}{q_1! q_2!} \Pi_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - q_1 - q_2)} \tilde{R}_D(im) \\
&\times \left\{ \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa}\right)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^{q_2} s^{q_2} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right. \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} A_{q_2, p} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma\left(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa}\right)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2 + \kappa(q_2 - p)}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^p s^p w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \left. \right\} \\
&+ \tilde{R}_D(im) \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1} A_{\delta_D, p} \frac{\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau) \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa(\delta_D - p)}{\kappa}\right)} \times \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{\kappa(\delta_D - p)}{\kappa} - 1} \kappa^p s^p w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

satisfies the next properties.

i) The following inclusion holds

$$(74) \quad \mathcal{H}_\epsilon(\bar{B}(0, \varpi)) \subset \bar{B}(0, \varpi)$$

where $\bar{B}(0, \varpi)$ is the closed ball of radius $\varpi > 0$ centered at 0 in $F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$, for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$.

ii) We have

$$(75) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\epsilon(w_1) - \mathcal{H}_\epsilon(w_2)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|w_1 - w_2\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

for all $w_1, w_2 \in \bar{B}(0, \varpi)$, for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$.

Proof We first deal with the property (74). Let $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$ and consider $w(\tau, m) \in F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$. We take $\varpi > 0$ such that $\|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \varpi$.

We start providing norms estimates for each piece of the map \mathcal{H}_ϵ^1 .

From Lemma 1, we deduce the existence of a constant $C_1 > 0$ depending on κ, γ, k_0 and b_j for $0 \leq j \leq Q$ such that

$$(76) \quad \|\tilde{B}_j(m) \epsilon^{-\chi(b_j - k_0 - \gamma)} \frac{\tau^{b_j - k_0 - \gamma}}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{C_1}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} \frac{\|\tilde{B}_j(m)\|_{(\beta, \mu)}}{\inf_{m \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{R}_D(im)|} |\epsilon|^{(b_j - k_0 - \gamma)\alpha}$$

According to Proposition 2 1), we obtain a constant $C_2 > 0$ depending on ν, κ, k_l , for $0 \leq l \leq q$, h_l , for $0 \leq l \leq M$, $\tilde{Q}(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$ and a constant $C_2(j)$ depending on ν, κ, h_l for $0 \leq l \leq M$,

$\tilde{Q}(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$ and j such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(77) \quad & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(k_l-k_0)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{k_l-k_0}{\kappa}-1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\
& \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(k_l-k_0)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}, \\
& \|\epsilon^{-\chi(h_l-h_0)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l-h_0}{\kappa}-1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\
& \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l-h_0)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}, \\
& \|\epsilon^{-\chi(h_l-h_0+j)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}-1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\
& \leq \frac{C_2(j)}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l-h_0+j)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it appears from the proof of Proposition 2 that the next bounds hold for $C_2(j)$: there exist a constant $\hat{C}_2 > 0$ depending on ν, κ, h_l for $0 \leq l \leq M$, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D and a constant $A_2 > 0$ depending on ν, κ, h_l for $0 \leq l \leq M$ such that

$$(78) \quad C_2(j) \leq \hat{C}_2 A_2^j \Gamma\left(\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa}\right)$$

for all $j \geq 1$. In the following, we will make use of the notations from the proof of Proposition 2. From the classical estimates

$$(79) \quad \sup_{x \geq 0} x^{m_1} e^{-m_2 x} = \left(\frac{m_1}{m_2}\right)^{m_1} e^{-m_1}$$

for any real numbers $m_1 \geq 0, m_2 > 0$, we deduce that for all $j \geq 1$ such that $\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa} - 1 > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1+x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} x G_1(x) & \leq \sup_{x \geq 0} (1+x^2) x^{\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}} e^{-\frac{\nu}{2} x} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/\kappa} \kappa \\
& \leq \left(\left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa \nu/2}\right)^{\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}} \exp\left(-\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}\right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\nu/2} + 2\right)^{\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}+2} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa} + 2\right)\right) \right) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{1/\kappa} \kappa
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, according to the Stirling formula $\Gamma(x) \sim \sqrt{2\pi} x^{x-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x}$ as $x \rightarrow +\infty$ and bearing in mind the functional relation $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$ for all $x > 0$, we get two constants $\check{C}_2 > 0$ and $A_2 > 0$ independent of j such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(80) \quad & \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{1+x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} x G_1(x) \leq \check{C}_2 A_2^j \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}\right) + \Gamma\left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa} + 2\right) \right) \\
& \leq \check{C}_2 A_2^j \left(\Gamma\left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}\right) + \left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa} + 1\right) \left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{h_l-h_0+j}{\kappa}\right) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by direct inspection, we observe that there exists a constant $\check{C}_{2.1} > 0$ (independent of j and ϵ) such that

$$(81) \quad \sup_{0 \leq x < 1} \frac{1+x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1+|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa} x)^{\gamma_1}} G_2(x) \leq \check{C}_{2.1}$$

Furthermore, there exists a constant $\check{K}_{2.1}(j)$ depending on ν, κ, h_l for $0 \leq l \leq M$ and j , such that

$$(82) \quad \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{1+x^2}{x^{1/\kappa}} e^{-\nu x} \frac{x}{(1+|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa} x)^{\gamma_1}} G_2(x) \leq \sup_{x \geq 1} \frac{1+x^2}{1+(\frac{x}{2})^2} \check{K}_{2.1}(j)$$

Now, after a thorough examination of the proof of Proposition 1 out of [21], one can check that there exists a constant $\check{K}_{2.1} > 0$ independent of j such that

$$(83) \quad K_{2.1}(j) \leq \check{K}_{2.1} \Gamma\left(\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa}\right)$$

for all $j \geq 1$. Finally, gathering (80), (81), (82) and (83) yields the estimates (78).

Besides, we choose the radius $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ large enough and ϖ in such a manner that

$$(84) \quad \sum_{j=0}^Q \frac{C_1}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{b_j - k_0 - \gamma}{\kappa})} \frac{\|\tilde{B}_j(m)\|_{(\beta, \mu)}}{\inf_{m \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{R}_D(im)|} |\epsilon|^{n_j - \alpha b_j + (b_j - k_0 - \gamma)\alpha} \\ + \sum_{l=1}^s |a_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(k_l - k_0)\varpi} \\ + \sum_{l=s+1}^q |a_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\mu_l + \beta - \alpha k_l + \alpha(k_l - k_0)\varpi} \\ + 2 \left| \frac{a_0}{c_0} \right| \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} |c_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0)\varpi} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M |c_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0)\varpi} \right) \\ + 2 \left| \frac{a_0}{c_0} \right| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} |c_l| \sum_{j \geq 1} |J_j| \frac{\hat{C}_2 A_2^j}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0 + j)\varpi} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M |c_l| \sum_{j \geq 1} |J_j| \frac{\hat{C}_2 A_2^j}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0 + j)\varpi} \right) \leq \frac{\varpi}{3}.$$

Notice that the infinite sums over the integers $j \geq 0$ are convergent in the left handside of the above inequality (84), provided that $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is small enough, according to the fact that there exist two constants $J_1, J_2 > 0$ such that $|J_j| \leq J_1 (J_2)^j$ for all $j \geq 1$ since $J(T) = \sum_{j \geq 1} J_j T^j$ is a convergent series near $T = 0$.

From the definition of \mathcal{H}_ϵ^1 given by (71), we deduce the next inequality

$$(85) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\epsilon^1(w(\tau, m))\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{\varpi}{3}.$$

Hereafter, we focus on norms estimates for each part of the map \mathcal{H}_ϵ^2 .

We set

$$h(\tau, m) = \tau^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) w((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \frac{1}{(\tau^\kappa - s')s'} ds' dm_1.$$

Regarding Proposition 3, we get a constant $C_3 > 0$ (depending on μ, κ) such that

$$(86) \quad \|h(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{C_3}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^2.$$

On the other hand, using Proposition 2, 2), we grab a constant $C_2' > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, \gamma, \delta_D, k_0, h_l$ for $0 \leq l \leq M$ and $\tilde{Q}(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$) such that

$$(87) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0+\gamma+h_l)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{-k_0+\gamma+h_l}{\kappa}-1} s^{\frac{1}{\kappa}-1} h(s^{1/\kappa}, m) ds\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2'}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\frac{-k_0+\gamma+h_l}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(-k_0+\gamma+h_l) - (\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\delta_D - \frac{1}{\kappa})} \|h(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, gathering (86) and (87) returns

$$(88) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0+\gamma+h_l)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{-k_0+\gamma+h_l}{\kappa}-1} \\ & \times \{s \int_0^s \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w((s-s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) w((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \frac{1}{(s-s')s'} ds' dm_1\} \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2' C_3}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)(-k_0+\gamma+h_l-\kappa\delta_D+1) - \chi(-k_0+\gamma+h_l)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Bearing in mind Proposition 2, 1), we get a constant $C_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, d_l, \delta_l$ and $\tilde{R}_l(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$ for $1 \leq l \leq D-1$), such that

$$(89) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(d_l-k_0-\delta_l)} \frac{\tilde{R}_l(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, \delta_l, 0}{\kappa}-1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)d_l, \delta_l, 0 - \chi(d_l-k_0-\delta_l)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & = \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_l-k_0-\delta_l)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise, we can apply Proposition 2, 2) in order to exhibit a constant $C_2' > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, d_l, \delta_l, k_0, \delta_D$ and $\tilde{R}_l(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$ for $1 \leq l \leq D-1$) with

$$(90) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(d_l-k_0-\delta_l)} \frac{\tilde{R}_l(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa}-1} s^{q_2} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2'}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_l-k_0-\delta_l)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $q_1 \geq 0$ and $q_2 \geq 1$ with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l$. Besides,

$$(91) \quad \left\| \epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \frac{\tilde{R}_l(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p - 1} s^p w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

$$\leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

provided that $q_2 \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1$, with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l$.

Now, we choose $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ and ϖ in such a way that

$$(92) \quad \sum_{l=0}^{s'} |c_l| \frac{C'_2 C_3}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa}) (2\pi)^{1/2}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l - \kappa \delta_D + 1) - \chi(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l)} \varpi^2$$

$$+ \sum_{l=s'+1}^M |c_l| \frac{C'_2 C_3}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa}) (2\pi)^{1/2}}$$

$$\times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l - \kappa \delta_D + 1) - \chi(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l)} |\epsilon|^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} \varpi^2$$

$$+ \sum_{l=1}^{D-1} |\epsilon|^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} \left[\prod_{d=0}^{\delta_l - 1} |\gamma - d| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_l, \delta_l, 0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \varpi \right.$$

$$+ \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l, q_2 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1 - 1} |\gamma - d| \left(\frac{C'_2 \kappa^{q_2}}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa})} \right.$$

$$\times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \varpi$$

$$+ \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} |A_{q_2, p}| \frac{C'_2 \kappa^p}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p)}$$

$$\left. \left. \times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \varpi \right) \right] \leq \frac{\varpi}{3}.$$

With the help of the definition of \mathcal{H}_ϵ^2 given by (72), we deduce that

$$(93) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\epsilon^2(w(\tau, m))\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \varpi/3.$$

Ultimately, we attract our attention to norms estimates for \mathcal{H}_ϵ^3 .

Taking notice of Proposition 2, 1), we get a constant $C_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, k_0, \delta_D, d_D$), such that

$$(94) \quad \left\| \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, \delta_D, 0}{\kappa} - 1} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

$$\leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)d_D, \delta_D, 0 - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

$$= \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.$$

Moreover, we can apply Proposition 2, 2) in order to exhibit a constant $C'_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, k_0, d_D, \delta_D$) with

$$(95) \quad \left\| \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} - 1} s^{q_2} w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

for all $q_1 \geq 1$ and $q_2 \geq 1$ with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D$. Besides,

$$(96) \quad \left\| \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p - 1} s^p w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

provided that $q_1 \geq 1$, $q_2 \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1$ with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D$. Finally, we can select a constant $C'_2 > 0$ (depending on ν, κ, δ_D) such that

$$(97) \quad \left\| \frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\delta_D - p - 1} s^p w(s^{1/\kappa}, m) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\chi + \alpha} \|w(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1$. We make the choice for the size of radius $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}$ and ϖ in such a manner that

$$(98) \quad |\epsilon|^{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta} \left[\prod_{d=0}^{\delta_D - 1} |\gamma - d| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_D, \delta_D, 0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \varpi \right. \\ + \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D, q_1 \geq 1, q_2 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_D!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1 - 1} |\gamma - d| \left(\frac{C'_2 \kappa^{q_2}}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa})} \right. \\ \times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \varpi + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} |A_{q_2, p}| \frac{C'_2 \kappa^p}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p)} \\ \left. \left. \times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \varpi \right) \right] \\ + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1} |A_{\delta_D, p}| \frac{C'_2 \kappa^p}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\delta_D - p)} |\epsilon|^{\chi + \alpha} \varpi \leq \frac{\varpi}{3}$$

From the construction of the map \mathcal{H}_ϵ^3 , it is now clear that

$$(99) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\epsilon^3(w(\tau, m))\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \varpi/3.$$

Eventually, gathering (85), (93) and (99) yields the first claim (74).

In the last part of the proof, we fix our attention to the affirmation (75). Let $w_1(\tau, m), w_2(\tau, m) \in F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$ with

$$\|w_1(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \varpi, \quad \|w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \varpi.$$

We first prove that \mathcal{H}_ϵ^1 is a shrinking map. According to the estimates (77) we obtain a constant $C_2 > 0$ (depending on ν, κ, k_l , for $0 \leq l \leq q$, h_l , for $0 \leq l \leq M$, $\tilde{Q}(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$) and a constant $C_2(j)$ satisfying the estimates (78) such that

$$\begin{aligned} (100) \quad & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(k_l - k_0)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa} - 1} (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(k_l - k_0)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}, \\ & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa} - 1} (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}, \\ & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0 + j)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)\tau^\kappa}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{h_l - h_0 + j}{\kappa} - 1} (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2(j)}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0 + j)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we choose the radius $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ large enough in order that

$$\begin{aligned} (101) \quad & \sum_{l=1}^s |a_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(k_l - k_0)} \\ & + \sum_{l=s+1}^q |a_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{k_l - k_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l + \alpha(k_l - k_0)} \\ & + 2 \left| \frac{a_0}{c_0} \right| \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} |c_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0)} \right. \\ & + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M |c_l| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{h_l - h_0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0)} \left. \right) \\ & + 2 \left| \frac{a_0}{c_0} \right| \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} |c_l| \sum_{j \geq 1} |J_j| \frac{\hat{C}_2 A_2^j}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0 + j)} \right. \\ & \left. + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M |c_l| \sum_{j \geq 1} |J_j| \frac{\hat{C}_2 A_2^j}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(h_l - h_0 + j)} \right) \leq \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

As a result, we can set down

$$(102) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\epsilon^1(w_1(\tau, m)) - \mathcal{H}_\epsilon^1(w_2(\tau, m))\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{6} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.$$

We turn to \mathcal{H}_ϵ^2 and show that it is a shrinking map as well. As a preparation, we may first rewrite

$$(103) \quad w_1((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1)w_1((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) - w_2((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1)w_2((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) = \\ \left(w_1((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) - w_2((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) \right) w_1((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \\ + w_2((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1) \left(w_1((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) - w_2((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \right).$$

For $j = 1, 2$, we set

$$h_j(\tau, m) = \tau^{\kappa-1} \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} w_j((\tau^\kappa - s')^{1/\kappa}, m - m_1)w_j((s')^{1/\kappa}, m_1) \frac{1}{(\tau^\kappa - s')s'} ds' dm_1.$$

Regarding both the factorisation (103) above and Proposition 3, we get a constant $C_3 > 0$ (depending on μ, κ) such that

$$(104) \quad \|h_1(\tau, m) - h_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{C_3}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} (\|w_1(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} + \|w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}) \\ \times \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.$$

From (87) together with (104) we pick up a constant $C'_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, \gamma, \delta_D, k_0, h_l$ for $0 \leq l \leq M$ and $\tilde{Q}(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$) such that

$$(105) \quad \|\epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0+\gamma+h_l)} \frac{\tilde{Q}(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{-k_0+\gamma+h_l}{\kappa}-1} s^{\frac{1}{\kappa}-1} (h_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - h_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) ds\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\frac{-k_0+\gamma+h_l}{\kappa} + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(-k_0+\gamma+h_l) - (\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\delta_D - \frac{1}{\kappa})} \|h_1(\tau, m) - h_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ \leq \frac{C'_2 C_3}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)(-k_0+\gamma+h_l - \kappa\delta_D + 1) - \chi(-k_0+\gamma+h_l)} \\ \times (\|w_1(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} + \|w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}) \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.$$

Bearing in mind (89), we get a constant $C_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, d_l, \delta_l$ and $\tilde{R}_l(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$ for $1 \leq l \leq D-1$), such that

$$(106) \quad \|\epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \frac{\tilde{R}_l(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, \delta_l, 0}{\kappa}-1} (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.$$

Likewise, we can apply (90) in order to exhibit a constant $C'_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, d_l, \delta_l, k_0, \delta_D$ and $\tilde{R}_l(X), \tilde{R}_D(X)$ for $1 \leq l \leq D-1$) with

$$(107) \quad \|\epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \frac{\tilde{R}_l(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa}-1} s^{q_2} (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s}\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$$

for all $q_1 \geq 0$ and $q_2 \geq 1$ with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l$. Furthermore, from (91) we deduce

$$(108) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \frac{\tilde{R}_l(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p - 1} s^p (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

provided that $q_2 \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1$, with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l$.

Now, we sort $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ and ϖ in such a way that

$$(109) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=0}^{s'} |c_l| \frac{C'_2 C_3}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa}) (2\pi)^{1/2}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l - \kappa \delta_D + 1) - \chi(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l)} \\ & \quad \times (\|w_1(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} + \|w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}) \\ & + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M |c_l| \frac{C'_2 C_3}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{-k_0 + \gamma + h_l}{\kappa}) (2\pi)^{1/2}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l - \kappa \delta_D + 1) - \chi(-k_0 + \gamma + h_l)} \\ & \quad \times |\epsilon|^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l} (\|w_1(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} + \|w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}) \\ & + \sum_{l=1}^{D-1} |\epsilon|^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} \left[\prod_{d=0}^{\delta_l - 1} |\gamma - d| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_l, \delta_l, 0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l, q_2 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1 - 1} |\gamma - d| \left(\frac{C'_2 \kappa^{q_2}}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa})} \right. \\ & \quad \times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_l - k_0 - \delta_l)} \\ & \quad \left. \left. + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} |A_{q_2, p}| \frac{C'_2 \kappa^p}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_l, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p)} \right) \right] \leq \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Subsequently, we obtain

$$(110) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\epsilon^2(w_1(\tau, m)) - \mathcal{H}_\epsilon^2(w_2(\tau, m))\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{6} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.$$

The last operation will be devoted to the proof that \mathcal{H}_ϵ^3 is a shrinking map.

Taking notice of (94), we get a constant $C_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, k_0, \delta_D, d_D$), such that

$$(111) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, \delta_D, 0}{\kappa} - 1} (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s} \right\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we may have a look at (95) in order to exhibit a constant $C'_2 > 0$ (depending on $\nu, \kappa, k_0, d_D, \delta_D$) with

$$(112) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \\ & \quad \times \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} - 1} s^{q_2} (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s} \Big|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $q_1 \geq 1$ and $q_2 \geq 1$ with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D$. Besides, from (96) we see that

$$(113) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \\ & \quad \times \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p - 1} s^p (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s} \Big|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

provided that $q_1 \geq 1$, $q_2 \geq 2$ and $1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1$ with $q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D$. Finally, having a glance at (97), we can select a constant $C'_2 > 0$ (depending on ν, κ, δ_D) such that

$$(114) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\frac{\tilde{R}_D(im)}{\tilde{P}_m(\tau)} \tau^\kappa \int_0^{\tau^\kappa} (\tau^\kappa - s)^{\delta_D - p - 1} s^p (w_1(s^{1/\kappa}, m) - w_2(s^{1/\kappa}, m)) \frac{ds}{s} \Big|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \\ & \leq \frac{C'_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}}} |\epsilon|^{\chi + \alpha} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1$. In the meanwhile, we select the size of radius $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}$ in such a manner that

$$(115) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\epsilon|^{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta} \left[\prod_{d=0}^{\delta_D - 1} |\gamma - d| \frac{C_2}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_D, \delta_D, 0}{\kappa})} |\epsilon|^{\alpha(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \right. \\ & \quad + \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D, q_1 \geq 1, q_2 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_D!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1 - 1} |\gamma - d| \left(\frac{C'_2 \kappa^{q_2}}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa})} \right. \\ & \quad \times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2 - 1} |A_{q_2, p}| \frac{C'_2 \kappa^p}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - p)} \\ & \quad \left. \left. \times |\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa(\frac{d_D, q_1, q_2}{\kappa} + q_2 - \delta_D + \frac{1}{\kappa}) - \chi(d_D - k_0 - \delta_D)} \right) \right] \\ & \quad + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq \delta_D - 1} |A_{\delta_D, p}| \frac{C'_2 \kappa^p}{C_{\tilde{P}}(r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D})^{\frac{1}{\delta_D \kappa}} \Gamma(\delta_D - p)} |\epsilon|^{\chi + \alpha} \leq \frac{1}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

The next inequality must then hold

$$(116) \quad \|\mathcal{H}_\epsilon^3(w_1(\tau, m)) - \mathcal{H}_\epsilon^3(w_2(\tau, m))\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \frac{1}{6} \|w_1(\tau, m) - w_2(\tau, m)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}.$$

Gathering (102), (110) and (116) legitimates the estimates (75).

At the very end of the proof, we now take for granted that all conditions (84), (92), (98) and (101), (109), (115) hold for the radii $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D}$ and ϖ . Then both (74) and (75) hold at the same time and the Lemma 2 is shown. \square

We consider the ball $\bar{B}(0, \varpi) \subset F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$ just built above in Lemma 2 which is actually a complete metric space for the norm $\|\cdot\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}$. From the lemma above, we get that \mathcal{H}_ϵ is a contractive map from $\bar{B}(0, \varpi)$ into itself. Due to the classical contractive mapping theorem, we deduce that the map \mathcal{H}_ϵ has a unique fixed point denoted $\omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ in the ball $\bar{B}(0, \varpi)$, meaning that

$$(117) \quad \mathcal{H}_\epsilon(\omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)) = \omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)$$

for a unique $\omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon) \in F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^d$ such that $\|\omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)\|_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)} \leq \varpi$, for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$. Moreover, the function $\omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ depends holomorphically on ϵ in $D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$.

Now, if one sets apart the terms $-a_0 \tilde{Q}(im)\omega_\kappa(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ in the left handside $L_{\tau, m, \epsilon}$ and $\tilde{R}_D(im)(\kappa\tau^\kappa)^{\delta_D}\omega_\kappa(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ in the right handside $R_{\tau, m, \epsilon}$ of equation (58), we observe by dividing with the polynomial $\tilde{P}_m(\tau)$ given in (62) that (58) can be exactly rewritten as the equation (117) above. Therefore, the unique fixed point $\omega_\kappa^d(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ of \mathcal{H}_ϵ in $\bar{B}(0, \varpi)$ precisely solves the problem (58) with vanishing initial data $\omega_\kappa(0, m, \epsilon) \equiv 0$. This yields the proposition. \square

6 Singular analytic solutions on sectors to the main problem

We go back to the sequence of formal constructions performed in Section 4 under the new light shed in Section 5 on the problem (58).

We first recall the definitions of a good covering and associated sets of sectors as introduced in [20].

Definition 4 *Let $\varsigma \geq 2$ be an integer. For all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, we consider open sectors \mathcal{E}_p centered at 0, with radius $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and opening $\frac{\pi}{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa} + \xi_p$ with $\xi_p > 0$ small enough such that $\mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1} \neq \emptyset$, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$ (with the convention that $\mathcal{E}_\varsigma = \mathcal{E}_0$). Moreover, we assume that the intersection of any three different elements in $\{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}$ is empty and that $\cup_{p=0}^{\varsigma-1} \mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\}$, where \mathcal{U} is some neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C} . Such a set of sectors $\{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}$ is called a good covering in \mathbb{C}^* .*

Definition 5 *Let $\{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}$ be a good covering in \mathbb{C}^* . Let \mathcal{T} be an open bounded sector centered at 0 with radius $r_{\mathcal{T}}$ and consider a family of open sectors*

$$S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, \epsilon_0 r_{\mathcal{T}}} = \{T \in \mathbb{C}^* / |T| < \epsilon_0 r_{\mathcal{T}} \quad , \quad |\mathfrak{d}_p - \arg(T)| < \theta/2\}$$

with aperture $\theta > \pi/\kappa$ and where $\mathfrak{d}_p \in \mathbb{R}$, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, are directions which satisfy the following constraints: Let $q_l(m)$ be the roots of the polynomials (62) defined by (63) and $S_{\mathfrak{d}_p}$, $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$ be unbounded sectors centered at 0 with directions \mathfrak{d}_p and with small aperture. We assume that

1) *There exists a constant $M_1 > 0$ such that*

$$(118) \quad |\tau - q_l(m)| \geq M_1(1 + |\tau|)$$

for all $0 \leq l \leq \delta_D \kappa - 1$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $\tau \in S_{\mathfrak{d}_p} \cup \bar{D}(0, \rho)$, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$.

2) There exists a constant $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$(119) \quad |\tau - q_{l_0}(m)| \geq M_2 |q_{l_0}(m)|$$

for some $l_0 \in \{0, \dots, \delta_D \kappa - 1\}$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $\tau \in S_{\mathfrak{d}_p} \cup \bar{D}(0, \rho)$, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$.

3) For all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p$, we have that $\epsilon^{\alpha+\chi} t \in S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, \epsilon_0^{\alpha+\chi} r_{\mathcal{T}}}$.

We say that the family $\{(S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, \epsilon_0 r_{\mathcal{T}}})_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}, \mathcal{T}\}$ is associated to the good covering $\{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}$.

In the next main first outcome, we construct a family of actual holomorphic solutions to the principal equation (29) which may be meromorphic at $(\epsilon, t) = (0, 0)$ and defined on the sectors \mathcal{E}_p w.r.t the complex parameter ϵ . Furthermore, we can also control the difference between any two neighboring solutions on the intersections $\mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$ and state that it is exponentially flat of order at most $(\chi + \alpha)\kappa$ w.r.t ϵ .

Theorem 1 *We consider the nonlinear singularly perturbed PDE (29) and we take for granted that all the assumptions (28), (30), (33), (34), (35), (43), (44), (45), (54), (61), (67), (68) and (69) hold for some rational numbers $\alpha > 1$, $\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ and integers $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\kappa \geq 1$. Let $\{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}$ a good covering in \mathbb{C}^* be given, for which a family of open sectors $\{(S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, \epsilon_0 r_{\mathcal{T}}})_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}, \mathcal{T}\}$ associated to this good covering can be singled out.*

Then, there exist a radius $r_{\tilde{Q}, \tilde{R}_D} > 0$ large enough, $\epsilon_0 > 0$ small enough, for which a family $\{u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1}$ of actual solutions of (29) can be built up. More exactly, the functions $u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ solve the next singularly perturbed PDE

$$(120) \quad \tilde{Q}(\partial_z) \left(\left(\sum_{l=0}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l} t^{k_l} \right) u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) + \left(\sum_{l=0}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l} t^{h_l} \right) (u^{\mathfrak{d}_p})^2(t, z, \epsilon) \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{b}_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j} t^{b_j} + F(\epsilon^\alpha t, \epsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l} t^{d_l} \partial_t^{\delta_l} \tilde{R}_l(\partial_z) u(t, z, \epsilon)$$

with an additional part of forcing term $F(\epsilon^\alpha t, \epsilon)$ where $F(T, \epsilon)$ is given by the expression (134) and defines a holomorphic bounded function provided that the additional constraints (135) are fulfilled. Each function $u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ can be decomposed as

$$(121) \quad u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) = \epsilon^\beta \left(-\frac{a_0}{c_0} (\epsilon^\alpha t)^{k_0 - h_0} - \frac{a_0}{c_0} (\epsilon^\alpha t)^{k_0 - h_0} J(\epsilon^\alpha t) + (\epsilon^\alpha t)^\gamma v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) \right)$$

where $J(T)$ is holomorphic on some disc $D(0, d_J)$, $d_J > 0$ and $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ defines a bounded holomorphic function on $\mathcal{T} \times H_{\beta'} \times \mathcal{E}_p$ for any given $0 < \beta' < \beta$, with $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(0, z, \epsilon) \equiv 0$ on $H_{\beta'} \times \mathcal{E}_p$. Furthermore, there exist constants $K_p, M_p > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ (independent of ϵ) such that

$$(122) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap D(0, \sigma), z \in H_{\beta'}} |v^{\mathfrak{d}_{p+1}}(t, z, \epsilon) - v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)| \leq K_p \exp\left(-\frac{M_p}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa}}\right)$$

for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{p+1} \cap \mathcal{E}_p$, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$ (where by convention $v^{\mathfrak{d}_\varsigma} = v^{\mathfrak{d}_0}$).

Proof We plan to construct actual solutions of the main equation (29) by performing backwards the sequence of constructions described in Section 4 starting from problem (58) solved in Section 5.

Let $\{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma-1}$ be a good covering in \mathbb{C}^* and let $\{(S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, \epsilon_0 r_\tau})_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma-1}, \mathcal{T}\}$ be a family of sectors associated to this good covering. From Proposition 6, we see that for each direction \mathfrak{d}_p , one can get a solution $\omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ of the convolution equation (58) that belongs to the space $F_{(\nu, \beta, \mu, \chi, \alpha, \kappa, \epsilon)}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}$ and thus satisfies the next bounds

$$(123) \quad |\omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\tau, m, \epsilon)| \leq \varpi(1 + |m|)^{-\mu} e^{-\beta|m|} \frac{|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|}{1 + |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|^{2\kappa}} \exp(\nu |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}}|^\kappa)$$

for all $\tau \in \bar{D}(0, \rho) \cup S_{\mathfrak{d}_p}$, all $m \in \mathbb{R}$, all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$, for some well chosen $\varpi > 0$. Besides, these functions $\omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\tau, m, \epsilon)$ are analytic continuations w.r.t τ of a common convergent series

$$\omega_\kappa(\tau, m, \epsilon) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\omega_n(m, \epsilon)}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{\kappa})} \tau^n$$

with coefficients in the Banach space $E_{(\beta, \mu)}$ solution of (58) for all $\tau \in D(0, \rho)$. In particular, we see that the formal power series

$$\Omega_\kappa(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \omega_n(m, \epsilon) \mathbb{T}^n$$

is m_κ -summable in direction \mathfrak{d}_p as a series with coefficients in the Banach space $E_{(\beta, \mu)}$ for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$ in the sense of Definition 3. We denote

$$(124) \quad \Omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon) = \kappa \int_{L_\gamma} \omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(u, m, \epsilon) \exp(-(\frac{u}{\mathbb{T}})^\kappa) \frac{du}{u}$$

it's m_κ -sum in direction \mathfrak{d}_p , where $L_\gamma = \mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\gamma} \subset S_{\mathfrak{d}_p}$, which defines an $E_{(\beta, \mu)}$ -valued analytic function with respect to \mathbb{T} on a sector

$$S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, h'|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} = \{\mathbb{T} \in \mathbb{C}^* : |\mathbb{T}| < h'|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha} \quad , \quad |\mathfrak{d}_p - \arg(\mathbb{T})| < \theta/2\}$$

for $\frac{\pi}{\kappa} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{\kappa} + \text{Ap}(S_{\mathfrak{d}_p})$ (where $\text{Ap}(S_{\mathfrak{d}_p})$ denotes the aperture of the sector $S_{\mathfrak{d}_p}$) and some $h' > 0$ (independent of ϵ), for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$.

Bearing in mind the identities of Proposition 4 and using the properties for the m_κ -sum with respect to derivatives and products (within the Banach algebra $\mathbb{E} = E_{(\beta, \mu)}$ equipped with the convolution product \star as described in Proposition 1), we check that the functions $\Omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon)$ must solve the next problem

$$(125) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon}(\Omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon)) = \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon}(\Omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(126) \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon}(\Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon)) = & \\
& \tilde{Q}(im)\Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon) \left(-a_0 + \sum_{l=1}^s a_l \epsilon^{-\chi(k_l - k_0)} \mathbb{T}^{k_l - k_0} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l - \chi(k_l - k_0)} \mathbb{T}^{k_l - k_0} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right) J(\epsilon^{-\chi} \mathbb{T}) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} \right) \right) \\
& + \tilde{Q}(im) \left(\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m - m_1, \epsilon) \Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m_1, \epsilon) dm_1 \right) \epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0 + \gamma)} \mathbb{T}^{-k_0 + \gamma} \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi h_l} \mathbb{T}^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi h_l} \mathbb{T}^{h_l} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(127) \quad \mathcal{R}_{\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon}(\Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon)) = & \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{B}_j(m) \epsilon^{n_j - \alpha b_j - \chi(b_j - k_0 - \gamma)} \mathbb{T}^{b_j - k_0 - \gamma} \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^{D-1} \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} \times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - q_1 - q_2)} \tilde{R}_l(im) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{T}^{d_l, q_1, q_2} \{ (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^{q_2} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2-1} A_{q_2, p} \mathbb{T}^{\kappa(q_2-p)} (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^p \} \Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon) \\
& + \epsilon^{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta} \times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D, q_1 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_D!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - q_1 - q_2)} \tilde{R}_D(im) \\
& \quad \times \mathbb{T}^{d_D, q_1, q_2} \{ (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^{q_2} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq q_2-1} A_{q_2, p} \mathbb{T}^{\kappa(q_2-p)} (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^p \} \Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon) \\
& \quad + \tilde{R}_D(im) \{ (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^{\delta_D} + \sum_{1 \leq p \leq \delta_D-1} A_{\delta_D, p} \mathbb{T}^{\kappa(\delta_D-p)} (\mathbb{T}^{\kappa+1} \partial_{\mathbb{T}})^p \} \Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

We examine now the function

$$(128) \quad \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(m \mapsto \Omega_{\kappa}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, m, \epsilon))(z)$$

which defines a bounded holomorphic function w.r.t \mathbb{T} on $S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, h'|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}}$, w.r.t z on $H_{\beta'}$ for any $0 < \beta' < \beta$ and for all ϵ on $D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$. Using the properties of the Fourier inverse transform described in Proposition 5 and watching out the expansions (56), we extract from the equality

(125) the next equation satisfied by $\mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon)$, namely

$$\begin{aligned}
(129) \quad & \tilde{Q}(\partial_z) \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \left(-a_0 + \sum_{l=1}^s a_l \epsilon^{-\chi(k_l - k_0)} \mathbb{T}^{k_l - k_0} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l + \beta - \alpha k_l - \chi(k_l - k_0)} \mathbb{T}^{k_l - k_0} \right. \\
& - 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} \right) \\
& \left. - 2 \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0} \right) J(\epsilon^{-\chi} \mathbb{T}) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi(h_l - h_0)} \mathbb{T}^{h_l - h_0} \right) \right) \\
& + \tilde{Q}(\partial_z) (\mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p})^2(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \epsilon^{-\chi(-k_0 + \gamma)} \mathbb{T}^{-k_0 + \gamma} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l \epsilon^{-\chi h_l} \mathbb{T}^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l + 2\beta - \alpha h_l - \chi h_l} \mathbb{T}^{h_l} \right) \\
& = \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{b}_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j - \alpha b_j - \chi(b_j - k_0 - \gamma)} \mathbb{T}^{b_j - k_0 - \gamma} \\
& \quad + \sum_{l=1}^{D-1} \epsilon^{\Delta_l + \alpha(\delta_l - d_l) + \beta} \\
& \times \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_l - k_0 - q_1)} \mathbb{T}^{d_l - k_0 - q_1} \tilde{R}_l(\partial_z) \epsilon^{\chi q_2} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_2} \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) \\
& + \epsilon^{\Delta_D + \alpha(\delta_D - d_D) + \beta} \sum_{q_1 + q_2 = \delta_D, q_1 \geq 1} \frac{\delta_D!}{q_1! q_2!} \prod_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma - d) \epsilon^{-\chi(d_D - k_0 - q_1)} \mathbb{T}^{d_D - k_0 - q_1} \\
& \quad \times \tilde{R}_D(\partial_z) \epsilon^{\chi q_2} \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{q_2} \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon) + \mathbb{T}^{d_D - k_0} \tilde{R}_D(\partial_z) \partial_{\mathbb{T}}^{\delta_D} \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\mathbb{T}, z, \epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

We focus on the function

$$(130) \quad V^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon) = \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\epsilon^\chi T, z, \epsilon)$$

which defines a bounded holomorphic function w.r.t T such that $T \in \epsilon^{-\chi} S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, h'}|_{\epsilon^{|\chi + \alpha}}$ and w.r.t z on $H_{\beta'}$ for any $0 < \beta' < \beta$, for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$. Having a quick look at (129), we observe

that $V^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon)$ solves a related equation which after multiplication by $T^{k_0+\gamma}$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(131) \quad \tilde{Q}(\partial_z)V^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon) & \left(-a_0T^{k_0+\gamma} + \left(\sum_{l=1}^s a_lT^{k_l} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l\epsilon^{m_l+\beta-\alpha k_l}T^{k_l} \right) T^\gamma \right. \\
& - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0+\gamma} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_lT^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l\epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l}T^{h_l} \right) \\
& \left. - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0+\gamma} J(T) \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_lT^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l\epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l}T^{h_l} \right) \right) \\
& + \tilde{Q}(\partial_z)(V^{\mathfrak{d}_p})^2(T, z, \epsilon) T^{2\gamma} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_lT^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l\epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l}T^{h_l} \right) \\
& = \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{b}_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j-\alpha b_j} T^{b_j} \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l+\alpha(\delta_l-d_l)+\beta} \left(\sum_{q_1+q_2=\delta_l} \frac{\delta_l!}{q_1!q_2!} \Pi_{d=0}^{q_1-1} (\gamma-d) T^{d_l+\gamma-q_1} \tilde{R}_l(\partial_z) \partial_T^{q_2} V^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

In the next step, we introduce the function

$$(132) \quad U^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon) = -\frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0} - \frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0}J(T) + T^\gamma V^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon)$$

which defines a holomorphic function w.r.t T such that $T \in \epsilon^{-\chi} S_{\mathfrak{d}_p, \theta, h'}|_{\epsilon|\chi+\alpha}$ and w.r.t z on $H_{\beta'}$ for any $0 < \beta' < \beta$, for all $\epsilon \in D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\}$. Notice that this function may be meromorphic at $T = 0$, provided that $h_0 > k_0$. Taking (131) into consideration, we see that the function $U^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon)$ solves the next PDE with forcing term

$$\begin{aligned}
(133) \quad \tilde{Q}(\partial_z) & \left(\left(\sum_{l=0}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l+\beta-\alpha k_l} T^{k_l} \right) U^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon) + \left(\sum_{l=0}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l} \right) (U^{\mathfrak{d}_p})^2(T, z, \epsilon) \right) \\
& = \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{b}_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j-\alpha b_j} T^{b_j} + F(T, \epsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l+\alpha(\delta_l-d_l)+\beta} T^{d_l} \tilde{R}_l(\partial_z) \partial_T^{\delta_l} U^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(T, z, \epsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the equation (39) announced in Remark 3 of Section 4.1, where $F(T, \epsilon)$ is a

contribution to the forcing term equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
F(T, \epsilon) = & -\tilde{Q}(0)\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0} + \frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0}J(T)\right) \\
& \times \left(-a_0T^{k_0} + \left(\sum_{l=1}^s a_l T^{k_l} + \sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+\beta-\alpha k_l} T^{k_l}\right) - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+ \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l}\right) - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0}J(T)\left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l}\right)\right) \\
& - \tilde{Q}(0)\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0} + \frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0}J(T)\right)^2\left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l} + \sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l}\right) \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l+\alpha(\delta_l-d_l)+\beta} T^{d_l} \tilde{R}_l(0) \partial_T^{\delta_l} \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0} + \frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0}J(T)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $U_0(T)$ solves the second order algebraic equation (37) and noticing the next identity

$$-a_0T^{k_0} + \sum_{l=1}^s a_l T^{k_l} - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l}\right) = \left(\sum_{l=0}^s a_l T^{k_l}\right) - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0}\left(\sum_{l=0}^{s'} c_l T^{h_l}\right)$$

we can abridge the latter expression of $F(T, \epsilon)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
(134) \quad F(T, \epsilon) = & \tilde{Q}(0)U_0(T) \left(\left(\sum_{l=s+1}^q a_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+\beta-\alpha k_l} T^{k_l} \right) \right. \\
& \left. - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0}\left(\sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l}\right) - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}\right)T^{k_0-h_0}J(T)\left(\sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l}\right) \right) \\
& - \tilde{Q}(0)U_0^2(T)\left(\sum_{l=s'+1}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l+2\beta-\alpha h_l} T^{h_l}\right) \\
& + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l+\alpha(\delta_l-d_l)+\beta} T^{d_l} \tilde{R}_l(0) \partial_T^{\delta_l} \left(\frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0} + \frac{a_0}{c_0}T^{k_0-h_0}J(T)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $F(T, \epsilon)$ is bounded holomorphic w.r.t ϵ and is analytic in T near 0 provided that the next additional conditions hold

$$(135) \quad k_l + k_0 - h_0 \geq 0 \quad , \quad h_p + 2(k_0 - h_0) \geq 0 \quad , \quad d_m + k_0 - h_0 - \delta_m \geq 0$$

for all $s+1 \leq l \leq q$, $s'+1 \leq p \leq M$ and $1 \leq m \leq D$.

Finally, we put

$$(136) \quad u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) = \epsilon^\beta U^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\epsilon^\alpha t, z, \epsilon) = \epsilon^\beta \left(U_0(\epsilon^\alpha t) + (\epsilon^\alpha t)^\gamma \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha} t, z, \epsilon) \right)$$

which defines a holomorphic function w.r.t t on \mathcal{T} , w.r.t $z \in H_{\beta'}$ for any $0 < \beta' < \beta$, w.r.t $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p$, where \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{E}_p are sectors described in Definition 5. As a result, $u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ admits the decomposition (121) with $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) = \mathbb{V}^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha} t, z, \epsilon)$ which determines a bounded holomorphic

function on $\mathcal{T} \times H_{\beta'} \times \mathcal{E}_p$ for any given $0 < \beta' < \beta$ with the property $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(0, z, \epsilon) \equiv 0$ for all $(z, \epsilon) \in H_{\beta'} \times \mathcal{E}_p$. Again, the function $u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ may be meromorphic in both t and ϵ in the vicinity of the origin. From (133) and (134) we deduce that $u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ solves the next main problem

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}(\partial_z) \left(\left(\sum_{l=0}^q a_l \epsilon^{m_l} t^{k_l} \right) u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) + \left(\sum_{l=0}^M c_l \epsilon^{\mu_l} t^{h_l} \right) (u^{\mathfrak{d}_p})^2(t, z, \epsilon) \right) \\ = \sum_{j=0}^Q \tilde{b}_j(z) \epsilon^{n_j} t^{b_j} + F(\epsilon^\alpha t, \epsilon) + \sum_{l=1}^D \epsilon^{\Delta_l} t^{\delta_l} \partial_t^{\delta_l} \tilde{R}_l(\partial_z) u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) \end{aligned}$$

with additional forcing term $F(\epsilon^\alpha t, \epsilon)$. As a spin-off, by applying the operator ∂_z^v on the left and right handside of this last equation, we see that $u^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ is also an actual solution of the problem (29) disclosed at the beginning of Section 4.

In the last part of the proof, we proceed to justify the estimates (122). The steps of the verification are similar to the arguments displayed in Theorem 1 of [20], but we choose to present them for the sake of completeness. Let $p \in \{0, \dots, \varsigma - 1\}$. By the sequence of constructions performed above, we see that the function $v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ can be written as a m_κ -Laplace and Fourier transform

$$(137) \quad v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) = \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{L_{\gamma_p}} \omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(u, m, \epsilon) \exp\left(-\left(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}}\right)^\kappa\right) e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm$$

where $L_{\gamma_p} = \mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\gamma_p} \subset S_{\mathfrak{d}_p}$. Using the fact that the function $u \mapsto \omega_\kappa(u, m, \epsilon) \exp(-(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}})^\kappa)/u$ is holomorphic on $D(0, \rho)$ for all $(m, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{R} \times (D(0, \epsilon_0) \setminus \{0\})$, its integral along the union of a segment starting from 0 to $(\rho/2)e^{i\gamma_{p+1}}$, an arc of circle with radius $\rho/2$ which connects $(\rho/2)e^{i\gamma_{p+1}}$ and $(\rho/2)e^{i\gamma_p}$ and a segment starting from $(\rho/2)e^{i\gamma_p}$ to 0, is vanishing. Therefore, we can write the difference $v^{\mathfrak{d}_{p+1}} - v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}$ as a sum of three integrals,

$$(138) \quad \begin{aligned} v^{\mathfrak{d}_{p+1}}(t, z, \epsilon) - v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon) &= \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{L_{\rho/2, \gamma_{p+1}}} \omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_{p+1}}(u, m, \epsilon) e^{-\left(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}}\right)^\kappa} e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm \\ &\quad - \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{L_{\rho/2, \gamma_p}} \omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(u, m, \epsilon) e^{-\left(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}}\right)^\kappa} e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm \\ &\quad + \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{C_{\rho/2, \gamma_p, \gamma_{p+1}}} \omega_\kappa(u, m, \epsilon) e^{-\left(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}}\right)^\kappa} e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm \end{aligned}$$

where $L_{\rho/2, \gamma_{p+1}} = [\rho/2, +\infty)e^{i\gamma_{p+1}}$, $L_{\rho/2, \gamma_p} = [\rho/2, +\infty)e^{i\gamma_p}$ and $C_{\rho/2, \gamma_p, \gamma_{p+1}}$ is an arc of circle with radius connecting $(\rho/2)e^{i\gamma_p}$ and $(\rho/2)e^{i\gamma_{p+1}}$ with a well chosen orientation.

We give estimates for the quantity

$$I_1 = \left| \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{L_{\rho/2, \gamma_{p+1}}} \omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_{p+1}}(u, m, \epsilon) e^{-\left(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}}\right)^\kappa} e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm \right|.$$

By construction, the direction γ_{p+1} (which depends on $\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}$) is chosen in such a way that $\cos(\kappa(\gamma_{p+1} - \arg(\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha t}))) \geq \delta_1$, for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$, all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, for some fixed $\delta_1 > 0$. From the

estimates (123), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(139) \quad I_1 &\leq \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\rho/2}^{+\infty} \varpi (1+|m|)^{-\mu} e^{-\beta|m|} \frac{\frac{r}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}}}{1 + (\frac{r}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^{2\kappa}} \\
&\quad \times \exp(\nu(\frac{r}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa) \exp(-\frac{\cos(\kappa(\gamma_{p+1} - \arg(\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t)))}{|\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t|^\kappa} r^\kappa) e^{-m\text{Im}(z)} \frac{dr}{r} dm \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa\varpi}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(\beta-\beta')|m|} dm \int_{\rho/2}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} \exp(-(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)(\frac{r}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa) dr \\
&\leq \frac{2\kappa\varpi}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-(\beta-\beta')m} dm \int_{\rho/2}^{+\infty} \frac{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)(\kappa-1)}}{(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)\kappa(\frac{\rho}{2})^{\kappa-1}} \times \frac{(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)\kappa r^{\kappa-1}}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}} \exp(-(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)(\frac{r}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa) dr \\
&\leq \frac{2\kappa\varpi}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)(\kappa-1)}}{(\beta-\beta')(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)\kappa(\frac{\rho}{2})^{\kappa-1}} \exp(-(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)\frac{(\rho/2)^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) \\
&\leq \frac{2\kappa\varpi}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)(\kappa-1)}}{(\beta-\beta')\delta_2\kappa(\frac{\rho}{2})^{\kappa-1}} \exp(-\delta_2\frac{(\rho/2)^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}})
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \beta'$ with $|t| < (\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2 + \nu})^{1/\kappa}$, for some $\delta_2 > 0$, for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$.

In the same way, we also give estimates for the integral

$$I_2 = \left| \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{L_{\rho/2, \gamma_p}} \omega_\kappa^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(u, m, \epsilon) e^{-(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t})^\kappa} e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm \right|.$$

Namely, the direction γ_p (which depends on $\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t$) is chosen in such a way that $\cos(\kappa(\gamma_p - \arg(\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t))) \geq \delta_1$, for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$, all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, for some fixed $\delta_1 > 0$. Again from the estimates (123) and following the same steps as in (139), we deduce that

$$(140) \quad I_2 \leq \frac{2\kappa\varpi}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)(\kappa-1)}}{(\beta-\beta')\delta_2\kappa(\frac{\rho}{2})^{\kappa-1}} \exp(-\delta_2\frac{(\rho/2)^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}})$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \beta'$ with $|t| < (\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2 + \nu})^{1/\kappa}$, for some $\delta_2 > 0$, for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$.

Finally, we give upper bound estimates for the integral

$$I_3 = \left| \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{C_{\rho/2, \gamma_p, \gamma_{p+1}}} \omega_\kappa(u, m, \epsilon) e^{-(\frac{u}{\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t})^\kappa} e^{izm} \frac{du}{u} dm \right|.$$

By construction, the arc of circle $C_{\rho/2, \gamma_p, \gamma_{p+1}}$ is chosen in such a way that $\cos(\kappa(\theta - \arg(\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t))) \geq \delta_1$, for all $\theta \in [\gamma_p, \gamma_{p+1}]$ (if $\gamma_p < \gamma_{p+1}$), $\theta \in [\gamma_{p+1}, \gamma_p]$ (if $\gamma_{p+1} < \gamma_p$), for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$, all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$,

for some fixed $\delta_1 > 0$. Bearing in mind (123) and (79), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(141) \quad I_3 &\leq \frac{\kappa}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \left| \int_{\gamma_p}^{\gamma_{p+1}} \varpi (1+|m|)^{-\mu} e^{-\beta|m|} \frac{\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}}}{1 + (\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^{2\kappa}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \exp(\nu(\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa) \exp(-\frac{\cos(\kappa(\theta - \arg(\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t)))}{|\epsilon^{\chi+\alpha}t|^\kappa}(\frac{\rho}{2})^\kappa) e^{-m\text{Im}(z)} d\theta \Big| dm \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa\varpi}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-(\beta-\beta')|m|} dm \times |\gamma_p - \gamma_{p+1}| \frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}} \exp(-\frac{(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)}{2}(\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa) \\
&\quad \times \exp(-\frac{(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)}{2}(\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa) \\
&\leq \frac{2\kappa\varpi|\gamma_p - \gamma_{p+1}|}{(2\pi)^{1/2}(\beta - \beta')} \sup_{x \geq 0} x^{1/\kappa} e^{-(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)x} \times \exp(-\frac{(\frac{\delta_1}{|t|^\kappa} - \nu)}{2}(\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa) \\
&\leq \frac{2\kappa\varpi|\gamma_p - \gamma_{p+1}|}{(2\pi)^{1/2}(\beta - \beta')} (\frac{1/\kappa}{\delta_2})^{1/\kappa} e^{-1/\kappa} \exp(-\frac{\delta_2}{2}(\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \beta'$ with $|t| < (\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2 + \nu})^{1/\kappa}$, for some $\delta_2 > 0$, for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$.

Finally, gathering the three above inequalities (139), (140) and (141), we deduce from the decomposition (138) that

$$\begin{aligned}
|v^{\mathfrak{d}_{p+1}}(t, z, \epsilon) - v^{\mathfrak{d}_p}(t, z, \epsilon)| &\leq \frac{4\kappa\varpi}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \frac{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)(\kappa-1)}}{(\beta - \beta')\delta_2\kappa(\frac{\rho}{2})^{\kappa-1}} \exp(-\delta_2\frac{(\rho/2)^\kappa}{|\epsilon|^{(\chi+\alpha)\kappa}}) \\
&\quad + \frac{2\kappa\varpi|\gamma_p - \gamma_{p+1}|}{(2\pi)^{1/2}(\beta - \beta')} (\frac{1/\kappa}{\delta_2})^{1/\kappa} e^{-1/\kappa} \exp(-\frac{\delta_2}{2}(\frac{\rho/2}{|\epsilon|^{\chi+\alpha}})^\kappa)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $|\text{Im}(z)| \leq \beta'$ with $|t| < (\frac{\delta_1}{\delta_2 + \nu})^{1/\kappa}$, for some $\delta_2 > 0$, for all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$. Therefore, the inequality (122) holds. \square

7 Parametric Gevrey asymptotic expansions of the solutions

7.1 k -Summable formal series and Ramis-Sibuya Theorem

We remind the definition of k -Borel summability of formal series with coefficients in a Banach space as introduced in [2].

Definition 6 *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. A formal series*

$$\hat{X}(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j \epsilon^j \in \mathbb{F}[[\epsilon]]$$

with coefficients in a Banach space $(\mathbb{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{F}})$ is said to be k -summable with respect to ϵ in the direction $d \in \mathbb{R}$ if

i) *the existence of $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is ensured such that the following formal series, called formal Borel transform of \hat{X} of order k*

$$\mathcal{B}_k(\hat{X})(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_j \tau^j}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{j}{k})} \in \mathbb{F}[[\tau]],$$

is absolutely convergent for $|\tau| < \rho$,

ii) one can select a $\delta > 0$ such that the series $\mathcal{B}_k(\hat{X})(\tau)$ can be analytically continued with respect to τ in a sector $S_{d,\delta} = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C}^* : |d - \arg(\tau)| < \delta\}$. Moreover, there exist $C > 0$, and $K > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{B}(\hat{X})(\tau)\|_{\mathbb{F}} \leq Ce^{K|\tau|^k}$$

for all $\tau \in S_{d,\delta}$.

If the definition above is fulfilled, the vector valued Laplace transform of order k of $\mathcal{B}_k(\hat{X})(\tau)$ in the direction d is set as

$$\mathcal{L}_k^d(\mathcal{B}_k(\hat{X}))(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{-k} \int_{L_\gamma} \mathcal{B}_k(\hat{X})(u) e^{-(u/\epsilon)^k} k u^{k-1} du,$$

along a half-line $L_\gamma = \mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\gamma} \subset S_{d,\delta} \cup \{0\}$, where γ depends on ϵ and is chosen in such a way that $\cos(k(\gamma - \arg(\epsilon))) \geq \delta_1 > 0$, for some fixed δ_1 , for all ϵ in a sector

$$S_{d,\theta,R^{1/k}} = \{\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^* : |\epsilon| < R^{1/k}, \quad |d - \arg(\epsilon)| < \theta/2\},$$

where $\frac{\pi}{k} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{k} + 2\delta$ and $0 < R < \delta_1/K$. The function $\mathcal{L}_k^d(\mathcal{B}_k(\hat{X}))(\epsilon)$ is called the k -sum of the formal series $\hat{X}(t)$ in the direction d . It is bounded and holomorphic on the sector $S_{d,\theta,R^{1/k}}$ and has the formal series $\hat{X}(\epsilon)$ as Gevrey asymptotic expansion of order $1/k$ with respect to ϵ on $S_{d,\theta,R^{1/k}}$. This means that for all $\frac{\pi}{k} < \theta_1 < \theta$, there exist $C, M > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathcal{L}_k^d(\mathcal{B}_k(\hat{X}))(\epsilon) - \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} a_p \epsilon^p\|_{\mathbb{F}} \leq CM^n \Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{k}) |\epsilon|^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $\epsilon \in S_{d,\theta_1,R^{1/k}}$.

Now, we state a cohomological criterion for k -summability of formal series with coefficients in Banach spaces (see [3], p. 121 or [13], Lemma XI-2-6) which is known as the Ramis-Sibuya theorem in the literature.

Theorem (RS) Let $(\mathbb{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{F}})$ be a Banach space over \mathbb{C} and $\{\mathcal{E}_p\}_{0 \leq p \leq \varsigma-1}$ be a good covering in \mathbb{C}^* . For all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, let G_p be a holomorphic function from \mathcal{E}_p into the Banach space $(\mathbb{F}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{F}})$ and let the cocycle $\Theta_p(\epsilon) = G_{p+1}(\epsilon) - G_p(\epsilon)$ be a holomorphic function from the sector $Z_p = \mathcal{E}_{p+1} \cap \mathcal{E}_p$ into \mathbb{F} (with the convention that $\mathcal{E}_\varsigma = \mathcal{E}_0$ and $G_\varsigma = G_0$). We make the following assumptions.

- 1) The functions $G_p(\epsilon)$ are bounded as $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p$ tends to the origin in \mathbb{C} , for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$.
- 2) The functions $\Theta_p(\epsilon)$ are exponentially flat of order k on Z_p , for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$. This means that there exist constants $C_p, A_p > 0$ such that

$$\|\Theta_p(\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{F}} \leq C_p e^{-A_p/|\epsilon|^k}$$

for all $\epsilon \in Z_p$, all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$.

Then, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, the functions $G_p(\epsilon)$ are the k -sums on \mathcal{E}_p of a common k -summable formal series $\hat{G}(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{F}[[\epsilon]]$.

7.2 Parametric Gevrey asymptotic expansions of the solutions and construction of $(\chi + \alpha)\kappa$ -sums

In this subsection, we state the second main result of our work, namely the existence of a formal power series in the parameter ϵ whose coefficients are bounded holomorphic functions on the product of a sector with small radius centered at 0 and a strip in \mathbb{C}^2 which is the common Gevrey asymptotic expansion of order $\frac{1}{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa}$ of the functions $v^{\mathfrak{d}p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ appearing in the expansion (121) of the solutions $u^{\mathfrak{d}p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ to the main equations (29) and (120) established in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *Let us assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold. Then, there exists a formal power series*

$$\hat{v}(t, z, \epsilon) = \sum_{m \geq 0} v_m(t, z) \epsilon^m$$

whose coefficients $v_m(t, z)$ belong to the Banach space \mathbb{F} of bounded holomorphic functions on $(\mathcal{T} \cap D(0, \sigma)) \times H_{\beta'}$ equipped with supremum norm, where $\sigma > 0$ is defined in Theorem 1, and such that the functions $v^{\mathfrak{d}p}(t, z, \epsilon)$ from the decomposition (121), are its $(\chi + \alpha)\kappa$ -sums on the sectors \mathcal{E}_p , for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, viewed as holomorphic functions from \mathcal{E}_p into \mathbb{F} . In other words, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, there exist two constants $C_p, M_p > 0$ such that

$$(142) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathcal{T} \cap D(0, \sigma), z \in H_{\beta'}} |v^{\mathfrak{d}p}(t, z, \epsilon) - \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} v_m(t, z) \epsilon^m| \leq C_p M_p^n \Gamma(1 + \frac{n}{(\chi + \alpha)\kappa}) |\epsilon|^n$$

for all $n \geq 1$, all $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_p$.

Proof We consider the family of functions $v^{\mathfrak{d}p}(t, z, \epsilon)$, $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$ constructed in Theorem 1. For all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$, we define $G_p(\epsilon) := (t, z) \mapsto v^{\mathfrak{d}p}(t, z, \epsilon)$, which is by construction a holomorphic and bounded function from \mathcal{E}_p into the Banach space \mathbb{F} of bounded holomorphic functions on $(\mathcal{T} \cap D(0, \sigma)) \times H_{\beta'}$ equipped with the supremum norm, where \mathcal{T} is introduced in Definition 5, $\sigma > 0$ is set in Theorem 1 and $\beta' > 0$ is the width of the strip $H_{\beta'}$ on which the coefficients $b_j(z)$ are defined with respect to z (see (30)). Bearing in mind the estimates (122), we see that the cocycle $\Theta_p(\epsilon) = G_{p+1}(\epsilon) - G_p(\epsilon)$ is exponentially flat of order $(\chi + \alpha)\kappa$ on $Z_p = \mathcal{E}_p \cap \mathcal{E}_{p+1}$, for any $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$. Therefore, according to Theorem (RS) stated above, we obtain a formal power series

$$\hat{G}(\epsilon) = \sum_{m \geq 0} v_m(t, z) \epsilon^m =: \hat{v}(t, z, \epsilon) \in \mathbb{F}[[\epsilon]]$$

such that the functions $G_p(\epsilon)$ are the $(\chi + \alpha)\kappa$ -sums on \mathcal{E}_p of $\hat{G}(\epsilon)$ as \mathbb{F} -valued functions, for all $0 \leq p \leq \varsigma - 1$. The result follows. \square

References

- [1] T. Aoki, T. Kawai, S. Sasaki, A. Shudo, Y. Takei, *Virtual turning points and bifurcation of Stokes curves for higher order ordinary differential equations*. J. Phys. A 38 (2005), no. 15, 3317–3336.
- [2] W. Balser, *From divergent power series to analytic functions. Theory and application of multisummable power series*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1582. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994. x+108 pp.

- [3] W. Balser, *Formal power series and linear systems of meromorphic ordinary differential equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. xviii+299 pp.
- [4] M. Canalis-Durand, J-P. Ramis, R. Schäfke, Y. Sibuya, *Gevrey solutions of singularly perturbed differential equations*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 518 (2000), 95–129.
- [5] O. Costin, L. Dupaigne, M. Kruskal, *Borel summation of adiabatic invariants*. Nonlinearity 17 (2004), no. 4, 1509–1519.
- [6] E. Delabaere, F. Pham, *Resurgent methods in semi-classical asymptotics*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor. 71 (1999), no. 1, 1–94.
- [7] B. Dubrovin, *On Hamiltonian perturbations of hyperbolic systems of conservation laws. II. Universality of critical behaviour*. Comm. Math. Phys. 267 (2006), no. 1, 117–139.
- [8] T. Dunster, D. Lutz, R. Schäfke, *Convergent Liouville-Green expansions for second-order linear differential equations, with an application to Bessel functions*. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A 440 (1993), no. 1908, 37–54.
- [9] A. Fruchard, R. Schäfke, *Composite asymptotic expansions*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2066. Springer, Heidelberg, 2013. x+161 pp.
- [10] A. Fruchard, R. Schäfke, *On the parametric resurgence for a certain singularly perturbed linear differential equation of second order*. Asymptotics in dynamics, geometry and PDEs; generalized Borel summation. Vol. II, 213–243, CRM Series, 12, Ed. Norm., Pisa, 2011.
- [11] S. Hirose, *On a WKB-theoretic transformation for a completely integrable system near a degenerate point where two turning points coalesce*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 50 (2014), no. 1, 19–84.
- [12] N. Honda, T. Kawai, Y. Takei, *Virtual turning points*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematical Physics, 4. Springer, Tokyo, 2015. xii+126 pp.
- [13] P. Hsieh, Y. Sibuya, *Basic theory of ordinary differential equations*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.
- [14] C. Hulek, *Uniform simplification in a full neighborhood of a turning point* C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 353 (2015), no. 9, 789–793.
- [15] S. Kamimoto, T. Kawai, T. Koike, Y. Takei, *On the WKB-theoretic structure of a Schrödinger operator with a merging pair of a simple pole and a simple turning point*. Kyoto J. Math. 50 (2010), no. 1, 101–164.
- [16] S. Kamimoto, T. Kawai, Y. Takei, *Exact WKB analysis of a Schrödinger equation with a merging triplet of two simple poles and one simple turning point, IIIts WKB-theoretic transformation to the Mathieu equation*. Adv. Math. 260 (2014), 458–564.
- [17] S. Kamimoto, T. Kawai, Y. Takei, *Exact WKB analysis of a Schrödinger equation with a merging triplet of two simple poles and one simple turning point, IIIIts relevance to the Mathieu equation and the Legendre equation*. Adv. Math. 260 (2014), 565–613.
- [18] T. Koike, *On the exact WKB analysis of second order linear ordinary differential equations with simple poles*. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. 36 (2000), no. 2, 297–319.

- [19] A. Lastra, S. Malek, *Multi-level Gevrey solutions of singularly perturbed linear partial differential equations*, Advances in Differential Equations (2016), vol. 21, no. 7/8, p. 767–800.
- [20] A. Lastra, S. Malek, *On parametric Gevrey asymptotics for some nonlinear initial value Cauchy problems*, J. Differential Equations 259 (2015), no. 10. p. 5220–5270.
- [21] A. Lastra, S. Malek, *On parametric multisummable formal solutions to some nonlinear initial value Cauchy problems*, Advances in Difference Equations 2015, 2015:200.
- [22] S. Malek, *Gevrey asymptotics for some nonlinear integro-differential equations*, J. Dynam. Control. Syst 16 (2010), no. 3. 377–406.
- [23] T. Mandai, *Existence and nonexistence of null-solutions for some non-Fuchsian partial differential operators with T -dependent coefficients*. Nagoya Math. J. 122 (1991), 115–137.
- [24] C. Stenger, *On a conjecture of Wolfgang Wasow in linear turning point theory*. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 325 (1997), no. 1, 27–32.
- [25] Y. Takei, *WKB analysis and Stokes geometry of differential equations*, preprint RIMS-1848, 2016, available at <http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/preprint/file/RIMS1848.pdf>.
- [26] H. Tahara, H. Yamazawa, *Multisummability of formal solutions to the Cauchy problem for some linear partial differential equations*, Journal of Differential equations, Volume 255, Issue 10, 15 November 2013, pages 3592–3637.
- [27] W. Wasow, *Linear turning point theory*. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 54. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985. ix+246 pp.