

Title:

On q -Gevrey asymptotics for singularly perturbed q -difference-differential problems with an irregular singularity

Authors:

Alberto Lastra and Stéphane Malek

Affiliations:

- Alberto Lastra (Corresponding author, alastra@am.uva.es)
Universidad de Valladolid, VALLADOLID, Spain.

Address:

Facultad de Ciencias
Calle del Doctor Mergelina s/n
47011 Valladolid
SPAIN

- Stéphane Malek (Stephane.Malek@math.univ-lille1.fr)
Université Lille 1, LILLE, France.

Address:

UFR de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées
Cité Scientifique - M2
59655 Villeneuve d'Ascq Cedex
FRANCE

On q -Gevrey asymptotics for singularly perturbed q -difference-differential problems with an irregular singularity

Alberto Lastra, Stéphane Malek

November 28, 2011

Abstract

We study a q -analog of a singularly perturbed Cauchy problem with irregular singularity in the complex domain which generalizes a previous result by S. Malek in [11]. First, we construct solutions defined in open q -spirals to the origin. By means of a q -Gevrey version of Malgrange-Sibuya theorem we show the existence of a formal power series in the perturbation parameter which turns out to be the q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion (of certain type) of the actual solutions.

Key words: q -Laplace transform, Malgrange-Sibuya theorem, q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion, formal power series. 2010 MSC: 35C10, 35C20.

1 Introduction

We study a family of q -difference-differential equations of the following form

$$(1) \quad \epsilon t \partial_z^S X(\epsilon, qt, z) + \partial_z^S X(\epsilon, t, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} b_k(\epsilon, z) (t\sigma_q)^{m_{0,k}} (\partial_z^k X)(\epsilon, t, zq^{-m_{1,k}}),$$

where $q \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|q| > 1$, $m_{0,k}, m_{1,k}$ are positive integers, $b_k(\epsilon, z)$ are polynomials in z with holomorphic coefficients in ϵ on some neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C} and σ_q is the dilation operator given by $(\sigma_q X)(\epsilon, t, z) = X(\epsilon, qt, z)$. As in previous works [12], [14], [9], the map $(t, z) \mapsto (q^{m_{0,k}}t, zq^{-m_{1,k}})$ is assumed to be a volume shrinking map, meaning that the modulus of the Jacobian determinant $|q|^{m_{0,k}-m_{1,k}}$ is less than 1, for every $0 \leq k \leq S-1$.

In [11], the second author studies a similar singularly perturbed Cauchy problem. In this previous work, the polynomial $b_k(\epsilon, z) := \sum_{s \in I_k} b_{ks}(\epsilon) z^s$ is such that, for all $0 \leq k \leq S-1$, I_k is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ and $b_{ks}(\epsilon)$ are bounded holomorphic functions on some disc $D(0, r_0)$ in \mathbb{C} which verify that the origin is a zero of order at least $m_{0,k}$. The main point on these flatness conditions on the coefficients in $b_k(\epsilon, z)$ is that the method used by M. Canalis-Durand, J. Mozo-Fernández and R. Schäfke in [3] could be adapted so that the initial singularly perturbed problem turns into an auxiliary regularly perturbed q -difference-differential equation with an irregular singularity at $t = 0$, preserving holomorphic coefficients b_{ks} (we refer to [11] for the details). These constricting conditions on the flatness of $b_k(\epsilon, z)$ is now omitted, so that previous result is generalized. In the present work we will not only make use of the procedure considered in [3] but also of the methodology followed in [13]. In that work, the second author considers a family of singularly perturbed nonlinear partial differential equations such that the coefficients

appearing possess poles with respect to ϵ at the origin after the change of variable $t \mapsto t/\epsilon$. This scenario fits our problem.

In both, the present work and [13], the procedure for locating actual solutions relies on the research of certain appropriate Banach spaces. The ones appearing here may be regarded as q -analogs of the ones in [13].

In order to fix ideas we first settle a brief summary of the procedure followed. We consider a finite family of discrete q -spirals $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ in such a way that it provides a good covering at 0 (Definition 3).

We depart from a finite family, with indices belonging to a set \mathcal{I} , of perturbed Cauchy problems (33)+(34). Let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ be fixed. Firstly, by means of a non-discrete q -analog of Laplace transform introduced by C. Zhang in [21] (for details on classical Laplace transform we refer to [1],[5]), we are able to transform our initial problem into auxiliary equation (9) (or (21)).

The transformed problem fits into certain Cauchy auxiliary problem such as (9)+(10) which is considered in Section 2. Here, its solution is found in the space of formal power series in z with coefficients belonging to the space of holomorphic functions defined in the product of discrete q -spirals to the origin in the variable ϵ (this domain corresponds to $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ in the auxiliary transformed problem) times a continuous q -spiral to infinity in the variable τ ($V_I q^{\mathbb{R}+}$ for the auxiliary equation). Moreover, for any fixed ϵ and regarding our auxiliary equation, one can deduce that the coefficients, as functions in the variable τ , belong to the Banach space of holomorphic functions in $V_I q^{\mathbb{R}+}$ subject to q -Gevrey bounds

$$|W_\beta^I(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq C_1 \beta! H^\beta e^{M \log^2 |\tau/\epsilon|} \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}, \quad \tau \in V_I q^{\mathbb{R}+}$$

for positive constants $C_1, C, M, H, A_1 > 0$, where the index of the coefficient considered is β (see Theorem 1).

Also, the transformed problem fits into the auxiliary problem (21)+(22), studied in detail in Section 3. In this case, the solution is found in the space of formal power series in z with coefficients belonging to the space of holomorphic functions defined in the product of a punctured disc at 0 in the variable ϵ times a punctured disc at the origin in τ . For a fixed ϵ , the coefficients belong to the Banach space of holomorphic functions in $D(0, \rho_0) \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$|W_\beta^I(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq C_1 \beta! H^\beta e^{M \log^2 |\tau/\epsilon|} |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}, \quad \tau \in D(0, \rho_0) \setminus \{0\}$$

for positive constants $C_1, C, M, H, A_1 > 0$ when β is the index of the coefficient considered (see Theorem 2).

From these results, we get a sequence $(W_\beta^I)_{\beta \in \mathbb{N}}$ consisting of holomorphic functions in the variable τ so that q -Laplace transform can be applied to its elements. In addition, the function

$$(2) \quad X_I(\epsilon, t, z) := \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta^I(\epsilon, \epsilon t) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$$

turns out to be a holomorphic function defined in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{C}$ which is a solution of the initial problem. Here, \mathcal{T} is an adequate open half q -spiral to 0 and λ_I corresponds to certain q -directions for the q -Laplace transform (see Proposition 1). The way to proceed is also followed by the authors in [6] and [7] when studying asymptotic properties of analytic solutions of q -difference equations with irregular singularities.

It is worth pointing out that the choice of a continuous summation procedure unlike the discrete one in [11] is due to the requirement of Cauchy's theorem on the way.

At this point we own a finite family $(X_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ of solutions of (33)+(34). The main goal is to study its asymptotic behavior at the origin in some sense. Let $\rho > 0$. One observes (Theorem 3) that whenever the intersection $U_I \cap U_{I'}$ is not empty we have

$$(3) \quad |X_I(\epsilon, t, z) - X_{I'}(\epsilon, t, z)| \leq C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{A} \log^2 |\epsilon|}$$

for positive constants C_1, A and for every $(\epsilon, t, z) \in (U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho)$. Equation (3) implies that the difference of two solutions of (33)+(34) admits q -Gevrey null expansion of type $A > 0$ at 0 in $U_I \cap U_{I'}$ as a function with values in the Banach space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}, \rho}$ of holomorphic bounded functions defined in $\mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho)$ endowed with the supremum norm. Flatness condition (3) allows us to establish the main result of the present work (Theorem 7): the existence of a formal power series

$$\hat{X}(\epsilon) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{X_k}{k!} \epsilon^k \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}, \rho}[[\epsilon]],$$

formal solution of (1), such that for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, each of the actual solutions (2) of the problem (33)+(34) admits \hat{X} as its q -Gevrey expansion of a certain type in the corresponding domain of definition.

The main result heavily rests on a Malgrange-Sibuya type theorem involving q -Gevrey bounds, which generalizes a result in [11] where no precise bounds on the asymptotic appears. In this step, we make use of Whitney-type extension results in the framework of ultradifferentiable functions. Whitney-type extension theory is widely studied in literature under the framework of ultradifferentiable functions subject to bounds of their derivatives (see for example [4], [2]) and also it is a useful tool taken into account on the study of continuity of ultraholomorphic operators (see [19],[20],[10]). It is also worth saying that, although q -Gevrey bounds have been achieved in the present work, the type involved might be increased when applying an extension result for ultradifferentiable functions from [2].

The paper is organized as follows.

In Section 2 and Section 3, we introduce Banach spaces of formal power series and solve auxiliary Cauchy problems involving these spaces. In Section 2, this is done when the variables rely in a product of a discrete q -spiral to the origin times a q -spiral to infinity, while in Section 3 it is done when working on a product of a punctured disc at 0 times a disc at 0.

In Section 4 we first recall definitions and some properties related to q -Laplace transform appearing in [21], firstly developed by C. Zhang. In this section we also find actual solutions of the main Cauchy problem (33)+(34) and settle a flatness condition on the difference of two of them so that, when regarding the difference of two solutions in the variable ϵ , we are able to give some information on its asymptotic behavior at 0. Finally, in Section 6 we conclude with the existence of a formal power series in ϵ with coefficients in an adequate Banach space of functions which solves in a formal sense the problem considered. The procedure heavily rests on a q -Gevrey version of Malgrange-Sibuya theorem, developed in Section 5.

2 A Cauchy problem in weighted Banach spaces of Taylor series

$M, A_1, C > 0$ are fixed positive real numbers throughout the whole paper.

Let U, V be nonempty bounded open sets in $\mathbb{C}^* := \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and let $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|q| > 1$. We define

$$Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} = \{\epsilon q^{-n} \in \mathbb{C} : \epsilon \in U, n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad , \quad Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+} = \{\tau q^l \in \mathbb{C} : \tau \in V, l \in \mathbb{R}, l \geq 0\}.$$

We assume there exists $M_1 > 0$ such that $|\tau + 1| > M_1$ for all $\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and also that the distance from the set V to the origin is positive.

Definition 1 Let $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. $E_{\beta, \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}}$ denotes the vector space of functions $v \in \mathcal{O}(Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})$ such that

$$\|v(\tau)\|_{\beta, \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} := \sup_{\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} \left\{ \frac{|v(\tau)|}{e^{M \log^2 \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|}} \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{-C\beta} \right\} |q|^{A_1 \beta^2}$$

is finite.

Let $\delta > 0$. $H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})$ denotes the complex vector space of all formal series $v(\tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} v_\beta(\tau) z^\beta / \beta!$ belonging to $\mathcal{O}(Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})[[z]]$ such that

$$\|v(\tau, z)\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})} := \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \|v_\beta(\tau)\|_{\beta, \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} \frac{\delta^\beta}{\beta!} < \infty.$$

It is straightforward to check that the pair $(H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}), \|\cdot\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+)})}$ is a Banach space.

We consider the formal integration operator ∂_z^{-1} defined on $\mathcal{O}(Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})[[z]]$ by

$$\partial_z^{-1}(v(\tau, z)) := \sum_{\beta \geq 1} v_{\beta-1}(\tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!} \in \mathcal{O}(Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})[[z]].$$

Lemma 1 Let $s, k, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$, $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. We assume that the following conditions hold:

$$(4) \quad m_1 \leq C(k + s) \quad , \quad m_2 \geq 2(k + s)A_1.$$

Then, there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(s, k, m_1, m_2, V, U, C, A_1)$ (not depending on ϵ nor δ) such that

$$(5) \quad \left\| z^s \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} \partial_z^{-k} v(\tau, zq^{-m_2}) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})} \leq C_1 \delta^{k+s} \|v(\tau, z)\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})},$$

for every $v \in H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})$.

Proof Let $v(\tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} v_\beta(\tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!} \in \mathcal{O}(Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})[[z]]$. We have that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| z^s \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} \partial_z^{-k} v(\tau, zq^{-m_2}) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})} &= \left\| \sum_{\beta \geq k+s} \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau) \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} \frac{1}{q^{m_2(\beta-s)}} \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!} \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})} \\ &= \sum_{\beta \geq k+s} \left\| \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau) \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} \frac{1}{q^{m_2(\beta-s)}} \right\|_{\beta, \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} \frac{\delta^\beta}{\beta!} \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account the definition of the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\beta, \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}}$, we get

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left\| \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau) \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} \frac{1}{q^{m_2(\beta-s)}} \right\|_{\beta, \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} = \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} |q|^{A_1(\beta-(k+s))^2} |q|^{p(\beta)} \\ &\sup_{\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} \left\{ \frac{|v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau)|}{e^{M \log^2 \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|}} \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{-C(\beta-(k+s))} \left| \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} \right|^{C(k+s)-m_1} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

with $p(\beta) = A_1\beta^2 - A_1(\beta - (k + s))^2 - m_2(\beta - s)$. From (4) we derive $|\epsilon/\tau|^{C(k+s)-m_1} \leq (C_U/C_V)^{C(k+s)-m_1}$ for every $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and $\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}$, where $0 < C_V := \min\{|\tau| : \tau \in V\}$ and $0 < C_U := \max\{|\epsilon| : \epsilon \in U\}$. Moreover,

$$p(\beta) = (2(k + s)A_1 - m_2)\beta - (k + s)^2A_1 + m_2s,$$

for every $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Regarding condition (4) we obtain the existence of $C_1 > 0$ such that

$$(8) \quad \left| \frac{\epsilon}{\tau} \right|^{C(k+s)-m_1} |q|^{p(\beta)} \leq C_1,$$

for every $\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Inequality (5) follows from (6), (7) and (8):

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| z^s \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} \partial_z^{-k} v(\tau, zq^{-m_2}) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} &\leq C_1 \sum_{\beta \geq k+s} \|v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau)\|_{\beta-(k+s), \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}} \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} \frac{\delta^\beta}{\beta!} \\ &\leq C_1 \delta^{k+s} \sum_{\beta \geq k+s} \|v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau)\|_{\beta-(k+s), \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}} \frac{\delta^{\beta-(k+s)}}{(\beta-(k+s))!}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2 *Let $F(\epsilon, \tau)$ be a holomorphic and bounded function defined on $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \times Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}$. Then, there exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(F, U, V) > 0$ such that*

$$\|F(\epsilon, \tau)v_\epsilon(\tau, z)\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} \leq C_2 \|v_\epsilon(\tau, z)\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})}$$

for every $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$, every $\delta > 0$ and all $v_\epsilon \in H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})$.

Proof Direct calculations regarding the definition of the elements in $H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})$ allow us to conclude when taking $C_2 := \max\{|F(\epsilon, \tau)| : \epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}, \tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}\}$. □

Let $S \geq 1$ be an integer. For all $0 \leq k \leq S - 1$, let $m_{0,k}, m_{1,k}$ be positive integers and $b_k(\epsilon, z) = \sum_{s \in I_k} b_{ks}(\epsilon)z^s$ be a polynomial in z , where I_k is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} and $b_{ks}(\epsilon)$ are holomorphic bounded functions on $D(0, r_0)$. We assume $\overline{Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}} \subseteq D(0, r_0)$.

We consider the following functional equation

$$(9) \quad \partial_z^S W(\epsilon, \tau, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \frac{b_k(\epsilon, z)}{(\tau + 1)\epsilon^{m_{0,k}}} \tau^{m_{0,k}} (\partial_z^k W)(\epsilon, \tau, zq^{-m_{1,k}})$$

with initial conditions

$$(10) \quad (\partial_z^j W)(\epsilon, \tau, 0) = W_j(\epsilon, \tau) \quad , \quad 0 \leq j \leq S - 1,$$

where the functions $(\epsilon, \tau) \mapsto W_j(\epsilon, \tau)$ belong to $\mathcal{O}(Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \times Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})$ for every $0 \leq j \leq S - 1$.

We make the following

Assumption (A) For every $0 \leq k \leq S - 1$ and $s \in I_k$, we have

$$m_{0,k} \leq C(S - k + s) \quad , \quad m_{1,k} \geq 2(S - k + s)A_1.$$

Theorem 1 *Let Assumption (A) be fulfilled. We also make the following assumption on the initial conditions in (10): there exist a constant $\Delta > 0$ and $0 < \tilde{M} < M$ such that for every $0 \leq j \leq S-1$*

$$(11) \quad |W_j(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq \Delta e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|},$$

for all $\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}$, $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Then, there exists $W(\epsilon, \tau, z) \in \mathcal{O}(Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \times Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})[[z]]$ solution of (9)+(10) such that if $W(\epsilon, \tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$, then there exist $C_2 > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$(12) \quad |W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq C_2 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta} \right)^\beta \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} e^{M \log^2 \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}, \quad \beta \geq 0$$

for every $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and $\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}$.

Proof Let $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. We define the map \mathcal{A}_ϵ from $\mathcal{O}(Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})[[z]]$ into itself by

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}(\tau, z)) := \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \frac{b_k(\epsilon, z)}{(\tau+1)\epsilon^{m_{0,k}}} \tau^{m_{0,k}} \left[(\partial_z^{k-S} \tilde{W})(\tau, zq^{-m_{1,k}}) + \partial_z^k w_\epsilon(\tau, zq^{-m_{1,k}}) \right],$$

where $w_\epsilon(\tau, z) := \sum_{j=0}^{S-1} W_j(\epsilon, \tau) \frac{z^j}{j!}$. In the following lemma, we show the restriction of \mathcal{A}_ϵ to a neighborhood of the origin in $H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})$ is a Lipschitz shrinking map for an appropriate choice of $\delta > 0$.

Lemma 3 *There exist $R > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ (not depending on ϵ) such that:*

1. $\left\| \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}(\tau, z)) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} \leq R$ for every $\tilde{W}(\tau, z) \in B(0, R)$. $B(0, R)$ denotes the closed ball centered at 0 with radius R in $H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})$.

2.

$$\left\| \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}_1(\tau, z)) - \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}_2(\tau, z)) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\| \tilde{W}_1(\tau, z) - \tilde{W}_2(\tau, z) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})}$$

for every $\tilde{W}_1, \tilde{W}_2 \in B(0, R)$.

Proof Let $R > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$.

For the first part we consider $\tilde{W}(\tau, z) \in B(0, R) \subseteq H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})$. Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 can be applied so that

$$(14) \quad \left\| \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}(\tau, z)) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \sum_{s \in I_k} \frac{M_{ks}}{M_1} \left[C_1 \delta^{S-k+s} \left\| \tilde{W}(\tau, z) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} + \left\| z^s \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_{0,k}} \partial_z^k w_\epsilon(\tau, zq^{-m_{1,k}}) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} \right],$$

with $M_{ks} = \sup_{\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}} |b_{ks}(\epsilon)| < \infty$, $s \in I_k$, $0 \leq k \leq S-1$. Taking into account the definition of $H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})$ and (11) we have

$$\left\| z^s \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_{0,k}} \partial_z^k w_\epsilon(\tau, zq^{-m_{1,k}}) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})} = \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{S-1-k} \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_{0,k}} W_{j+k}(\epsilon, \tau) \frac{z^{j+s}}{j! q^{m_{1,k} j}} \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+})}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=0}^{S-1-k} \sup_{\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} \left\{ \frac{|W_{j+k}(\epsilon, \tau)|}{e^{M \log^2 \frac{\tau}{\epsilon}}} \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{m_{0,k}-C(j+s)} \right\} |q|^{A_1(j+s)^2} \frac{\delta^{j+s}}{j!|q|^{m_{1,k}j}} \\
(15) \quad &\leq \Delta \sum_{j=0}^{S-1-k} \frac{|q|^{A_1(j+s)^2} \delta^{j+s}}{j!|q|^{m_{1,k}j}} \max\{e^{-(M-\tilde{M}) \log^2(x)} x^{m_{0,k}-C(j+s)} : x > 0, 0 \leq j+k \leq S-1, s \in I_k\} \\
&\leq \Delta C'_2,
\end{aligned}$$

for a positive constant C'_2 .

We conclude this first part from an appropriate choice of R and $\delta > 0$.

For the second part we take $\tilde{W}_1, \tilde{W}_2 \in B(0, R) \subseteq H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})$. Similar arguments as before yield

$$\left\| \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}_1) - \mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}_2) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \sum_{s \in I_k} \frac{M_{ks}}{M_1} C_1 \delta^{S-k+s} \left\| \tilde{W}_1 - \tilde{W}_2 \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})}.$$

An adequate choice for $\delta > 0$ allows us to conclude the proof. \square

We choose constants R, δ as in the previous lemma.

From Lemma 3 and taking into account the shrinking map theorem on complete metric spaces, we guarantee the existence of $\tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z) \in H(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})$ which is a fixed point for \mathcal{A}_ϵ in $B(0, R)$, it is to say, $\left\| \tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})} \leq R$ and $\mathcal{A}_\epsilon(\tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z)) = \tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z)$.

Let us define

$$(16) \quad W_\epsilon(\tau, z) := \partial_z^{-S} \tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z) + w_\epsilon(\tau, z).$$

If we write $\tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \tilde{W}_{\beta, \epsilon}(\tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$ and $W_\epsilon(\tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} W_{\beta, \epsilon}(\tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$, then we have that $W_{\beta+S, \epsilon} \equiv \tilde{W}_{\beta, \epsilon}$ for $\beta \geq 0$ and $W_{j, \epsilon}(\tau) = W_j(\epsilon, \tau)$, $0 \leq j \leq S-1$.

From $\left\| \tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z) \right\|_{(\epsilon, \delta, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+})} \leq R$ we arrive at $\left\| \tilde{W}_{\beta, \epsilon} \right\|_{\beta, \epsilon, Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}} \leq R\beta! \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^\beta$ for every $\beta \geq 0$. This implies

$$|\tilde{W}_{\beta, \epsilon}(\tau)| \leq R\beta! \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^\beta \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} e^{M \log^2 \frac{\tau}{\epsilon}} |q|^{-A_1\beta^2},$$

for every $\beta \geq 0$ and $\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}$.

This is valid for every $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. We define $W(\epsilon, \tau, z) := W_\epsilon(\tau, z)$ and $W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau) := W_{\beta, \epsilon}(\tau)$ for every $(\epsilon, \tau) \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \times Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\beta \geq S$. From (16), it is straightforward to prove that $W(\epsilon, \tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$ is a solution of (9)+(10).

Moreover, holomorphy of W_β in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \times Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ for every $\beta \geq 0$ can be deduced from the recursion formula verified by the coefficients:

$$(17) \quad \frac{W_{h+S}(\epsilon, \tau)}{h!} = \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \sum_{h_1+h_2=h, h_1 \in I_k} \frac{b_{kh_1}(\epsilon) \tau^{m_{0,k}}}{(\tau+1)\epsilon^{m_{0,k}}} \frac{W_{h_2+k}(\epsilon, \tau)}{h_2! q^{m_{1,k}h_2}}, \quad h \geq 0.$$

This implies $W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)$ is holomorphic in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \times Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ for every $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$.

It only rests to prove (12). Upper and lower bounds for the modulus of the elements in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and $Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ respectively and usual calculations lead us to assure the existence of a positive constant $R_1 > 0$ such that

$$(18) \quad |W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)| = |\tilde{W}_{\beta-S, \epsilon}(\tau)| \leq R_1\beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1S}}{\delta}\right)^\beta \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} e^{M \log^2 \frac{\tau}{\epsilon}} |q|^{-A_1\beta^2},$$

for every $\beta \geq S$, and for every $\epsilon \in Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and $\tau \in Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}$. This concludes the proof for $\beta \geq S$.

Hypothesis (11) leads us to obtain (18) for $0 \leq k \leq S - 1$. \square

Remark: If $s > 0$ for every $s \in I_k$, $0 \leq k \leq S - 1$, then for every $R > 0$, there exists small enough $\delta > 0$ in such a way that Lemma 3 holds.

3 Second Cauchy problem in a weighted Banach space of Taylor series

This section is devoted to the study of the same equation as in the previous section when the initial conditions are of a different nature. Proofs will only be sketched not to repeat calculations.

Let $1 < \rho_0$ and $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$ a bounded and open set with positive distance to the origin. \dot{D}_{ρ_0} stands for $D(0, \rho_0) \setminus \{0\}$ in this section. M, A_1, C remain the same positive constants as in the previous section.

Definition 2 Let $r_0 > 0$, $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$. $E_{\beta, \epsilon, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}}^2$ denotes the vector space of functions $v \in \mathcal{O}(\dot{D}_{\rho_0})$ such that

$$|v(\tau)|_{\beta, \epsilon, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} := \sup_{\tau \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} \left\{ |v(\tau)| \frac{|\epsilon|^{C\beta}}{e^{M \log^2 |\tau/\epsilon|}} \right\} |q|^{A_1 \beta^2},$$

is finite. Let $\delta > 0$. $H_2(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$ stands for the vector space of all formal series $v(\tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} v_\beta(\tau) z^\beta / \beta!$ belonging to $\mathcal{O}(\dot{D}_{\rho_0})[[z]]$ such that

$$|v(\tau, z)|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})} := \sum_{\beta \geq 0} |v_\beta(\tau)|_{\beta, \epsilon, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} \frac{\delta^\beta}{\beta!} < \infty.$$

It is straightforward to check that the pair $(H_2(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}), |\cdot|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})})$ is a Banach space.

Lemma 4 Let $s, k, m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $\delta > 0$ and $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}$. We assume that the following conditions hold:

$$(19) \quad m_1 \leq C(k + s) \quad , \quad m_2 \geq 2(k + s)A_1.$$

Then, there exists a constant $C_1 = C_1(s, k, m_1, m_2, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}, U)$ (not depending on ϵ nor δ) such that

$$(20) \quad \left| z^s \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} \partial_z^{-k} v(\tau, zq^{-m_2}) \right|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})} \leq C_1 \delta^{k+s} |v(\tau, z)|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})},$$

for every $v \in H_2(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$.

Proof Let $v(\tau, z) \in \mathcal{O}(\dot{D}_{\rho_0})[[z]]$. The proof follows similar steps as in Lemma 1. We have

$$\left| z^s \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} \partial_z^{-k} v(\tau, zq^{-m_2}) \right|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})} = \sum_{\beta \geq k+s} \left| \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau) \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} \frac{1}{q^{m_2(\beta-s)}} \right|_{\beta, \epsilon, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} \frac{\delta^\beta}{\beta!}.$$

From the definition of the norm $|\cdot|_{\beta, \epsilon, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}}$, we get

$$\left| \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_1} v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau) \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} \frac{1}{q^{m_2(\beta-s)}} \right|_{\beta, \epsilon, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} \leq \frac{\beta!}{(\beta-s)!} |q|^{A_1(\beta-(k+s))^2} |q|^{p(\beta)}$$

$$\times \sup_{\tau \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} \left\{ \frac{|v_{\beta-(k+s)}(\tau)|}{e^{M \log^2 |\tau/\epsilon|}} |\epsilon|^{C(\beta-(k+s))} \right\} \rho_0^{m_1} |\epsilon|^{C(k+s)-m_1},$$

with $p(\beta) = A_1 \beta^2 - A_1(\beta - (k+s))^2 - m_2(\beta - s)$. Identical arguments as in Lemma 1 allow us to conclude. \square

Lemma 5 *Let $F(\epsilon, \tau)$ be a holomorphic and bounded function defined on $(D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$. Then, there exists a constant $C_2 = C_2(F) > 0$ such that*

$$|F(\epsilon, \tau)v_\epsilon(\tau, z)|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})} \leq C_2 |v_\epsilon(\tau, z)|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})}$$

for every $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}$, every $\delta > 0$ and every $v_\epsilon \in H_2(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$.

Let $S, r_0, m_{0,k}, m_{1,k}$ and b_k as in Section 2 and $\rho_0 > 0$. We consider the Cauchy problem

$$(21) \quad \partial_z^S W(\epsilon, \tau, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \frac{b_k(\epsilon, z)}{(\tau+1)\epsilon^{m_{0,k}}} \tau^{m_{0,k}} (\partial_z^k W)(\epsilon, \tau, zq^{-m_{1,k}})$$

with initial conditions

$$(22) \quad (\partial_z^j W)(\epsilon, \tau, 0) = W_j(\epsilon, \tau) \quad , \quad 0 \leq j \leq S-1,$$

where the functions $(\epsilon, \tau) \mapsto W_j(\epsilon, \tau)$ belong to $\mathcal{O}((D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$ for every $0 \leq j \leq S-1$.

Theorem 2 *Let Assumption (A) be fulfilled. We make the following assumption on the initial conditions (22): there exist constants $\Delta > 0$ and $0 < \tilde{M} < M$ such that*

$$(23) \quad |W_j(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq \Delta e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}|},$$

for every $\tau \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$, $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 \leq j \leq S-1$. Then, there exists $W(\epsilon, \tau, z) \in \mathcal{O}((D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0})[[z]]$ solution of (21)+(22) such that if $W(\epsilon, \tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$, then there exist $C_3 > 0$ and $0 < \delta < 1$ such that

$$(24) \quad |W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq C_3 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta} \right)^\beta |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} e^{M \log^2 |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}, \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

for every $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}$ and $\tau \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$.

Proof The proof of Theorem 1 can be adapted here so details will be omitted.

Let $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 < \delta < 1$. We consider the map \mathcal{A}_ϵ from $\mathcal{O}(\dot{D}_{\rho_0})[[z]]$ into itself defined as in (13) and construct $w_\epsilon(\tau, z)$ as above. From (23) we derive

$$(25) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| z^S \left(\frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right)^{m_{0,k}} \partial_z^k w_\epsilon(\tau, zq^{-m_{1,k}}) \right|_{(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{S-1-k} \sup_{\tau \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} |W_{j+k}(\epsilon, \tau)| \frac{|\epsilon|^{C(j+s)}}{e^{M \log^2 |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}|}} \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{m_{0,k}} |q|^{A_1(j+s)^2} \frac{\delta^{j+s}}{j! |q|^{m_{1,kj}}} \\ &\leq \Delta C'_3, \end{aligned}$$

for a positive constant C'_3 not depending on ϵ nor δ .

Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and (25) allow us to affirm that one can find $R > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that the restriction of \mathcal{A}_ϵ to the disc $D(0, R)$ in $H_2(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$ is a Lipschitz shrinking map. Moreover, there exists $\tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z) \in H_2(\epsilon, \delta, \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$ which is a fixed point for \mathcal{A}_ϵ in $B(0, R)$.

If we put $\tilde{W}_\epsilon(\tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \tilde{W}_{\beta, \epsilon}(\tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$, then one gets $|\tilde{W}_{\beta, \epsilon}|_{\beta, \epsilon, \dot{D}_{\rho_0}} \leq R\beta! \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^\beta$ for $\beta \geq 0$. This implies

$$|\tilde{W}_{\beta, \epsilon}(\tau)| \leq R\beta! \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)^\beta |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} e^{M \log^2 \left|\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}\right|} |q|^{-A_1\beta^2}, \quad \beta \geq 0, \tau \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0}.$$

The formal power series

$$W(\epsilon, \tau, z) := \sum_{\beta \geq S} \tilde{W}_{\beta-S, \epsilon}(\tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!} + w_\epsilon(\tau, z) := \sum_{\beta \geq 0} W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$$

turns out to be a solution of (21)+(22) verifying that $W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)$ is a holomorphic function in $(D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$ and the estimates (24) hold for $\beta \geq 0$. \square

4 Analytic solutions in a small parameter of a singularly perturbed problem

4.1 A q -analog of the Laplace transform and q -asymptotic expansion

In this subsection, we recall the definition and several results related to the Jacobi Theta function and also a q -analog of the Laplace transform which was firstly developed by C. Zhang in [21].

Let $q \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|q| > 1$.

The Jacobi Theta function is defined in \mathbb{C}^* by

$$\Theta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{-n(n-1)/2} x^n, \quad x \in \mathbb{C}^*.$$

From the fact that the Jacobi Theta function satisfies the functional equation $xq\Theta(x) = \Theta(qx)$, for $x \neq 0$, we have

$$(26) \quad \Theta(q^m x) = q^{\frac{m(m+1)}{2}} x^m \Theta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{C}, x \neq 0$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The following lower bounds for the Jacobi Theta function will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 6 *Let $\delta > 0$. There exists $C > 0$ (not depending on δ) such that*

$$(27) \quad |\Theta(x)| \geq C\delta e^{\frac{\log^2 |x|}{2 \log |q|}} |x|^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|1 + xq^k| > \delta$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof Let $\delta > 0$. From Lemma 5.1.6 in [18] we get the existence of a positive constant C_1 such that $|\Theta(x)| \geq C_1 \delta \Theta_{|q|}(|x|)$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|1 + xq^k| > \delta$ for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Now,

$$\Theta_{|q|}(|x|) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |q|^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} |x|^n \geq \max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |q|^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} |x|^n.$$

Let us fix $|x|$. The function

$$f(t) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}t(t-1)\log|q| + t\log|x|\right)$$

takes its maximum value at $t_0 = \frac{\log|x|}{\log|q|} + \frac{1}{2}$ with $f(t_0) = C_2 \exp\left(\frac{\log^2|x|}{2\log|q|}\right)|x|^{1/2}$, for certain $C_2 > 0$. Taking into account that

$$\max_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |q|^{-\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} |x|^n \geq f(\lfloor t_0 \rfloor) = f(t_0) |q|^{-\frac{(\lfloor t_0 \rfloor - t_0)^2}{2}} \geq f(t_0) |q|^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

one can conclude the result. Here $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ stands for the entire part. \square

Corollary 1 *Let $\delta > 0$. For any $\xi \in (0, 1)$ there exists $C_\xi = C_\xi(\delta) > 0$ such that*

$$(28) \quad |\Theta(x)| \geq C_\xi e^{\frac{\xi \log^2|x|}{2\log|q|}},$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $|1 + xq^k| > \delta$, for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From now on, $(\mathbb{H}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}})$ stands for a complex Banach space.

For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\delta > 0$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, q, \delta} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^* : |1 + \frac{\lambda}{zq^k}| > \delta, \forall k \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

The following definition corresponds to a q -analog of Laplace transform and can be found in [21] when working with sectors in the complex plane.

Proposition 1 *Let $\delta > 0$ and $\rho_0 > 0$. We fix an open and bounded set V in \mathbb{C}^* such that $D(0, \rho_0) \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Let $\lambda \in D(0, \rho_0) \cap V$ and f be a holomorphic function defined in \dot{D}_{ρ_0} with values in \mathbb{H} such that can be extended to a function F defined in $D_{\rho_0} \cup Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+}$ and*

$$(29) \quad \|F(x)\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C_1 e^{\overline{M} \log^2|x|}, \quad x \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0} \cup Vq^{\mathbb{R}_+},$$

for positive constants $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < \overline{M} < \frac{1}{2\log|q|}$.

Let $\pi_q = \log(q) \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - q^{-n-1})^{-1}$ and put

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^\lambda F(z) = \frac{1}{\pi_q} \int_0^{\infty \lambda} \frac{F(\xi) d\xi}{\Theta\left(\frac{\xi}{z}\right) \xi},$$

where the path $[0, \infty \lambda]$ is given by $t \in (-\infty, \infty) \mapsto q^t \lambda$. Then, $\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^\lambda F$ defines a holomorphic function in $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda, q, \delta}$ and it is known as the q -Laplace transform of f following direction $[\lambda]$.

Proof

Let $K \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\lambda, q, \delta}$ be a compact set and $z \in K$. From the parametrization of the path $[0, \infty \lambda]$ we have

$$\int_0^{\infty \lambda} \frac{F(\xi) d\xi}{\Theta\left(\frac{\xi}{z}\right) \xi} = \log(q) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{F(q^t \lambda)}{\Theta\left(\frac{q^t \lambda}{z}\right)} dt.$$

Let $0 < \xi_1 < 1$ such that $0 < \bar{M} < \frac{\xi_1}{2 \log |q|}$ and let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have $w = \frac{q^t \lambda}{z}$ satisfies $|1 + q^k w| > \delta$ for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Corollary 1 and (29) yield

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\| \frac{F(q^t \lambda)}{\Theta\left(\frac{q^t \lambda}{z}\right)} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}} dt \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{C_1 e^{\bar{M} \log^2 |q^t \lambda|}}{C_{\xi_1} e^{\frac{\xi_1}{2 \log |q|} \log^2 |q^t \lambda / z|}} dt \leq L_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |q^t \lambda|^{\frac{\xi_1 \log |z|}{2 \log |q|}} e^{(\bar{M} - \frac{\xi_1}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |q^t \lambda|} dt,$$

for a positive constant L_1 . There exist $0 < A < B$ such that $A \leq |z| \leq B$ for every $z \in K$, so that the last term in the chain of inequalities above is upper bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & L_1 \int_{-\infty}^{-\log |\lambda| / \log |q|} |q^t \lambda|^{\frac{\xi_1 \log A}{2 \log |q|}} e^{(\bar{M} - \frac{\xi_1}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |q^t \lambda|} dt \\ & + L_1 \int_{-\log |\lambda| / \log |q|}^{\infty} |q^t \lambda|^{\frac{\xi_1 \log B}{2 \log |q|}} e^{(\bar{M} - \frac{\xi_1}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |q^t \lambda|} dt. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows from this last expression. \square

Remark: If we let $\bar{M} = \frac{1}{2 \log |q|}$, then $\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^\lambda F$ will only remain holomorphic in $\mathcal{R}_{\lambda,q,\delta} \cap D(0, r_1)$ for certain $r_1 > 0$.

In the next proposition, we recall a commutation formula for the q -Laplace transform and the multiplication by a polynomial.

Proposition 2 *Let V be an open and bounded set in \mathbb{C}^* and $D(0, \rho_0)$ such that $V \cap D(0, \rho_0) \neq \emptyset$. Let ϕ a holomorphic function on $Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+} \cup \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$ with values in the Banach space $(\mathbb{H}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathbb{H}})$ which satisfies the following estimates: there exist $C_1 > 0$ and $0 < \bar{M} < \frac{1}{2 \log |q|}$ such that*

$$(31) \quad \|\phi(x)\|_{\mathbb{H}} < C_1 e^{\bar{M} \log^2 |x|}, \quad x \in \dot{D}_{\rho_0} \cup Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+}.$$

Then, the function $m\phi(\tau) = \tau\phi(\tau)$ is holomorphic on $Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+} \cup \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$ and satisfies estimates in the shape above. Let $\lambda \in V \cap D(0, \rho_0)$ and $\delta > 0$. We have the following equality

$$\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^\lambda(m\phi)(t) = t\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^\lambda\phi(qt)$$

for every $t \in \mathcal{R}_{\lambda,q,\delta}$.

Proof It is direct to prove that $m\phi$ is a holomorphic function in $Vq^{\mathbb{R}^+} \cup \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$ and also that $m\phi$ verifies bounds as in (31). From (26) we have $\Theta(x) = x\Theta(x/q)$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^*$, so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^\lambda(m\phi)(t) &= \frac{1}{\pi_q} \int_0^{\infty \lambda} \frac{(m\phi)(\xi)}{\Theta(\frac{\xi}{t})} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = \frac{1}{\pi_q} \int_0^{\infty \lambda} \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\Theta(\frac{\xi}{t})} d\xi \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi_q} \int_0^{\infty \lambda} \frac{\phi(\xi)}{\frac{\xi}{t} \Theta(\frac{\xi}{qt})} d\xi = t\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^\lambda(\phi)(qt), \end{aligned}$$

for every $t \in \mathcal{R}_{\lambda,q,\delta}$. \square

4.2 Analytic solutions in a parameter of a singularly perturbed Cauchy problem

The following definition of a good covering firstly appeared in [18], p. 36.

Definition 3 Let $I = (I_1, I_2)$ be a pair of open intervals in \mathbb{R} each one of length smaller than $1/4$ and let U_I be the corresponding open bounded set in \mathbb{C}^* defined by

$$U_I = \{e^{2\pi ui} q^v \in \mathbb{C}^* : u \in I_1, v \in I_2\}.$$

Let \mathcal{I} be a finite family of tuple I as above verifying

1. $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} (U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}) = \nu \setminus \{0\}$, where ν is a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C} , and
2. the open sets $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$, $I \in \mathcal{I}$ are four by four disjoint.

Then, we say $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ is a good covering.

Definition 4 Let $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a good covering. Let $\delta > 0$. We consider a family of open bounded sets $\{(V_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{T}\}$ in \mathbb{C}^* such that:

1. There exists $1 < \rho_0$ with $V_I \cap D(0, \rho_0) \neq \emptyset$, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$.
2. For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\tau \in V_I q^{\mathbb{R}}$, $|\tau + 1| > \delta$.
3. For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, $t \in \mathcal{T}$, $\epsilon_u \in U_I$ and $\lambda_v \in V_I \cap D(0, \rho_0)$, we have

$$\left| 1 + \frac{\lambda_v}{\epsilon_u t q^r} \right| > \delta,$$

for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$.

4. $|t| \leq 1$ for every $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

We say the family $\{(V_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{T}\}$ is associated to the good covering $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$.

Let $S \geq 1$ be an integer. For every $0 \leq k \leq S - 1$, let $m_{0,k}, m_{1,k}$ be positive integers and $b_k(\epsilon, z) = \sum_{s \in I_k} b_{ks}(\epsilon) z^s$ be a polynomial in z , where I_k is a subset of \mathbb{N} and $b_{ks}(\epsilon)$ are bounded holomorphic functions on some disc $D(0, r_0)$ in \mathbb{C} , $0 < r_0 \leq 1$. Let $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a good covering such that $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \subseteq D(0, r_0)$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Assumption (B):

$$M < \frac{1}{2 \log |q|}.$$

Definition 5 Let $\rho_0 > 1$ such that $V \cap D(0, \rho_0) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\Delta, \tilde{M} > 0$ such that $\tilde{M} < M$ and $(\epsilon, \tau) \mapsto W(\epsilon, \tau)$ a bounded holomorphic function on $(D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$ verifying

$$|W(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq \Delta e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 |\tau/\epsilon|},$$

for every $(\epsilon, \tau) \in (D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$. Assume moreover that $W(\epsilon, \tau)$ can be extended to an analytic function $(\epsilon, \tau) \mapsto W_{UV}(\epsilon, \tau)$ on $U q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times (V q^{\mathbb{R}+} \cup \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$ and

$$(32) \quad |W_{UV}(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq \Delta e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 |\tau/\epsilon|},$$

for every $(\epsilon, \tau) \in U q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times (V q^{\mathbb{R}+} \cup \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$. We say that the set $\{W, W_{UV}, \rho_0\}$ is admissible.

Let \mathcal{I} be a finite family of indices. For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we consider the following singularly perturbed Cauchy problem

$$(33) \quad \epsilon t \partial_z^S X_I(\epsilon, qt, z) + \partial_z^S X_I(\epsilon, t, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} b_k(\epsilon, z) (t\sigma_q)^{m_{0,k}} (\partial_z^k X_I)(\epsilon, t, zq^{-m_{1,k}})$$

with b_k as in (9), and with initial conditions

$$(34) \quad (\partial_z^j X_I)(\epsilon, t, 0) = \phi_{I,j}(\epsilon, t) \quad , \quad 0 \leq j \leq S-1,$$

where the functions $\phi_{I,j}(\epsilon, t)$ are constructed as follows. Let $\{(V_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{T}\}$ be a family of open sets associated to the good covering $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$. For every $0 \leq j \leq S-1$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$, let $\{W_j, W_{U_I, V_I, j}, \rho_0\}$ be an admissible set. Let λ_I be a complex number in $V_I \cap D(0, \rho_0)$. We can assume that $r_0 < 1 < |\lambda_I|$. If not, we diminish r_0 as desired. We put

$$\phi_{I,j}(\epsilon, t) := \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I}(\tau \mapsto W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \tau))(\epsilon, \epsilon t).$$

Lemma 7 *The function $(\epsilon, t) \mapsto \phi_{I,j}(\epsilon, t)$, constructed as above, turns out to be holomorphic and bounded on $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T}$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and all $0 \leq j \leq S-1$.*

Proof Let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $0 \leq j \leq S-1$. From (32), one has

$$(35) \quad |W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq \Delta e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 |\tau/\epsilon|} = \Delta e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 |\epsilon|} |\tau|^{-2\tilde{M} \log |\epsilon|} e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 |\tau|},$$

for every $(\epsilon, \tau) \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times (V_I q^{\mathbb{R}^+} \cup \dot{D}_{\rho_0})$. Let $\epsilon \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and $\tilde{M} < \tilde{M}_2 < \frac{1}{2 \log |q|}$. Then, (35) can be upper bounded by $\tilde{\Delta} \exp(\tilde{M}_2 \log^2 |\tau|)$, for some $\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\Delta}(\epsilon) > 0$. Estimates in (29) holds so that Proposition 1 can be applied here. The third item in Definition 4 derives holomorphy of $\phi_{I,j}$ on $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T}$.

We now prove boundness of $\phi_{I,j}$ in its domain of definition. One has

$$|\phi_{I,j}(\epsilon, t)| = \left| \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I} W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \epsilon t) \right| \leq \left| \mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \epsilon t) \right| + \left| \mathcal{L}_{q;1,-}^{\lambda_I} W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \epsilon t) \right|,$$

for every $(\epsilon, t) \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \epsilon t) &= \frac{\log(q)}{\pi_q} \int_0^\infty \frac{W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta\left(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t}\right)} ds, \\ \mathcal{L}_{q;1,-}^{\lambda_I} W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \epsilon t) &= \frac{\log(q)}{\pi_q} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta\left(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t}\right)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

We only give bounds for the first integral. The estimates for the second one can be deduced following a similar procedure.

Let $0 < \xi < 1$ such that $\tilde{M} < \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}$. From Corollary 1 and (32) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, \epsilon t) \right| &\leq \frac{|\log(q)|}{|\pi_q|} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{W_{U_I, V_I, j}(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta\left(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t}\right)} \right| ds \leq \frac{|\log(q)| \Delta}{|\pi_q| C_\xi} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{\tilde{M} \log^2 |q^s \lambda_I / \epsilon|}}{e^{\frac{\xi \log^2 |q^s \lambda_I|}{2 \log |q|}}} ds \\ &\leq \frac{|\log(q)| \Delta}{|\pi_q| C_\xi} e^{(\tilde{M} - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\lambda_I / \epsilon|} e^{-\frac{\xi \log^2 |t|}{2 \log |q|}} e^{\frac{\xi \log |\lambda_I / \epsilon| \log |t|}{\log |q|}} \\ &\times \int_0^\infty e^{2(\tilde{M} - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |q| s^2} e^{(\tilde{M} - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log |q| \log |\lambda_I / \epsilon| s} e^{\xi \log |t| s} ds \leq C_j, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C_j > 0$ which does not depend on ϵ nor t . \square

The following assumption is related to technical reasons appearing in the proof of Lemma 7 and Theorem 3.

Assumption (C): There exist $a_1, a_2 > 0$, $0 < \xi, \bar{\xi} < 1$ such that

$$(C.1) \quad M < \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|},$$

$$(C.2) \quad \frac{\xi}{2} - M \log |q| - \frac{Ca_1}{2a_2} > 0,$$

$$(C.3) \quad \frac{Ca_2}{2a_1} + \frac{C^2}{4\bar{\xi} \log |q| \left(\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} - M \right)} < A_1.$$

Next remark clarifies availability of these constants for a posed problem.

Remark: Assumptions (A), (B) and (C) strongly depend on the choice of q whose modulus must rest near 1. For example, these assumptions on the constants are verified when taking $\log |q| = 1/16$, $M = 1$, $A_1 = 5$, $C = 1$, $\xi = 1/2$, $\bar{\xi} = 1/2$, $a_1 = 1$, $a_2 = 4$. Then, next theorem provides a solution for the equation

$$\epsilon t \partial_z^2 X_I(\epsilon, qt, z) + \partial_z^2 X_I(\epsilon, t, z) = (b_{00}(\epsilon) + b_{01}(\epsilon)z)t^2 X_I(\epsilon, q^2 t, zq^{-30}) + b_{10}(\epsilon)t \partial_z X_I(\epsilon, qt, zq^{-10}),$$

with b_{00}, b_{01}, b_{10} being holomorphic functions near the origin.

Theorem 3 *Let Assumption (A) be fulfilled by the integers $m_{0,k}, m_{1,k}$, for $0 \leq k \leq S - 1$ and also assumptions (B) and (C) for M, A_1, C . We consider the problem (33)+(34) where the initial conditions are constructed as above. Then, for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, the problem (33)+(34) has a solution $X_I(\epsilon, t, z)$ which is holomorphic and bounded in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{C}$.*

Moreover, for every $\rho > 0$, if $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ are such that $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}} \neq \emptyset$ then there exists a positive constant $C_1 = C_1(\rho) > 0$ such that

$$|X_I(\epsilon, t, z) - X_{I'}(\epsilon, t, z)| \leq C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{A} \log^2 |\epsilon|}, \quad (\epsilon, t, z) \in (U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho),$$

with $\frac{1}{A} = (1 - \bar{\xi}) \left(\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} - M \right)$ with $\xi, \bar{\xi}$ chosen as in Assumption (C).

Proof Let $\delta > 0$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}$. We consider the Cauchy problem (21) with initial conditions $(\partial_z^j W)(\epsilon, \tau, 0) = W_j(\epsilon, \tau)$ for $0 \leq j \leq S - 1$. From Theorem 2 we obtain the existence of a unique formal solution $W(\epsilon, \tau, z) = \sum_{\beta \geq 0} W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!} \in \mathcal{O}((D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0})[[z]]$ and positive constants $C_3 > 0$ and $0 < \delta_1 < 1$ such that

$$(36) \quad |W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq C_3 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_1} \right)^\beta |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} e^{M \log^2 |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}, \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

for $(\epsilon, \tau) \in (D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$.

Moreover, from Theorem 1 we get that the coefficients $W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)$ can be extended to holomorphic functions defined in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times V_I q^{\mathbb{R}^+}$ and also the existence of positive constants C_2 and $0 < \delta_2 < 1$ such that

$$(37) \quad |W_\beta(\epsilon, \tau)| \leq C_2 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta \left| \frac{\tau}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} e^{M \log^2 |\frac{\tau}{\epsilon}|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}, \quad \beta \geq 0,$$

for $(\epsilon, \tau) \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times V_I q^{\mathbb{R}_+}$.

We choose $\lambda_I \in V_I \cap D(0, \rho_0)$. In the following estimates we will make use of the fact that $|\epsilon| \leq |\lambda_I|$ for every $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0 \setminus \{0\})$. Proposition 1 allows us to calculate the q -Laplace transform of W_β with respect to τ for every $\beta \geq 0$, $\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I}(W_\beta)(\epsilon, \tau)$. It defines a holomorphic function in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{R}_{\lambda_I, q, \delta}$. From the fact that $\{(V_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}, \mathcal{T}\}$ is chosen to be a family associated to the good covering $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ we derive that the function

$$(\epsilon, t) \mapsto \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I}(W_\beta)(\epsilon, \epsilon t)$$

is a holomorphic and bounded function defined in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T}$. We can define, at least formally,

$$(38) \quad X_I(\epsilon, t, z) := \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I}(W_\beta)(\epsilon, \epsilon t) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!},$$

in $\mathcal{O}(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T})[[z]]$. If $X_I(\epsilon, t, z)$ were a holomorphic function in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{C}$, then Proposition 2 would allow us to affirm that (38) is an actual solution of (33)+(34). In order to end the first part of the proof it rests to demonstrate that (38) defines in fact a bounded holomorphic function in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T} \times \mathbb{C}$. Let $(\epsilon, t) \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T}$ and $\beta \geq 0$. We have

$$|\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)| \leq |\mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)| + |\mathcal{L}_{q;1,-}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)|,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t) = \frac{\log(q)}{\pi_q} \int_0^\infty \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t})} ds, \quad \mathcal{L}_{q;1,-}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t) = \frac{\log(q)}{\pi_q} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t})} ds.$$

We now establish bounds for both integrals.

$$|\mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)| \leq \frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t})} \right| ds.$$

Let $0 < \xi < 1$ as in Assumption (C). From (37) and (28), the previous integral is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \int_0^\infty \frac{C_2 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta \left| \frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} e^{M \log^2 \left| \frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}}{C_\xi \exp\left(\frac{\xi \log^2 \left| \frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t} \right|}{2 \log |q|}\right)} ds \\ & \leq \frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \frac{C_2}{C_\xi} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta \left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{|q|^{C_s \beta} e^{M \log^2 \left| \frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|}}{\exp\left(\frac{\xi \log^2 \left| \frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t} \right|}{2 \log |q|}\right)} ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let a_1, a_2 as in Assumption (C.2) and (C.3).

From $(a_1 s - a_2 \beta)^2 \geq 0$ and 4. in Definition 4, the previous inequality is upper bounded by

$$(39) \quad \mathcal{A} \int_0^\infty |q|^{-Bs^2} e^{(M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\lambda_I / \epsilon|} e^{((2M \log |q| - \xi) \log |\lambda_I / \epsilon| + \xi \log |t|) s} ds,$$

where $0 < B = \frac{\xi}{2} - M \log |q| - \frac{C a_1}{2 a_2}$ and

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \frac{C_2}{C_\xi} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta \left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2 + \frac{C a_2 \beta^2}{2 a_1}} e^{-\frac{\xi \log^2 |t|}{2 \log |q|}} e^{\frac{\xi \log |\lambda_I / \epsilon| \log |t|}{\log |q|}}.$$

The previous integral is uniformly bounded for $\epsilon \in D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}$ and $t \in \mathcal{T}$ from hypotheses made on these sets. The expression in (39) can be bounded by

$$\frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \frac{C'_2}{C_\xi} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta \left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} e^{(M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\lambda_I / \epsilon|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2 + \frac{C a_2 \beta^2}{2 a_1}} e^{-\frac{\xi \log^2 |t|}{2 \log |q|}} e^{\frac{\xi \log |\lambda_I / \epsilon| \log |t|}{\log |q|}},$$

for an appropriate constant $C'_2 > 0$.

The function $s \mapsto s^{\gamma \beta} e^{-\alpha \log^2(s)}$ takes its maximum at $s = e^{\gamma \beta / (2\alpha)}$ so each element in the image set is bounded by $e^{(\gamma \beta)^2 / (4\alpha)}$. Taking this to the expression above we get

$$|\mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)| \leq \frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \frac{C''_2}{C_\xi} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2 + \frac{C a_2 \beta^2}{2 a_1} + \frac{C^2 \beta^2}{4 \log |q| (\xi / (2 \log |q|) - M)}},$$

for certain $C''_2 > 0$.

Assumption (C.3) applied to the last term in the previous expression allows us to deduce that the sum

$$(40) \quad \sum_{\beta \geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_{q;1,+}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)| \frac{|z|^\beta}{\beta!}$$

converges in the variable z uniformly in the compact sets of \mathbb{C} .

We now study $\mathcal{L}_{q;1,-}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)$. We have

$$|\mathcal{L}_{q;1,-}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)| \leq \frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \int_{-\infty}^0 \left| \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t})} \right| ds.$$

From (24) and (28) the previous integral is bounded by

$$\frac{|\log q|}{|\pi_q|} \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{C_3 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_1} \right)^\beta |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} e^{M \log^2 \left| \frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2}}{C_\xi e^{\frac{\xi \log^2 \left| \frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t} \right|}{2 \log |q|}}} ds.$$

Similar calculations as in the first part of the proof resting on Assumption (C) can be followed so that the series

$$(41) \quad \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \mathcal{L}_{q;1,-}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t) \frac{z^\beta}{\beta!}$$

is uniformly convergent with respect to the variable z in the compact sets of \mathbb{C} , for $(\epsilon, t) \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \times \mathcal{T}$. We will not enter into detail not to repeat calculations.

The estimates (40) and (41) imply convergence of the series in (38) for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Boundedness of the q -Laplace transform with respect to ϵ is guaranteed so the first part of the result is achieved.

Let $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}} \neq \emptyset$ and $\rho > 0$. For every $(\epsilon, t, z) \in (U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho)$ we have

$$(42) \quad |X_I(\epsilon, t, z) - X_{I'}(\epsilon, t, z)| \leq \sum_{\beta \geq 0} |\mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t) - \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_{I'}} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t)| \frac{\rho^\beta}{\beta!}.$$

We can write

$$(43) \quad \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_I} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t) - \mathcal{L}_{q;1}^{\lambda_{I'}} W_\beta(\epsilon, \epsilon t) = \frac{1}{\pi_q} \left(\int_{\gamma_1} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} - \int_{\gamma_2} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} + \int_{\gamma_3 - \gamma_4} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \right)$$

where the path γ_1 is given by $s \in (0, \infty) \mapsto q^s \lambda_I$, γ_2 is given by $s \in (0, \infty) \mapsto q^s \lambda_{I'}$, γ_3 is $s \in (-\infty, 0) \mapsto q^s \lambda_I$ and γ_4 is $s \in (-\infty, 0) \mapsto q^s \lambda_{I'}$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $|\lambda_I| = |\lambda_{I'}|$.

For the first integral we deduce

$$\left| \int_{\gamma_1} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \right| \leq |\log(q)| \int_0^\infty \frac{|W_\beta(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)|}{|\Theta(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t})|} ds.$$

Similar estimates as in the first part of the proof lead us to bound the right part of previous inequality by

$$\frac{C_2'''}{C_\xi} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta \left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2 + \frac{C a_2}{2a_1} \beta^2} e^{(M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\lambda_I/\epsilon|},$$

for certain $C_2''' > 0$. For any $\bar{\xi} \in (0, 1)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|^{C\beta} e^{\bar{\xi}(M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\lambda_I/\epsilon|} \leq e^{\frac{C^2 \beta^2}{4\bar{\xi}(\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} - M)}}, \quad \beta \geq 0.$$

This yields

$$(44) \quad \int_{\gamma_1} \left| \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, q^s \lambda_I)}{\Theta(\frac{q^s \lambda_I}{\epsilon t})} \right| ds \leq \frac{C_2'''}{C_\xi} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_2} \right)^\beta |q|^{(-A_1 + \frac{C a_2}{2a_1} + \frac{C^2}{4\bar{\xi} \log |q| (\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} - M)}) \beta^2} e^{(1 - \bar{\xi})(M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\lambda_I/\epsilon|}.$$

We choose $\bar{\xi}$ as in Assumption (C).

The integral corresponding to the path γ_2 can be bounded following identical steps.

We now give estimates concerning $\gamma_3 - \gamma_4$. It is worth saying that the function in the integrand is well defined for $(\epsilon, \tau) \in (D(0, r_0) \setminus \{0\}) \times \dot{D}_{\rho_0}$ and does not depend on the index $I \in \mathcal{I}$. This fact and Cauchy Theorem allow us to write for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = 0,$$

where $\Gamma_n = \gamma_{n,1} + \gamma_5 - \gamma_{n,2} - \gamma_{n,3}$ is the closed path defined in the following way: $s \in [-n, 0] \mapsto \gamma_{n,1}(s) = \lambda_I q^s$, γ_5 is the arc of circumference from λ_I to $\lambda_{I'}$, $s \in [-n, 0] \mapsto \gamma_{n,2}(s) = \lambda_{I'} q^s$ and $\gamma_{n,3}$ is the arc of circumference from $\lambda_{I'} q^{-n}$ to $\lambda_I q^{-n}$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we derive

$$(45) \quad 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{n,1} + \gamma_5 - \gamma_{n,2}} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{n,3}} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi}.$$

Usual estimates lead us to prove that

$$(46) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{n,3}} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$(47) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\gamma_{n,1} + \gamma_5 - \gamma_{n,2}} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = \int_{\gamma_3 + \gamma_5 - \gamma_4} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi}.$$

From (45), (46) and (47) we obtain

$$\int_{\gamma_3-\gamma_4} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = \int_{-\gamma_5} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} = \int_{\theta_I}^{\theta_{I'}} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, |\lambda_I| e^{i\theta})}{\Theta\left(\frac{|\lambda_I| e^{i\theta}}{\epsilon t}\right)} d\theta,$$

where $\theta_I = \arg(\lambda_I)$, $\theta_{I'} = \arg(\lambda_{I'})$. Taking into account Definition 4 and (36) we derive the modulus of the last term in the previous equality is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\text{length}(\gamma_5) C_3}{C_\xi} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_1} \right)^\beta |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} \frac{e^{M \log^2 \left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|}}{e^{\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} \log^2 \left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon t} \right|}} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2} \\ & \leq C'_3 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_1} \right)^\beta |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} e^{(M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 \left| \frac{\lambda_I}{\epsilon} \right|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2} \\ & \leq C'_3 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_1} \right)^\beta |\epsilon|^{-C\beta} e^{\bar{\xi} (M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\epsilon|} |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2} e^{(1 - \bar{\xi}) (M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\epsilon|}. \end{aligned}$$

for adequate positive constants C_3, C'_3 . From standard estimates we achieve

$$(48) \quad \left| \int_{\gamma_3-\gamma_4} \frac{W_\beta(\epsilon, \xi)}{\Theta(\xi/\epsilon t)} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \right| \leq C'_3 \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_1} \right)^\beta |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2} e^{\frac{C^2}{4\bar{\xi}(\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} - M)}} \beta^2 e^{(1 - \bar{\xi}) (M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\epsilon|}.$$

From (42), (43), (44), (48) and Assumption (C.3) we conclude the existence of a positive constant $C'_1 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} |X_I(\epsilon, t, z) - X_{I'}(\epsilon, t, z)| & \leq C'_1 \sum_{\beta \geq 0} \beta! \left(\frac{|q|^{2A_1 S}}{\delta_0} \right)^\beta |q|^{-A_1 \beta^2} \left(-A_1 + \frac{Ca_2}{2a_1} + \frac{C^2}{4\bar{\xi} \log |q| (\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} - M)} \right) \beta^2 \times \\ & \times e^{(1 - \bar{\xi}) (M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\epsilon|} \frac{\rho^\beta}{\beta!} \leq C_1 e^{(1 - \bar{\xi}) (M - \frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|}) \log^2 |\epsilon|}, \end{aligned}$$

for every $(\epsilon, t, z) \in (U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}) \times \mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho)$, with $\delta_0 = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. □

5 A q -Gevrey Malgrange-Sibuya type theorem

In this section we obtain a q -Gevrey version of the so called Malgrange-Sibuya theorem which allows us to reach our final main achievement: the existence of a formal series solution of problem (33)+(34) which asymptotically represents the actual solutions obtained in Theorem 3, meaning that for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, X_I admits this formal solution as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion in the variable ϵ .

In [11], a Malgrange-Sibuya type theorem appears with similar aims as in this work. We complete the information there giving bounds on the estimates appearing for the q -asymptotic expansion. This mentioned work heavily rests on the theory developed by J-P. Ramis, J. Sauloy and C. Zhang in [18].

In the present work, although q -Gevrey bounds are achieved, the q -Gevrey type involved will not be preserved, suffering an increase on the way.

The nature of the proof relies in the one concerning classical Malgrange-Sibuya theorem for Gevrey asymptotics which can be found in [16].

Let \mathbb{H} be a complex Banach space.

Definition 6 Let U be a bounded open set in \mathbb{C}^* and $A > 0$. We say a holomorphic function $f : Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ admits $\hat{f} = \sum_{n \geq 0} f_n \epsilon^n \in \mathbb{H}[[\epsilon]]$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type A in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ if for every compact set $K \subseteq U$ there exist $C_1, H > 0$ such that

$$\left\| f(\epsilon) - \sum_{n=0}^N f_n \epsilon^n \right\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C_1 H^N |q|^{A \frac{N^2}{2}} \frac{|\epsilon|^{N+1}}{(N+1)!}, \quad N \geq 0,$$

for every $\epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

The following proposition can be found, under slight modifications in Section 4 of [18].

Proposition 3 Let $A > 0$ and $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$ be an open and bounded set. Let $f : Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a holomorphic function that admits a formal power series $\hat{f} \in \mathbb{H}[[\epsilon]]$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type A in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Then, if $\hat{f}^{(k)}$ stands for the k -th formal derivative of \hat{f} for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $f^{(k)}$ admits $\hat{f}^{(k)}$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type A in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

Proposition 4 Let $A > 0$ and $f : Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ a holomorphic function in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Then,

i) If f admits $\hat{0}$ as its q -Gevrey expansion of type A , then for every compact set $K \subseteq U$ there exists $C_1 > 0$ with

$$\|f(\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{\tilde{a}} \frac{1}{2 \log |q|} \log^2 |\epsilon|},$$

for every $\epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and every $\tilde{a} > A$.

ii) If for every compact set $K \subseteq U$ there exists $C_1 > 0$ with

$$\|f(\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C_1 e^{-\frac{1}{A} \frac{1}{2 \log |q|} \log^2 |\epsilon|},$$

for every $\epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ then f admits $\hat{0}$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type \tilde{a} in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$, for every $\tilde{a} > A$.

Proof Let $C_1, H, A > 0$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The function

$$G(x) = C_1 \exp(\log(H)x + \frac{\log |q| A}{2} x^2 + (x+1) \log |\epsilon|)$$

reaches its minimum for $x > 0$ at $x_0 = \frac{-\log(H) - \log |\epsilon|}{A \log |q|}$. We deduce both results from standard calculations. \square

Definition 7 Let $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a good covering at 0 (see Definition 3), and $g_{I, I'} : U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ a holomorphic function in $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ for $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ when the intersection is not empty. The family $(g_{I, I'})_{(I, I') \in \mathcal{I}^2}$ is a q -Gevrey \mathbb{H} -cocycle of type $A > 0$ attached to a good covering $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ if the following properties are satisfied:

1. $g_{I, I'}$ admits $\hat{0}$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type $A > 0$ on $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ for every $(I, I') \in \mathcal{I}$.
2. $g_{I, I'}(\epsilon) = -g_{I', I}(\epsilon)$ for every $(I, I') \in \mathcal{I}$, and $\epsilon \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}$.
3. We have $g_{I, I''}(\epsilon) = g_{I, I'}(\epsilon) + g_{I', I''}(\epsilon)$ for all $\epsilon \in U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I''} q^{-\mathbb{N}}$, $I, I', I'' \in \mathcal{I}$.

Let $\rho > 0$ and $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$ be an open and bounded set. $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho}$ stands for the Banach space of holomorphic and bounded functions in $\mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho)$ with the supremum norm.

Proposition 5 *Let $\rho > 0$. We consider the family $(X_I(\epsilon, t, z))_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ constructed in Theorem 3. Then, the set of functions $(g_{I,I'}(\epsilon))_{(I,I') \in \mathcal{I}^2}$ defined by*

$$g_{I,I'}(\epsilon) := (t, z) \in \mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho) \mapsto X_{I'}(\epsilon, t, z) - X_I(\epsilon, t, z)$$

for $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ is a q -Gevrey $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho}$ -cocycle of type \tilde{A} for every

$$\tilde{A} > A := \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{\xi})\left(\frac{\xi}{2 \log |q|} - M\right) 2 \log |q|} = \frac{1}{(1 - \bar{\xi})(\xi - 2M \log |q|)},$$

attached to the good covering $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$.

Proof The first property in Definition 7 directly comes from Theorem 3 and Proposition 4. The other two are verified by construction of the cocycle. \square

We recall several definitions and an extension result from [2] which will be crucial in our work.

Definition 8 *A continuous increasing function $w : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a weight function if it satisfies*

- (α) *there exists $k \geq 1$ with $w(2t) \leq k(w(t) + 1)$ for all $t \geq 0$,*
- (β) $\int_0^\infty \frac{w(t)}{1+t^2} dt < \infty$,
- (γ) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log t}{w(t)} = 0$,
- (δ) $\phi : t \mapsto w(e^t)$ *is convex.*

The Young conjugate associated to ϕ , $\phi^* : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\phi^*(y) := \sup\{xy - \phi(x) : x \geq 0\}.$$

Definition 9 *Let K be a nonempty compact set in \mathbb{R}^2 . A jet on K is a family $F = (f^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ where $f^\alpha : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a continuous function on K for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$.*

Let w be a weight function. A jet $F = (f^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ on K is said to be a w -Whitney jet (of Roumieu type) on K if there exist $m > 0$ and $M > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_{K,1/m} := \sup_{x \in K, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2} |f^\alpha(x)| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{m} \phi^*(m|\alpha|)\right) \leq M,$$

and for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $|\alpha| \leq l$ and $x, y \in K$ one has

$$|(R_x^l F)_\alpha(y)| \leq M \frac{|x - y|^{l+1-|\alpha|}}{(l+1-|\alpha|)!} \exp\left(\frac{1}{m} \phi^*(m(l+1))\right),$$

where $(R_x^l F)_\alpha(y) := f^\alpha(y) - \sum_{|\alpha+\beta| \leq l} \frac{1}{\beta!} f^{\alpha+\beta}(x)(y-x)^\beta$.

$\mathcal{E}_{\{w\}}(K)$ denotes the linear space of w -Whitney jets on K .

Definition 10 Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be a nonempty compact set and w a weight function in K . A continuous function $f : K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is $w - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ in the sense of Whitney in K if there exists a w -Whitney jet on K , $(f^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ such that $f^{(0,0)} = f$.

For an open set $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$ we define

$$\mathcal{E}_{\{w\}}(\Omega) := \{f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega) : \forall K \subseteq \Omega, K \text{ compact}, \exists m > 0, \|f\|_{K,1/m} < \infty\}.$$

The following result establishes conditions on a weight function so that a jet in $\mathcal{E}_{\{w\}}(K)$ can be extended to an element in $\mathcal{E}_{\{w\}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Theorem 4 (Corollary 3.10, [2]) For a given weight function w , the following statements are equivalent:

1. For every nonempty closed set K in \mathbb{R}^2 the restriction map sending a function $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\{w\}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to the family of derivatives of f in K , $(f^\alpha|_K)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2} \in \mathcal{E}_{\{w\}}(K)$ is a surjective map.
2. w is a strong weight function, it is to say,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\epsilon w(t)}{w(\epsilon t)} = 0.$$

Let $k_1 = \frac{1}{4 \log |q|}$. We consider the weight function defined by $w_0(t) = k_1 \log^2(t)$ for $t \geq 1$ and $w_0(t) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. As the authors write in [2], the value of a weight function near the origin is not relevant for the space of functions generated in the sequel.

The following lemma can be easily verified.

Lemma 8 w_0 is a weight function.

Under this definition of w_0 we have

$$\phi_{w_0}^*(y) = \sup\{xy - \phi_{w_0}(x) : x \geq 0\} = \sup\{xy - \frac{x^2}{4 \log |q|} : x \geq 0\} = \log |q| y^2, \quad y \geq 0.$$

The spaces appearing in Definition 9 concerning this weight function are the following: for any nonempty compact set $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$, $\mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K)$ is the set of w_0 -Whitney jets on K , which consists of every jet $F = (f^\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ on K such that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $M > 0$ with

$$|f^\alpha(x)| \leq M |q|^{m|\alpha|^2}, \quad x \in K, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$$

and such that for every $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $|\alpha| \leq l$ we have

$$|(R_x^l F)_\alpha(y)| \leq M \frac{|x-y|^{l+1-|\alpha|}}{(l+1-|\alpha|)!} |q|^{m(l+1)^2}, \quad x, y \in K.$$

We derive that $\mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K)$ consists of the Whitney jets on K such that there exist $C_1, H > 0$ with

$$(49) \quad |f^\alpha(x)| \leq C_1 H^{|\alpha|} |q|^{A \frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}}, \quad x \in K, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2,$$

and for every $x, y \in K$ and all $l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2$ with $|\alpha| \leq l$

$$(50) \quad |(R_x^l F)_\alpha(y)| \leq C_1 H^l |q|^{A \frac{l^2}{2}} \frac{|x-y|^{l+1-|\alpha|}}{(l+1-|\alpha|)!}.$$

Theorem 5 w_0 is a strong weight function so that Theorem 4 holds.

Proof

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\epsilon w(t)}{w(\epsilon t)} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\epsilon k_1 \log^2(t)}{k_1 \log^2(\epsilon t)} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \epsilon = 0.$$

□

Remark: A continuous function f which is $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ in the sense of Whitney on a compact set K is indeed \mathcal{C}^∞ in the usual sense in $\text{Int}(K)$ and verifies q -Gevrey bounds of the same type. Moreover, we have

$$f^k(x, y) = \partial_x^{k_1} \partial_y^{k_2} f(x, y),$$

for every $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and $(x, y) \in \text{Int}(K)$.

Next result is an adaptation of Lemma 4.1.2 in [18]. Here, we need to determine bounds in order to achieve a q -Gevrey type result.

Lemma 9 Let U be an open set in \mathbb{C}^* and $f : Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ a holomorphic function with $\hat{f} = \sum_{h \geq 0} a_h \epsilon^h \in \mathbb{H}[[\epsilon]]$ being its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type $A > 0$ in $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Then, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the family $\partial_\epsilon^n f(\epsilon)$ of n -complex derivatives of f satisfies that for every compact set $K \subseteq U$ and $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \leq m$, there exist $C_1, H > 0$ such that

$$(51) \quad \left\| \partial_\epsilon^k f(\epsilon_a) - \sum_{h=0}^{m-k} \frac{\partial_\epsilon^{k+h} f(\epsilon_b)}{h!} (\epsilon_a - \epsilon_b)^h \right\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C_1 H^m |q|^{A \frac{m^2}{2}} \frac{|\epsilon_a - \epsilon_b|^{m+1-k}}{(m+1-k)!},$$

for every $\epsilon_a, \epsilon_b \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\}$. Here, we write $\partial_\epsilon^l f(0) = l! a_l$ for $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof We will first state the result when $\epsilon_b = 0$. Indeed, we prove in this first step that the family of functions with q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type $A > 0$ in a fixed q -spiral is closed under derivation. Proposition 3 turns out to be a particular case of this result.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, K be a compact set in U and consider another compact set K_1 such that $K \subseteq K_1 \subseteq U$. We define

$$R_m(\epsilon) := \epsilon^{-m-1} (f(\epsilon) - \sum_{h=0}^m \frac{\partial_\epsilon^h f(0)}{h!} \epsilon^h), \quad \epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}},$$

where $\partial_\epsilon^h f(0)$ denotes the limit of $\partial_\epsilon^h f(\epsilon)$ for $\epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}}$ tending to 0. Then we have that

$$(52) \quad \partial_\epsilon f(\epsilon) = \sum_{h=1}^m \frac{\partial_\epsilon^h f(0)}{h!} h \epsilon^{h-1} + (\partial_\epsilon R_m(\epsilon)) \epsilon^{m+1} + (m+1) R_m(\epsilon) \epsilon^m.$$

Moreover, from Definition 6, there exist $C, H > 0$ such that $\|R_m(\epsilon)\| \leq CH^m \frac{|q|^{A \frac{m^2}{2}}}{(m+1)!}$ for every $\epsilon \in K_1 q^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

Lemma 10 (Lemma 4.4.1 [18]) There exists $\rho > 0$ such that $\overline{D}(\epsilon, \rho|\epsilon|) \subseteq K_1 q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ for every $\epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

Cauchy's integral formula and q -Gevrey expansion of f guarantee the existence of a positive constant $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\|\partial_\epsilon R_m(\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C_2 H^m \frac{|q|^{A \frac{m^2}{2}}}{(m+1)!} \frac{1}{\rho|\epsilon|}, \quad \epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}},$$

This yields the existence of $C_3 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \epsilon^{-m} (\partial_\epsilon f(\epsilon) - \sum_{h=0}^{m-1} \frac{\partial_\epsilon^{h+1} f(0)}{h!} \epsilon^h) \right\|_{\mathbb{H}} &\leq \|\partial_\epsilon R_m(\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}} |\epsilon| + (m+1) \|R_m(\epsilon)\|_{\mathbb{H}} \\ &\leq C_2 A_1^m \frac{|q|^{A \frac{m^2}{2}}}{m!}, \quad \epsilon \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}}. \end{aligned}$$

An induction reasoning is sufficient to conclude the proof for every $m \geq 0$.

We now study the case where $\epsilon_b \neq 0$ and only give details for $k = 0$. For $k \geq 1$ one only has to take into account that the derivatives of f also admit q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type A and consider the function $\partial_\epsilon^k f$.

If $\epsilon_b \neq 0$ we treat two cases:

If $|\epsilon_a - \epsilon_b| \leq \rho|\epsilon_b|$, then $[\epsilon_a, \epsilon_b]$ is contained in $K_1 q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ and we conclude from Cauchy's integral formula.

If $|\epsilon_a - \epsilon_b| > \rho|\epsilon_b|$, then we bear in mind that the result is obvious when f is a polynomial and write $f(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{m+1} R_m(\epsilon) + p(\epsilon)$ where $p(\epsilon) = \sum_{h=0}^m \frac{\partial_\epsilon^h f(0)}{h!} \epsilon^h$. So, it is sufficient to prove (51) when $f(\epsilon) := \epsilon^{m+1} R_m(\epsilon)$. The result follows from q -Gevrey bounds for $\|\partial_\epsilon^k R_m\|_{\mathbb{H}}$, $k = 0, \dots, n$ and usual estimates. \square

The following lemma generalizes Lemma 6 in [11].

Lemma 11 *Let $f : Uq^{-\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a holomorphic function having $\hat{f}(\epsilon) = \sum_{h \geq 0} a_h \epsilon^h \in \mathbb{H}[[\epsilon]]$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type $A > 0$ on $Uq^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Let $K \subseteq U$ be a compact set. Then, the function $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \mapsto \phi(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = f(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ is a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on the compact set*

$$K' = \{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in Kq^{-\mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\}\}.$$

Proof We consider the set of functions $(\phi^{(k_1, k_2)})_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ defined by

$$(53) \quad \phi^{(k_1, k_2)} := i^{k_2} \partial_\epsilon^{k_1 + k_2} f(\epsilon), \quad (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2, (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in K'.$$

From Lemma 9, function f satisfies bounds as in (51). Written in terms of the elements in $(\phi^{(k_1, k_2)})_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ we have the existence of $C_1, H > 0$ such that for every $(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, $m \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \frac{1}{i^{k_2}} \phi^{(k_1, k_2)}(x_1, y_1) - \sum_{p=0}^{m - |(k_1, k_2)|} \sum_{h_1 + h_2 = p} \frac{\phi^{(k_1 + h_1, k_2 + h_2)}(x_2, y_2)}{i^{k_2 + h_2} p!} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \frac{p!}{h_1! h_2!} (x_1 - x_2)^{h_1} i^{h_2} (y_1 - y_2)^{h_2} \right\|_{\mathbb{H}} \leq C_1 H^m |q|^{A \frac{m^2}{2}} \frac{\|(x_1 - x_2, y_1 - y_2)\|_{\mathbb{R}^2}^{m+1 - |(k_1, k_2)|}}{(m+1 - |(k_1, k_2)|)!} \end{aligned}$$

for $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in K'$. Expression (49) can be directly checked from (53) and (51) for $\epsilon_b = 0$ and $m = k$. This yields the set $(\phi^{(k_1, k_2)})_{(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ is an element in $\mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K')$ \square

Next result allows us to glue together a finite number of jets in $\mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K)$, for a given compact set K .

Theorem 6 [[8]. *Theorem II.1.3*] *Let K_1, K_2 be compact sets in \mathbb{R}^2 . The following statements are equivalent:*

i. The sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K_1 \cup K_2) \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K_1) \oplus \mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K_2) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K_1 \cap K_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. $\pi(f) = (f|_{K_1}, f|_{K_2})$ and $\delta(f, g) = f|_{K_1 \cap K_2} - g|_{K_1 \cap K_2}$.

ii. Let $f_1 \in \mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K_1)$ and $f_2 \in \mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K_2)$ be such that $f_1(x) = f_2(x)$ for every $x \in K_1 \cap K_2$. The function f defined by $f(x) = f_1(x)$ if $x \in K_1$ and $f(x) = f_2(x)$ if $x \in K_2$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K_1 \cup K_2)$.

iii. If $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \emptyset$ then there exist $A_3, A_4 > 0$ such that

$$\overline{M}(A_3 \text{dist}(x, K_1 \cap K_2)) \leq A_4 \overline{M}(\text{dist}(x, K_2)),$$

for every $x \in K_1$. Here, \overline{M} denotes the function given by $\overline{M}(0) = 0$ and $\overline{M}(t) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t^n M_n$ for $t > 0$. $\text{dist}(x, K)$ stands for the distance from x to the set K .

Corollary 2 [[18], Lemma 4.3.6] Given \tilde{K}_1, \tilde{K}_2 nonempty compact sets in \mathbb{C}^* , if we put $K_j := \{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in \tilde{K}_j q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\}\}$, $j = 1, 2$, then the previous theorem holds for K_1 and K_2 .

As the authors remark in [18], condition iii) in the previous result is known as transversality condition which is more constricting than Lojasiewicz's condition (see [15]).

Next proposition is devoted to show that the cocycle constructed in Proposition 5 splits in the space of $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ functions in the sense of Whitney. Whitney-type extension results on $\mathcal{E}_{\{w_0\}}(K)$ (Theorem 4 and Theorem 5) will play an important role in the following step.

Proposition 6 Let $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ be a good covering and let $(g_{I, I'}(\epsilon))_{(I, I') \in \mathcal{I}^2}$ be the q -Gevrey $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}, \rho}$ -cocycle of type \tilde{A} constructed in Proposition 5. We choose a family of compact sets $K_I \subseteq U_I$ for $I \in \mathcal{I}$, with $\text{Int}(K_I) \neq \emptyset$, in such a way that $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} (K_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})$ is $\mathcal{U} \setminus \{0\}$, where \mathcal{U} is a neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C} .

Then, for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$, there exists a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function $f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ in the sense of Whitney on the compact set $A_I = \{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in K_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\}\}$, with values in the Banach space $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}, \rho}$, such that

$$(54) \quad g_{I, I'}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) - f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$$

for all $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $A_I \cap A_{I'} \neq \emptyset$ and, for every $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I'}$.

Proof The proof follows similar arguments as Lemma 3.12 in [18] and it is an adaptation of Proposition 5 in [11] under q -Gevrey settings.

Let $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ such that $A_I \cap A_{I'} \neq \emptyset$. From Lemma 11, we have the function $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \mapsto g_{I, I'}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ is a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on $A_I \cap A_{I'}$. In the following we provide the construction of f_I for $I \in \mathcal{I}$ verifying (54).

Let us fix any $I \in \mathcal{I}$. We consider any $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on A_I . By definition of the good covering $(U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}})_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ the following cases are possible:

Case 1: If there is at least one $I' \in \mathcal{I}$, $I \neq I'$, such that $A_I \cap A_{I'} \neq \emptyset$ but $A_I \cap A_{I'} \cap A_{I''} = \emptyset$ for every $I'' \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I'' \neq I' \neq I$, then we define $e_{I, I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + g_{I, I'}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ for every $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I'}$. $e_{I, I'}$ is a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney in $A_I \cap A_{I'}$. From

Theorem 4 and Theorem 5, we can extend $e_{I,I'}$ to a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on $A_{I'}$. This extension is called $f_{I'}$. We have

$$g_{I,I'}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) - f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I'}.$$

Case 2: There exist two different $I', I'' \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I' \neq I \neq I''$ such that $A_I \cap A_{I'} \cap A_{I''} \neq \emptyset$. We first construct a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on $A_{I'}$, $f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$, verifying

$$(55) \quad g_{I,I'}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) - f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I'}.$$

We define $e_{I,I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + g_{I,I''}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ for every $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I''}$ and $e_{I',I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + g_{I',I''}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ whenever $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_{I'} \cap A_{I''}$. From (55) we have $e_{I,I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = e_{I',I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ for every $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I'} \cap A_{I''}$. From this, we can define

$$e_{I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) := \begin{cases} e_{I,I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) & \text{if } (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I''} \\ e_{I',I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) & \text{if } (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_{I'} \cap A_{I''}. \end{cases}$$

From Theorem 6 and Corollary 2 we deduce $e_{I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ can be extended to a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney in $A_{I''}$, $f_{I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$. It is straightforward to check, from the way $f_{I''}$ was constructed, that $f_{I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + g_{I,I''}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ when $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I''}$ and also $f_{I''}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + g_{I',I''}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ for $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_{I'} \cap A_{I''}$.

These two cases solve completely the problem since nonempty intersection of four different compacts in $(A_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ is not allowed when working with a good covering. The functions in $(f_I)_{I \in \mathcal{I}}$ satisfy (54). \square

6 Existence of formal series solutions and q -Gevrey expansions

In the current section we set the main result in this work. We establish the existence of a formal power series with coefficients belonging to $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho}$ which asymptotically represents the actual solutions found in Theorem 3 for the problem (33)+(34). Moreover, each actual solution turns out to admit this formal power series as q -Gevrey expansion of a certain type in the q -spiral where the solution is defined.

The following lemma will be useful in the following. We only sketch its proof. For more details we refer to [17].

Lemma 12 *Let U be an open and bounded set in \mathbb{R}^2 . We consider $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(U)$ (in the classical sense) verifying bounds as in (49) and (50) for every $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in U$. Let g be the solution of the equation*

$$(56) \quad \partial_{\bar{\epsilon}} g(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) := \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\epsilon_1} + i\partial_{\epsilon_2})g(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = h(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in U.$$

Then g also verifies bounds such as those in (49) and (50) for $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in U$.

Proof Let h_1 be any extension of the function h to \mathbb{R}^2 with compact support which preserves bounds in (49) and (50) in \mathbb{R}^2 . We have

$$g(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) := -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{h_1(x)}{x - \epsilon} d\xi d\eta, \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in U$$

solves (56). Here, $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$, $x = (\xi, \eta)$ and $d\xi d\eta$ stands for Lebesgue measure in x -plane. Bounds in (49) for the function g come out from

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} g}{\partial \epsilon_1^{\alpha_1} \partial \epsilon_2^{\alpha_2}}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} h_1}{\partial \epsilon_1^{\alpha_1} \partial \epsilon_2^{\alpha_2}}(x) \frac{1}{x - \epsilon} d\xi d\eta,$$

for every $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ and $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in U$, and from the fact that the function $x = (x_1, x_2) \mapsto 1/|x|$ is Lebesgue integrable in any compact set containing 0.

On the other hand, g satisfies estimates in (50) from Taylor formula with integral remainder.

□

We now give a decomposition result of the functions X_I constructed in Theorem 3. The procedure is adapted from [11] under q -Gevrey settings. For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we write $X_I(\epsilon) : U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T}, \rho}$ for the holomorphic function given by $X_I(\epsilon) := (t, z) \mapsto X_I(\epsilon, t, z)$.

Proposition 7 *There exists a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function $u(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ and a holomorphic function $a(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ defined on the neighborhood $\text{Int}(\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} A_I)$ of 0 such that*

$$(57) \quad X_I(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + u(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) + a(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \text{Int}(A_I),$$

for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof From the definition of the cocycle $(g_{I, I'})_{(I, I') \in \mathcal{I}^2}$ in Proposition 5 and from Proposition 6 we derive

$$X_I(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) - f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = X_{I'}(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) - f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I'} \setminus \{(0, 0)\},$$

whenever $(I, I') \in \mathcal{I}^2$ and $A_I \cap A_{I'} \neq \emptyset$. The function $X - f$ given by

$$(X - f)(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) := X_I(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) - f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$$

is well defined on $W \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$, where $W = \cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} A_I$ is a closed neighborhood of $(0, 0)$.

For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, X_I is a holomorphic function on $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ so that Cauchy-Riemann equations hold:

$$\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}}(X_I)(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = 0, \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \setminus \{(0, 0)\}.$$

This yields $\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}}(X - f)(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = -\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}} f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \text{Int}(A_I)$.

We have $-\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}} f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ can be extended to a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on A_I . This yields f_I is $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ in the sense of Whitney on A_I . In fact, their q -Gevrey types coincide.

From this, we deduce that $\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}}(X - f)$ is a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on A_I for every $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and also that $\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}} f_I(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \partial_{\bar{\epsilon}} f_{I'}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ for every $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \text{Int}(A_I \cap A_{I'})$ and every $I, I' \in \mathcal{I}$ due to $g_{I, I'}(\epsilon)$ is a holomorphic function on $U_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap U_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}$. The previous equality is also true for $(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in A_I \cap A_{I'}$ from the fact that f_I is $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ in the sense of Whitney on A_I .

From Theorem 6 and Corollary 2 we derive $\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}}(X - f)$ is a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on $\cup_{I \in \mathcal{I}} A_I$.

Taking into account Lemma 12 we derive the existence of a \mathcal{C}^∞ function $u(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ in the usual sense, defined in $\text{Int}(W)$ and verifying q -Gevrey bounds of a certain positive type, such that

$$\partial_{\bar{\epsilon}} u(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \partial_{\bar{\epsilon}}(X - f)(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \text{Int}(W).$$

From this last expression we have $u(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) - (X - f)(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ defines a holomorphic function on $\text{Int}(W) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

For every $I \in \mathcal{I}$, X_I is a bounded $\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho}$ -function in $\text{Int}(W) \setminus \{(0,0)\}$, and so it is the function $u(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) - (X - f)(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$. The origin turns out to be a removable singularity so the function $u(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) - (X - f)(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ can be extended to a holomorphic function defined on $\text{Int}(W)$. The result follows from here. \square

We are under conditions to enunciate the main result in the present work.

Theorem 7 *Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 3, there exists a formal power series*

$$\hat{X}(\epsilon, t, z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{X_k(t, z)}{k!} \epsilon^k \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho}[[\epsilon]],$$

formal solution of

$$(58) \quad \epsilon t \partial_z^S \hat{X}(\epsilon, qt, z) + \partial_z^S \hat{X}(\epsilon, t, z) = \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} b_k(\epsilon, z) (t\sigma_q)^{m_{0,k}} (\partial_z^k \hat{X})(\epsilon, t, zq^{-m_{1,k}}).$$

Moreover, let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and \tilde{K}_I any compact subset of $\text{Int}(K_I)$. There exists $B > 0$ such that the function $X_I(\epsilon, t, z)$ constructed in Theorem 3 admits $\hat{X}(\epsilon, t, z)$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type B in $\tilde{K}_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

Proof Let $I \in \mathcal{I}$ and \tilde{K}_I any compact subset of $\text{Int}(K_I)$.

From Proposition 7 we can extend $X_I(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)$ to a $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney on $\tilde{A}_I = \{(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in \tilde{K}_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\}\} \subseteq \text{Int}(A_I) \cup \{(0,0)\}$. Let us fix $I \in \mathcal{I}$. We consider the family $(X^{(h_1, h_2)}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2))_{(h_1, h_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2}$ associated to X_I by Definition 9. We have

$$X_I^{(h_1, h_2)}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \partial_{\epsilon_1}^{h_1} \partial_{\epsilon_2}^{h_2} X_I(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) = i^{h_2} \partial_\epsilon^{h_1 + h_2} X_I(\epsilon), \quad (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in \tilde{A}_I \setminus \{(0,0)\},$$

due to $X_I(\epsilon)$ is holomorphic on $\text{Int}(K_I)q^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

We have $X_I^{(h_1, h_2)}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2)$ is continuous at $(0,0)$ for every $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ so we can define for every $k \geq 0$

$$(59) \quad X_{k,I} := \frac{X_I^{(h_1, h_2)}(0,0)}{i^{h_2}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho},$$

whenever $h_1 + h_2 = k$. Estimates held by any $w_0 - \mathcal{C}^\infty$ function in the sense of Whitney (see Definition 9 for $\alpha = (0,0)$) lead us to the existence of positive constants $C_1, H, B > 0$ such that

$$\left\| X_I(\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2) - \sum_{p=0}^m \frac{X_{p,I}}{p!} (\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2)^p \right\|_{\mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho}} \leq C_1 H^m |q|^{B \frac{m^2}{2}} \frac{|\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2|^{m+1}}{(m+1)!},$$

for every $m \geq 0$ and $\epsilon_1 + i\epsilon_2 \in \tilde{K}_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$. As a matter of fact, this shows that X_I admits $\hat{X}_I(\epsilon) = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{X_{k,I}}{k!} \epsilon^k$ as its q -Gevrey expansion of type $B > 0$ in $\tilde{K}_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$.

The formal power series \hat{X}_I does not depend on $I \in \mathcal{I}$. Indeed, from Theorem 3 we have that $X_I(\epsilon) - X_{I'}(\epsilon)$ admits both $\hat{0}$ and $\hat{X}_{I'} - \hat{X}_I$ as q -asymptotic expansion on $\tilde{K}_I q^{-\mathbb{N}} \cap \tilde{K}_{I'} q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ whenever this intersection is not empty. We put $\hat{X} := \hat{X}_I$ for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$. The function $X_{k,I} = X_{k,I}(t, z) \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathcal{T},\rho}$ does not depend on I for every $k \geq 0$. We write $X_k := X_{k,I}$ for $k \geq 0$. X_I admits $\hat{X} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{X_k}{k!} \epsilon^k$ as its q -Gevrey asymptotic expansion of type $B > 0$ in $\tilde{K}_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$ for all $I \in \mathcal{I}$.

In order to achieve the result, it only remains to prove that $\hat{X}(\epsilon, t, z)$ is a formal solution of (58). Let $l \geq 1$. If we derive l times with respect to ϵ in equation (58) we get that $\partial_\epsilon^l X_I(\epsilon, t, z)$ is a solution of

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} & \epsilon t \partial_z^S \partial_\epsilon^l X_I(\epsilon, qt, z) + t \partial_z^S l \partial_\epsilon^{l-1} X_I(\epsilon, qt, z) + \partial_z^S \partial_\epsilon^l X_I(\epsilon, t, z) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \sum_{l_1+l_2=l} \frac{l!}{l_1! l_2!} \partial_\epsilon^{l_1} b_k(\epsilon, z) \partial_\epsilon^{l_2} ((t\sigma_q)^{m_{0,k}}) \partial_z^k X_I(\epsilon, t, zq^{-m_{1,k}}). \end{aligned}$$

for every $l \geq 1$, $(t, z) \in \mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho)$ and $\epsilon \in \tilde{K}_I q^{-\mathbb{N}}$. Letting ϵ tend to 0 in (60) we obtain

$$(61) \quad t \partial_z^S \frac{X_{l-1}(qt, z)}{(l-1)!} + \partial_z^S \frac{X_l(t, z)}{l!} = \sum_{k=0}^{S-1} \sum_{l_1+l_2=l} \frac{\partial_\epsilon^{l_1} b_k(\epsilon, z)|_{\epsilon=0}}{l_1!} \frac{((t\sigma_q)^{m_{0,k}} \partial_z^k X_{l_2})(t, zq^{-m_{1,k}})}{l_2!}$$

for every $l \geq 1$, $(t, z) \in \mathcal{T} \times D(0, \rho)$. Holomorphy of $b_k(\epsilon, z)$ with respect to ϵ at 0 implies

$$(62) \quad b_k(\epsilon, z) = \sum_{l \geq 0} \frac{\partial_\epsilon^l b_k(\epsilon, z)|_{\epsilon=0}}{l!} \epsilon^l,$$

for ϵ near 0 and for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Statements (60) and (61) conclude $\hat{X}(\epsilon, t, z) = \sum_{k \geq 0} X_k(t, z) \frac{\epsilon^k}{k!}$ is a formal solution of (58). \square

References

- [1] W. Balsler, Formal power series and linear systems of meromorphic ordinary differential equations, Springer, Berlin, 2000.
- [2] J. Bonet, R. W. Braun, R. Meise, B. A. Taylor, *Whitney's extension theorem for nonquasi-analytic classes of ultradifferentiable functions*, Stud. Math. 99 (2) (1991), 155–184.
- [3] M. Canalis-Durand, J. Mozo-Fernandez, R. Schäfke, *Monomial summability and doubly singular differential equations*, J. Differential Equations 233 (2007), no. 2, 485–511.
- [4] J. Chaumat, A.-M. Chollet, *Surjectivité de l'application restriction à un compact dans des classes de fonctions ultradifférentiables*, Math. Ann. 298 (1994), 7-40.
- [5] O. Costin, Asymptotics and Borel summability, Chapman Hall/CRC Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 141. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2009.
- [6] L. Di Vizio, J.-P. Ramis, J. Sauloy, C. Zhang, *Équations aux q -différences*. Gaz. Math. No. 96 (2003), 20–49.
- [7] L. Di Vizio, C. Zhang, *On q -summation and confluence*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 59 (2009), no. 1, 347–392.
- [8] J.-M. Kantor, *Classes non-quasi analytiques et décomposition des supports des ultradistributions*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. 44 (1972), 171-180.
- [9] A. Lastra, S. Malek, J. Sanz, *On q -asymptotics for q -difference-differential equations with Fuchsian and irregular singularities*, preprint (2011).

- [10] A. Lastra, J. Sanz, *Extension operators in Carleman ultraholomorphic classes*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 372, no. 1 (2010), 287-305.
- [11] S. Malek, *Singularly perturbed q -difference-differential equations with irregular singularity*, J. Dynam. Control. Syst. 17 (2011), no. 2.
- [12] S. Malek, *On complex singularity analysis for linear q -difference-differential equations*, J. Dynam. Control. Syst. 15 (2009), no. 1, 83-98.
- [13] S. Malek, *On the summability of formal solutions for nonlinear doubly singular partial differential equations*, preprint.
- [14] S. Malek, *On functional linear partial differential equations in Gevrey spaces of holomorphic functions*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) 16 (2007), no. 2, 285-302.
- [15] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics. Vol. 3). London: Oxford University Press, 106 p., 1966.
- [16] B. Malgrange, *Travaux d'Écalle et de Martinet-Ramis sur les systèmes dynamiques*, Séminaire N. Bourbaki, 1981-1982, exp. no. 582, p. 59-73.
- [17] R. Narasimhan, Y. Nievergelt, *Complex analysis in one variable*. Second edition. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.
- [18] J-P. Ramis, J. Sauloy, C. Zhang, *Local analytic classification of q -difference equations*, preprint ArXiv, 2009.
- [19] J. Sanz, *Linear continuous extension operators for Gevrey classes on polysectors*. Glasg. Math. J. 45 no. 2 (2003), 199-216.
- [20] V. Thilliez, *Division by flat ultradifferentiable functions and sectorial extensions*, Result. Math. 44 (2003), 169-188.
- [21] C. Zhang, *Transformations de q -Borel-Laplace au moyen de la fonction thêta de Jacobi*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 331 (2000), no. 1, 31-34.