EIGENFUNCTIONS AT THE THRESHOLD ENERGIES OF MAGNETIC DIRAC OPERATORS

Yoshimi Sait $\bar{\mathrm{o}}^{\dagger}$ and Tomio Umeda[‡]

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama at Birmingham Birmingham, AL 35294, USA

[‡]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Hyogo Himeji 671-2201, Japan

> [†]saito@math.uab.edu [‡]umeda@sci.u-hyoqo.ac.jp

We propose a simple proof of characterization of the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm m$ of a supersymmetric Dirac operator $H = Q + m\tau$, where Q is a supercharge, m a positive constant, and τ the unitary involution. The proof is abstract, but not relevant to the abstract Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation. We then apply the obtained results to magnetic Dirac operators, and derive a series of new results on the magnetic Dirac operators, such as the asymptotic behaviors at infinity of the $\pm m$ modes, and sparseness of vector potentials which give rise to the $\pm m$ modes.

Keywords: Dirac operators, magnetic potentials, threshold energies, zero modes, supersymmetric Dirac operators.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: 35Q40, 35P99, 81Q10

1. INTRODUCTION

The introduction is devoted to exhibiting our results as well as to reviewing previous contributions in connection with the results in the present paper.

This paper is concerned with eigenfunctions at the threshold energies of Dirac operators with vector potentials

$$H_A = \alpha \cdot (D - A(x)) + m\beta, \quad D = \frac{1}{i} \nabla_x, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$
(1.1)

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ Supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) No. 20160319, Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Here $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is the triple of 4×4 Dirac matrices

$$\alpha_j = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \sigma_j \\ \sigma_j & \mathbf{0} \end{pmatrix} \qquad (j = 1, 2, 3)$$

with the 2×2 zero matrix **0** and the triple of 2×2 Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} I_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & -I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The constant m is assumed to be positive.

Throughout the present paper we assume that each component of the vector potential $A(x) = (A_1(x), A_2(x), A_3(x))$ is a real-valued measurable function. In addition to this, we shall later impose three different sets of assumptions on A(x)under which the operator $-\alpha \cdot A(x)$ is relatively compact with respect to the free Dirac operator $H_0 = \alpha \cdot D + m\beta$. Therefore, under any set of assumptions to be made, the essential spectrum of the Dirac operator H_A is given by the union of the intervals $(-\infty, -m]$ and $[m, +\infty)$:

$$\sigma_{\rm ess}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty). \tag{1.2}$$

Moreover, we shall see in sections 3-6 that the discrete spectrum of H_A in the gap (-m, m) is empty, although we should like to mention that this fact is well-known (cf. Thaller [27]). In other words, there are no isolated eigenvalues with finite multiplicity in the spectral gap (-m, m). This fact will be obtained as a by-product of Theorem 2.3 in section 2, where we shall deal with an abstract Dirac operator, *i.e.*, a supersymmetric Dirac operator. We thus have

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\rm ess}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$$

under each set of the assumptions on A(x) in the present paper.

In relation with the relative compactness of $-\alpha \cdot A(x)$ with respect to H_0 , it is worthwhile to mention a work by Thaller [27], where he showed that (1.2) is true under the assumption that $|B(x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Here B(x) denotes the magnetic field: $B(x) = \nabla \times A(x)$. It is clear that the assumption that $|B(x)| \to 0$ does not necessarily imply the relative compactness of $-\alpha \cdot A(x)$ with respect to H_0 . In Helffer, Nourrigat and Wang [15], they showed that (1.2) is true under much weaker assumptions on B(x), which do not even need the requirement that $|B(x)| \to 0$ as $|x| \to \infty$; see also [28, §7.3.2].

It is generally expected that eigenfunctions corresponding to a discrete eigenvalue of H_A decay exponentially at infinity (describing bound states), and that (generalized) eigenfunctions corresponding to an energy inside the continuous spectrum

 $(-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$ behaves like a plane wave at infinity (describing scattering states). As for the exponential decay of eigenfunctions, we should like to mention works by Helffer and Parisse [16], Wang [29], and a recent work by Yafaev [30]. As for the generalized eigenfunctions, we refer the reader to works by Pickl [19] and Yamada [32].

It is a common practice in the mathematical treatments of quantum scattering theory that the edge(s) of the essential spectrum of a quantum Hamiltonian is excluded. On the other hand, these values of Dirac operators are of particular importance and of interest from the physics point of view. See Pickl and Dürr [20] and Pickl [19], where they investigate generalized eigenfunctions near the edges $\pm m$ of the essential spectrum of H_A with the emphasis on the famous relativistic effect of pair creation.

The motivation of the present paper is the following question: What is the asymptotic behavior at infinity of eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue sitting at one of the edges of the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A)$?

Following the idea of Lieb [17], we introduce the terminology of $\pm m$ modes.

Definition 1.1. By the threshold energies of H_A , we mean the values $\pm m$, the edges of the essential spectrum $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$. By an m mode (resp. a -m mode), we mean any eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue m (resp. -m) of H_A , provided that the threshold energy m (resp. -m) is an eigenvalue of H_A .

The aim of the present paper is to derive a series of new results on $\pm m$ modes of the magnetic Dirac operators H_A . Precisely speaking, we shall establish asymptotic behaviors at infinity of the $\pm m$ modes, and show sparseness of vector potentials which give rise to the $\pm m$ modes.

To this end we first consider a class of supersymmetric Dirac operators (a class of abstract Dirac operators; see (1.3) below) and provide a new and simple idea to investigate the eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm m$. The eigenspaces corresponding to the eigenvalues $\pm m$ of supersymmetric Dirac operators have not been explicitly formulated in the literature as in the form of Corollary 2.1 to Theorems 2.1, 2.2 in section 2. However, we should like to emphasize that Theorems 2.1, 2.2 are simply abstract restatements of Thaller [28, p. 195, Theorem 7.1], where he dealt with the magnetic Dirac operators under the assumption that $A_j \in C^{\infty}$. The reason we need to restate [28, Theorem 7.1] in an abstract setting is that we deal with the magnetic Dirac operators under three different sets of assumptions on the vector potentials A, in all of which no smoothness assumption on A is made, and one of which even allows A to have local singularities. In addition, we shall give a sufficient condition on the matrix component of the supercharge such that the spectrum of the supersymmetric Dirac operator is given by the union of the intervals

 $(-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$. In relation with our motivation mentioned above, it is worth to note that the phenomenon, to be illustrated in the applications of our abstract results to the magnetic Dirac operators H_A in sections 3 - 6, seems to appear only when $\pm m$ sit at the edges of the essential spectrum of H_A , namely, they are the threshold eigenvalues of H_A .

Explanations should be in order. The supersymmetric Dirac operator H which we shall consider in the present paper is defined as follows:

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{on } \mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-, \tag{1.3}$$

where T is a densely defined operator from a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_+ to another Hilbert \mathcal{H}_- , and the identity operators in \mathcal{H}_+ and \mathcal{H}_- are both denoted by I with an abuse of notation. We should like to mention that T does not need to be a closed operator, and that T^* does not need to be densely defined; see Theorems 2.1, 2.2 and Corollary 2.1 in section 2. The reason for this is that we focus only on the eigenvalues $\pm m$ and the corresponding eigenspaces in Theorems 2.1, 2.2. With the same reason we do not need the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation, which is a major tool in the standard theory of the supersymmetric Dirac operator. With these respects, our approach is different from the standard theory of the supersymmetric Dirac operator. Dirac operator.

By the supercharge Q and the involution τ , we mean the first and the second term, respectively, on the right hand side of (1.3):

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (1.4)

Note that the inner product of the Hilbert space \mathcal{K} is defined as follows:

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{K}} := (\varphi^+, \psi^+)_{\mathcal{H}_+} + (\varphi^-, \psi^-)_{\mathcal{H}_-}$$
 (1.5)

for

$$f = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} \psi^+ \\ \psi^- \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{K}.$$
 (1.6)

With the notation above, we can exhibit a precise assertion of Corollary 2.1: $\operatorname{Ker}(H - m)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Ker}(H + m)$), *i.e.* the eigenspace of H corresponding to the eigenvalue m (resp. -m), is given as the direct sum of $\operatorname{Ker}(T)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Ker}(T^*)$) and the zero space $\{0\}$.

It is straightforward from Corollary 2.1 that $\operatorname{Ker}(H - m)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Ker}(H + m)$) is linearly isomorphic to $\operatorname{Ker}(T)$ (resp. $\operatorname{Ker}(T^*)$). In particular, it follows that $\operatorname{Ker}(H \pm m)$ are independent of m.

In connection to our applications to the magnetic Dirac operator H_A , it is important to consider the case where the Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_+ coincides with \mathcal{H}_- and T is

self-adjoint $(T^* = T)$. In this case, we are able to show that a sufficient condition for the fact

$$\sigma(H) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty) \tag{1.7}$$

is expressed in terms of the spectrum of T as follows: $\sigma(T) \supset (0, \infty)$; see Theorem 2.3 in section 2. Thus under this condition, $\pm m$ are always threshold energies of the supersymmetric Dirac operator H. We shall provide a simple proof of (1.7) by using the spectral measure associated with T, and do not exploit the abstract Fouldy-Wouthuysen transformation (see the proof of Theorem 2.3 in section 2).

As for the abstract Fouldy-Wouthuysen transformation in a general setting, we refer the reader to Thaller [28, Chapter 5, §5.6]. Here we briefly mention of the abstract Fouldy-Wouthuysen transformation. Namely, it transforms the supersymmetric Dirac operator H of the form (1.3) with $T = T^*$ into the diagonal form:

$$U_{FW} H U_{FW}^* = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{T^2 + m^2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{T^2 + m^2} \end{pmatrix},$$

where U_{FW} is the abstract Fouldy-Wouthuysen transformation, which is a unitary operator in \mathcal{K} . It is possible to prove (1.7) based on this unitary equivalence.

As was mentioned above, the investigations of properties of $\pm m$ modes of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A are reduced to the investigations of the corresponding properties of zero modes (eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalue zero) of the Weyl-Dirac operator

$$T_A = \sigma \cdot (D - A(x))$$

in any one of the three sets of assumptions on A, which will be made in the later sections.

We have to emphasize the broad applicability of the supersymmetric Dirac operator. Namely, thanks to the generality of the supersymmetric Dirac operator considered in the present paper, we are able to utilize most of the existing works on the zero modes of the Weyl-Dirac operator T_A (cf. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18]) for the purpose of investigating $\pm m$ modes of the Dirac operator H_A .

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we shall deal with a supersymmetric Dirac operator. In section 3, examples of vector potentials A(x) which yield $\pm m$ modes of magnetic Dirac operators H_A are given. These examples, due to Loss and Yau [18], and to Adami, Muratori and Nash [1], were originally given as the exapmles of vector potentials which give rise to zero modes of the Weyl-Dirac operators. In section 4 we utilize a result by Saitō and Umeda [25] to investigate asymptotic behaviors at infinity of $\pm m$ modes. Sparseness of the set of vector potentials A(x) which yield $\pm m$ modes of H_A will be discussed in section 5 where we make use of a work by Balinsky and Evans [5], and also discussed in section 6 where we appeal to results by Elton [10]. Accordingly, we shall get deep and multifaceted understandings of $\pm m$ modes of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A defined by (1.1).

Finally in section 7 we shall compare the three sets of assumptions on the vector potentials A(x) posed in the present paper.

2. Supersymmetric Dirac operators

This section is devoted to a discussion about spectral properties of a class of supersymmetric Dirac operators. We should like to emphasize that our approach appears to be in the reverse direction in the sense that we start with two Hilbert spaces, and introduce a supersymmetric Dirac operator on the direct sum of the two Hilbert spaces. We find this approach convenient for our purpose.

Let \mathcal{H}_{\pm} be Hilbert spaces, and let $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H}_{+} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{-}$. Note that the inner product of \mathcal{K} is defined as in (1.5), (1.6). The main object in this section is a supersymmetric Dirac operator H in \mathcal{K} :

$$H := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.1)

with domain $\mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(T) \oplus \mathcal{D}(T^*)$, where T is a densely defined operator from \mathcal{H}_+ to \mathcal{H}_- , m is a positive constant, and the identity operators in \mathcal{H}_+ and \mathcal{H}_- are both denoted by I.

We now state the main results in this section, which reveal the nature of eigenvectors of the supersymmetric Dirac operator (2.1) at the eigenvalues $\pm m$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that T is a densely defined operator from \mathcal{H}_+ to \mathcal{H}_- . Let H be a supersymmetric Dirac operator defined by (2.1).

- (i) If $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, \varphi^-) \in \operatorname{Ker}(H m)$, then $\varphi^+ \in \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ and $\varphi^- = 0$.
- (ii) Conversely, if $\varphi^+ \in \text{Ker}(T)$, then $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, 0) \in \text{Ker}(H m)$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that T and H are the same as in Theorem 2.1.

- (i) If $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, \varphi^-) \in \operatorname{Ker}(H+m)$, then $\varphi^+ = 0$ and $\varphi^- \in \operatorname{Ker}(T^*)$.
- (ii) Conversely, if $\varphi^- \in \operatorname{Ker}(T^*)$, then $f = {}^t(0, \varphi^-) \in \operatorname{Ker}(H + m)$.

As immediately consequences, we have

Corollary 2.1. Assume that T and H are the same as in Theorem 2.1. Then

- (i) $\operatorname{Ker}(H-m) = \operatorname{Ker}(T) \oplus \{0\}, \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(H-m)) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T)).$
- (ii) $\operatorname{Ker}(H+m) = \{0\} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(T^*), \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(H+m)) = \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(T^*)).$

We should like to emphasize that comparison between Corollary 2.1 above and [28, p. 144, (5.23)] (i.e. $\operatorname{Ker}(Q) = \operatorname{Ker}(T) \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(T^*)$) indicates the importance of

the presence of the involution

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.2)

Also, we should like to emphasize that Corollary 2.1 has a significant implication: the eigenspaces of H corresponding to the eigenvalue $\mp m$ are independent of m.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. We first prove assertion (i). Let $f = {}^t(\varphi^+, \varphi^-) \in \text{Ker}(H - m)$. We then have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T^* \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi_- \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi_- \end{pmatrix} = m \begin{pmatrix} \varphi^+ \\ \varphi_- \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

hence

$$\begin{cases} T^*\varphi^- + m\varphi^+ &= m\varphi^+ \\ T\varphi^+ - m\varphi^- &= m\varphi^-, \end{cases}$$
(2.4)

which immediately implies that $T^*\varphi^- = 0$ and $T\varphi^+ = 2m\varphi^-$. It follows that

$$|T\varphi^{+}||_{\mathcal{H}_{-}}^{2} = (T\varphi^{+}, T\varphi^{+})_{\mathcal{H}_{-}}$$

$$= (T\varphi^{+}, 2m\varphi^{-})_{\mathcal{H}_{-}}$$

$$= (\varphi^{+}, 2mT^{*}\varphi^{-})_{\mathcal{H}_{+}}$$

$$= 0.$$

(2.5)

Thus we see that $\varphi^+ \in \operatorname{Ker}(T)$, and that $\varphi^- = (2m)^{-1} T \varphi^+ = 0$.

We next prove assertion (ii). Let $\varphi^+ \in \text{Ker}(T)$ and put $f := {}^t(\varphi^+, 0)$. Then it follows that $Hf = {}^t(m\varphi^+, T\varphi^+) = m{}^t(\varphi^+, 0) = mf$.

We omit the proof of Theorem 2.2, which is quite similar to that of Theorem 2.1.

In the rest of this paper, we only deal with the case where $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{H}_- := \mathcal{H}$ and T is a self-adjoint operator in \mathcal{H} . In this case, the supersymmetric operator H becomes of the form

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.6)

in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, and it follows from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that the operator H of the form (2.6) possesses of an important equivalence:

$$T \text{ has a zero mode} \iff m \text{ is an eigenvalue of } H$$

$$\iff -m \text{ is an eigenvalue of } H.$$
(2.7)

which is actually a well-known fact: see Thaller [28, p. 155, Corollary 5.14]. Here we say that T has a *zero mode* if T has an eigenvector corresponding to the eigenvalue 0. In other words, the fact that T has a zero mode is equivalent to the fact that

0 is an eigenvalue of T. Furthermore, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 imply the following equivalence for a zero mode φ of T:

$$T\varphi = 0 \iff H\begin{pmatrix}\varphi\\0\end{pmatrix} = m\begin{pmatrix}\varphi\\0\end{pmatrix} \iff H\begin{pmatrix}0\\\varphi\end{pmatrix} = -m\begin{pmatrix}0\\\varphi\end{pmatrix}$$
 (2.8)

The equivalences (2.7) and (2.8) are particularly interesting in the context of the following theorem, where $\pm m$ are the thresholds.

Theorem 2.3. Let T be a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Suppose that $\sigma(T) \supset [0, +\infty)$. Then

$$\sigma(H) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty).$$

In particular, $\sigma_d(H) = \emptyset$, i.e., the set of discrete eigenvalues of H with finite multiplicity is empty.

Proof. It follows from (2.6) that $\mathcal{D}(H^2) = \mathcal{D}(T^2) \oplus \mathcal{D}(T^2)$ and that

$$H^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} T^{2} + m^{2}I & 0\\ 0 & T^{2} + m^{2}I \end{pmatrix} \ge m^{2} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0\\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.9)

This inequality implies that $\sigma(H) \subset (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$.

To complete the proof, we shall prove the fact that $\sigma(H) \supset (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$. To this end, suppose $\lambda_0 \in (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty)$ be given. Since $\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - m^2} \ge 0$, we see, by the assumption of the theorem, that $\sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - m^2} \in \sigma(T)$. Therefore, we can find a sequence $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\|\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} = 1, \ \psi_n \in \operatorname{Ran}\left(E_T(\nu_0 - \frac{1}{n}, \nu_0 + \frac{1}{n})\right), \ \nu_0 := \sqrt{\lambda_0^2 - m^2}$$
(2.10)

for each n, where $E_T(\cdot)$ is the spectral measure associated with T:

$$T = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda \, dE_T(\lambda). \tag{2.11}$$

Here we have used a basic property of the spectral measure: see, for example, Reed and Simon [23, p. 236, Proposition]. It is straightforward to see that

$$\|(T - \nu_0)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
(2.12)

We shall construct a sequence $\{f_n\} \subset \mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{D}(T) \oplus \mathcal{D}(T)$ satisfying $||f_n||_{\mathcal{K}} = 1$ and $||(H - \lambda_0)f_n||_{\mathcal{K}} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. To this end, we choose a pair of real numbers a and b so that

$$a^2 + b^2 = 1 \tag{2.13}$$

and that

$$\begin{pmatrix} m & \nu_0 \\ \nu_0 & -m \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_0 \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.14)

This is possible because the 2×2 symmetric matrix in (2.14) has eigenvalues $\pm \lambda_0$. We now put

$$f_n := \begin{pmatrix} a\psi_n \\ b\psi_n \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (2.15)

It is easy to see that $||f_n||_{\mathcal{K}} = 1$. By using (2.13) and (2.14), we can show that

$$\|(H - \lambda_0)f_n\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 = \|(m - \lambda_0)a\psi_n + bT\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|aT\psi_n - (m + \lambda_0)b\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|b(-\nu_0 + T)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|a(T - \nu_0)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|(T - \nu_0)\psi_n\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

We thus have shown that $\lambda_0 \in \sigma(H)$.

In all the later sections, we shall apply the obtained results on the supersymmetric Dirac operator to the magnetic Dirac operator H_A of the form (1.1) in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$, where we take T to be the Weyl-Dirac operator

$$T_A = \sigma \cdot (D - A(x)) \tag{2.16}$$

acting in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \left[L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) \right]^2$.

3. Vector potentials yielding $\pm m$ modes

This section is devoted to examples of vector potentials A(x) which yield $\pm m$ modes of Dirac operators $H_A = \alpha \cdot (D - A(x)) + m\beta$. The basic idea in this section is to exploit the equivalences (2.7) and (2.8). Thus we shall apply the results in section 2 in the way described in the last paragraph of section 2. It turns out that beautiful spectral properties are in common to all the examples of Dirac operators in this section. See properties (i) – (iii) of Example 3.1.

Example 3.1 (Loss-Yau). Let

$$A_{LY}(x) = 3\langle x \rangle^{-4} \{ (1 - |x|^2)w_0 + 2(w_0 \cdot x)x + 2w_0 \times x \}$$
(3.1)

where $\langle x \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |x|^2}$, $\phi_0 = {}^t(1, 0)$ (ϕ_0 can be any unit vector in \mathbb{C}^2), and

$$w_{0} = \phi_{0} \cdot (\sigma\phi_{0}) := \left((\phi_{0}, \sigma_{1}\phi_{0})_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}, (\phi_{0}, \sigma_{2}\phi_{0})_{\mathbb{C}^{2}}, (\phi_{0}, \sigma_{3}\phi_{0})_{\mathbb{C}^{2}} \right).$$
(3.2)

Here $w_0 \cdot x$ and $w_0 \times x$ denotes the inner product and the exterior product of \mathbb{R}^3 respectively, and $(\phi_0, \sigma_1 \phi_0)_{\mathbb{C}^2}$ etc. denotes the inner product of \mathbb{C}^2 . Then the Dirac operator

$$H_{LY} := H_{A_{LY}} = \alpha \cdot (D - A_{LY}(x)) + m\beta$$

has the following properties:

- (i) $\sigma(H_{LY}) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_{LY}) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty);$
- (ii) The point spectrum of $H_{\scriptscriptstyle LY}$ consists only of $\pm m$, i.e. $\sigma_p(H_{\scriptscriptstyle LY}) = \{-m, m\};$

(iii) H_{LY} is absolutely continuous on $(-\infty, -m) \cup (m, \infty)$.

We shall show these properties one-by-one. It is easy to see that $-\sigma \cdot A_{LY}(x)$ is relatively compact perturbation of $\sigma \cdot D$, hence the Weyl-Dirac operator

$$T_{\scriptscriptstyle LY} := T_{\!A_{\scriptscriptstyle LY}} = \sigma \cdot (D - A_{\scriptscriptstyle LY}(x))$$

is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with the domain $[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. By $H^s(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we mean the Sobolev space of order s:

$$H^{s}(\mathbb{R}^{3}) = \left\{ u \mid ||\langle D \rangle^{s} u||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} < +\infty \right\},$$

where $\langle D \rangle = \sqrt{1 - \Delta}$. Since the spectrum of the operator $\sigma \cdot D$ equals the whole real line, we see that $\sigma(T_{LY}) = \mathbb{R}$. Property (i) immediately follows from Theorem 2.3.

We shall show property (ii). According to Loss and Yau [18, section II], the Weyl-Dirac operator T_{LY} has a zero mode φ_{LY} defined by

$$\varphi_{LY}(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-3} (I_2 + i\sigma \cdot x) \phi_0. \tag{3.3}$$

It follows from (2.7) and (2.8) that ${}^t(\varphi_{LY}, 0)$ (resp. ${}^t(0, \varphi_{LY})$) is an eigenfunction of the Dirac operator H_{LY} corresponding to the threshold eigenvalue m (resp. -m). Hence $\sigma_p(H_{LY}) \supset \{-m, m\}$. On the other hand, it follows from Yamada [31] that H_{LY} has no eigenvalue in $(-\infty, -m) \cup (m, \infty)$. (Note that the vector potential A_{LY} satisfies the assumption of [31, Proposition 2.5].) This fact, together with property (i), implies that $\sigma_p(H_{LY}) \subset \{-m, m\}$. Summing up, we get property (ii).

Property (iii) is a direct consequence of Yamada [31, Corollary 4.2]. As for absolutely continuity and limiting absorption principle for Dirac operators, see also Yamada [32], Balslev and Helffer [8], and Pladdy, Saitō and Umeda [22].

Remark 3.1. Since A_{LY} is C^{∞} , one can apply Thaller [28, p. 195, Theorem 7.1] to conclude that ${}^{t}(\varphi_{LY}, 0)$ (resp. ${}^{t}(0, \varphi_{LY})$) is an eigenfunction of H_{LY} corresponding to the eigenvalue m (resp. -m). Actually, this fact was already mentioned in Thaller [27].

Example 3.2 (Adam-Muratori-Nash). In the same spirit as in Example 3.1, we can show the existence of countably infinite number of vector potentials with which the Dirac operators have the properties (i) - (iii) in Example 3.1.

In fact, we shall exploit a result on the Weyl-Dirac operator by Adam, Muratori and Nash [1], where they construct a series of vector potentials $A^{(\ell)}$ ($\ell = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$), each of which gives rise a zero mode $\psi^{(\ell)}$ of the Weyl-Dirac operator $T^{(\ell)} := \sigma \cdot (D - A^{(\ell)}(x))$. The idea of [1] is an extension of that of Loss and Yau [18, section II]; Indeed $A^{(0)}$ and $\psi^{(0)}$ give the same vector potential and zero mode as in (3.1)

10

and (3.3). For $\ell \geq 1$, the construction of the zero mode $\psi^{(\ell)}(x)$ is based on an anzatz (see (7) in section II of [1]) and the definition of $A^{(\ell)}$ is given by

$$A^{(\ell)}(x) = \frac{h^{(\ell)}(x)}{|\psi^{(\ell)}(x)|^2} \{ \psi^{(\ell)}(x) \cdot (\sigma \psi^{(\ell)}(x)) \},$$
(3.4)

where $h^{(\ell)}(x)$ is a real valued function and $\psi^{(\ell)}(x) \cdot (\sigma \psi^{(\ell)}(x))$ is defined in the same way as in (3.2). For $\ell = 1$, the zero mode is given by

$$\psi^{(1)}(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-5} \left\{ (1 - \frac{5}{3}|x|^2)I_2 + (\frac{5}{3} - |x|^2)i\sigma \cdot x \right\} \phi_0.$$
(3.5)

By the same arguments as in Example 3.1, we can deduce that the Dirac operator $H^{(\ell)} := \alpha \cdot (D - A^{(\ell)}(x)) + m\beta, \ \ell = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$, has the properties (i) – (iii) of Example 3.1.

4. Asymptotic limits of $\pm m$ modes

In the previous section, we have seen there exists infinitely many A's such that the corresponding magnetic Dirac operators H_A have the threshold eigenvalues $\pm m$. In this section, we consider a class of magnetic Dirac operators H_A under Assumption(SU) below, and will focus on the asymptotic behaviors at infinity of $\pm m$ modes of H_A .

Assumption(SU).

Each element $A_j(x)$ (j = 1, 2, 3) of A(x) is a measurable function satisfying

$$|A_j(x)| \le C \langle x \rangle^{-\rho} \quad (\rho > 1), \tag{4.1}$$

where C is a positive constant.

It is easy to see that under Assumption(SU) the Dirac operator H_A is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ with $\text{Dom}(H_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$. Also it is easy to see that under Assumption(SU) the Weyl-Dirac operator T_A is a self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ with $\text{Dom}(T_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Since the operator $-\sigma \cdot A(x)$ is relatively compact with respect to the operator $T_0 := \sigma \cdot D$, and since $\sigma(T_0) = \mathbb{R}$, it follows that $\sigma(T_A) = \mathbb{R}$. Recalling that

$$H_A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & T_A \\ T_A & 0 \end{pmatrix} + m \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & -I \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.2)$$

we apply Theorem 2.3 to H_A , and get

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, +\infty).$$

Hence $\pm m$ are the threshold energies of the operator H_A . Assuming that $\pm m$ are the eigenvalues of H_A , we should emphasize here that the eigenspaces corresponding

to the eigenvalues $\pm m$ of H_A are given as the direct sum of $\text{Ker}(T_A)$ and the zero space $\{0\}$ (cf. Corollary 2.1), and that these two eigenspaces themselves as well as their dimensions are independent of m.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose that Assumption(SU) is verified, and that m (resp. -m) is an eigenvalue of H_A . Let f be an m mode (resp. a - m modes) of H_A . Then there exists a zero mode φ^+ (resp. φ^-) of T_A such that for any $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2$

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} r^2 f(r\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} u^+(\omega) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \left(resp. \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u^-(\omega) \end{pmatrix} \right), \tag{4.3}$$

where

$$u^{\pm}(\omega) = \frac{i}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left\{ \left(\omega \cdot A(y) \right) I_2 + i\sigma \cdot \left(\omega \times A(y) \right) \right\} \varphi^{\pm}(y) \, dy, \tag{4.4}$$

and the convergence is uniform with respect to ω .

Theorem 4.1 is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.1, together with Saitō and Umeda [25, Theorem 1.2]. Note that under Assumption(SU) every eigenfunction of H_A corresponding to either one of eigenvalues $\pm m$ is a continuous function of x (cf. Saitō and Umeda [26, Theorem 2.1]), therefore the expression $f(r\omega)$ in (4.3) makes sense for each ω .

5. Sparseness of vector potentials yielding $\pm m$ modes

In this section, we shall discuss the sparseness of the set of vector potentials A which give rise to $\pm m$ modes of magnetic Dirac operators H_A , in the sprit of Balinsky and Evans [4] and [5], where they investigated Pauli operators and Weyl-Dirac operators respectively.

We shall make the following assumption:

Assumption(BE).

$A_j \in L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ for j = 1, 2, 3.

Under Assumption(BE) Balinsky and Evans [5, Lemma 2] showed that $-\sigma \cdot A$ is infinitesimally small with respect to $T_0 = \sigma \cdot D$ with $\text{Dom}(T_0) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ (see (5.5) below). This fact enables us to define the self-adjoint realization T_A in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ as the operator sum of T_0 and $-\sigma \cdot A$, thus $\text{Dom}(T_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. It turns out that under Assumption(BE) $-\alpha \cdot A$ is infinitesimally small with respect to $H_0 := \alpha \cdot D + m\beta$, and hence we can define the self-adjoint realization H_A in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ as the operator sum of H_0 and $-\alpha \cdot A$, thus $\text{Dom}(H_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$. Therefore we can regard H_A as a supersymmetric Dirac operator, and shall apply the results in section 2 to H_A . (Recall (4.2) again.)

Proposition 5.1. Let Assumption (BE) be satisfied. Then $\sigma(T_A) = \mathbb{R}$.

We shall prepare a few lemmas for the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Lemma 5.1. Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then $\langle D \rangle^{1/2} (T_0 - z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator in \mathcal{H} . Moreover we have

$$\operatorname{Ran}(\langle D \rangle^{1/2} (T_0 - z)^{-1}) \subset \left[H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3) \right]^2.$$
(5.1)

Proof. It is sufficient to show the conclusions of the lemma for z = -i. Let $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(T_0)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T_0+i)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left| \left((\sigma \cdot \xi) + iI_2 \right) \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \Big|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (|\xi|^2 + 1) \left| \widehat{\varphi}(\xi) \right|_{\mathbb{C}^2}^2 d\xi \\ &= \|\langle D \rangle \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}}^2, \end{aligned}$$
(5.2)

where we have used the anti-commutation relation $\sigma_j \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_j = 2\delta_{jk}I_2$ in the second equality. It follows from (5.2) that

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\langle D\rangle (T_0 + i)^{-1}\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}$$
(5.3)

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$. Furthermore, we see that

$$\|\langle D \rangle^{1/2} (T_0 + i)^{-1} \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \|\langle D \rangle^{1/2} (T_0 + i)^{-1} \varphi \|_{[H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2}$$

= $\|\langle D \rangle (T_0 + i)^{-1} \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}}$ (5.4)
= $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$

It is evident that (5.4) proves the conclusions of the lemma for z = -i.

Lemma 5.2. If $\varphi \in [H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, then $(\sigma \cdot A) \langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. By Balinsky and Evans [5, Lemma 2], we see that for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $k_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that for all $\varphi \in \text{Dom}(T_0)$

$$\|(\sigma \cdot A)\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} \le \epsilon \|T_0\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} + k_{\epsilon}\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
(5.5)

By virtue of the fact that $\langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi \in \text{Dom}(T_0)$ for $\varphi \in [H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, it follows from (5.5) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\sigma \cdot A) \langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} &\leq \epsilon \|(T_0 + i) \langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} + k_{\epsilon} \| \langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} \\ &\leq \epsilon \| \langle D \rangle^{1/2} \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} + k_{\epsilon} \| \varphi \|_{\mathcal{H}} < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5.2) and the fact that $\|\langle D \rangle^{1/2} \varphi\|_{\mathcal{H}} = \|\varphi\|_{[H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2}$.

Lemma 5.3. $\langle D \rangle^{-1} (\sigma \cdot A) \langle D \rangle^{-1/2}$ is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} .

Proof. One can make a factorization

$$\langle D \rangle^{-1} (\sigma \cdot A) \langle D \rangle^{-1/2}$$

$$= \left(\frac{|D|^{1/2}}{\langle D \rangle}\right) \left(\frac{1}{|D|^{1/2}} (\sigma \cdot A) \frac{1}{|D|^{1/2}}\right) \left(\frac{|D|^{1/2}}{\langle D \rangle^{1/2}}\right).$$

$$(5.6)$$

It is obvious that the first term and the last term on the right hand side of (5.6) are bounded operators in \mathcal{H} . Then it follows from (5.6) and Balinsky and Evans [5, Lemma 1] that the conclusion of the lemma holds true.

Lemma 5.4. Let $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$. Then $(T_A - z)^{-1} \langle D \rangle \Big|_{[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2}$ can be extended to a bounded operator $\widetilde{R}_A(z)$ in \mathcal{H} . Moreover

$$(T_A - z)^{-1}\varphi = \widetilde{R}_A(z)\langle D \rangle^{-1}\varphi \quad \text{for } \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{H}.$$
(5.7)

Proof. We first show that $\langle D \rangle (T-z)^{-1}$ is a closed operator in \mathcal{H} . To this end, suppose that $\{\varphi_j\}$ is a sequence in \mathcal{H} such that $\varphi_j \to 0$ in \mathcal{H} and $\langle D \rangle (T-z)^{-1} \varphi_j \to \psi$ in \mathcal{H} as $j \to \infty$. Then $\{(T-z)^{-1}\varphi_j\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, hence there exists a $\tilde{\psi} \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ such that

$$(T-z)^{-1}\varphi_j \to \widetilde{\psi} \text{ in } [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2 \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$
 (5.8)

Since the topology of $[H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ is stronger than that of \mathcal{H} , (5.8) implies that

$$(T-z)^{-1}\varphi_j \to \widetilde{\psi} \text{ in } \mathcal{H} \text{ as } j \to \infty.$$
 (5.9)

On the other hand, since $\varphi_j \to 0$ in \mathcal{H} , and since $(T-z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator in \mathcal{H} , we see that

$$(T-z)^{-1}\varphi_j \to 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{H}$$
 (5.10)

as $j \to \infty$. Combining (5.9) and (5.10), we see that $\tilde{\psi} = 0$. This fact, together with (5.8), $\langle D \rangle (T-z)^{-1} \varphi_j \to 0$ in \mathcal{H} as $j \to \infty$. Hence $\psi = 0$. We have thus shown that $\langle D \rangle (T-z)^{-1}$ is a close operator. Noting that $\text{Dom}(\langle D \rangle (T-z)^{-1}) = \mathcal{H}$, we can conclude from the Banach closed graph theorem that $\langle D \rangle (T-z)^{-1}$ is a bounded operator in \mathcal{H} , which will be denoted by $Q_A(z)$.

We now put $\widetilde{R}_A(z) := Q_A(\overline{z})^*$, where $Q_A(\overline{z})^*$ denotes the adjoint operator of $Q_A(\overline{z})$. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $\psi \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$, we have

$$(\varphi, R_A(z)\psi)_{\mathcal{H}} = (Q_A(\overline{z})\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}}$$
$$= (\langle D \rangle (T - \overline{z})^{-1}\varphi, \psi)_{\mathcal{H}}$$
$$= (\varphi, (T - z)^{-1} \langle D \rangle \psi)_{\mathcal{H}}.$$
(5.11)

It follows from (5.11) that

$$\widetilde{R}_A(z)\psi = (T-z)^{-1} \langle D \rangle \psi$$
(5.12)

for all $\psi \in [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Replacing ψ in (5.12) with $\langle D \rangle^{-1} \varphi, \varphi \in \mathcal{H}$, we get (5.7). \Box

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Since $\sigma(T_0) = \sigma_{ess}(T_0) = \mathbb{R}$, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sigma_{\rm ess}(T_A) = \sigma_{\rm ess}(T_0). \tag{5.13}$$

To this end, we shall prove that the difference $(T_A + i)^{-1} - (T_0 + i)^{-1}$ is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} . Then, this fact implies (5.13); see Reed and Simon [24, p.113, Corollary 1].

We see that

$$(T_A + i)^{-1} - (T_0 + i)^{-1}$$

= $(T_A + i)^{-1} (\sigma \cdot A) (T_0 + i)^{-1}$
= $\widetilde{R}_A (-i) \{ \langle D \rangle^{-1} (\sigma \cdot A) \langle D \rangle^{-1/2} \} \{ \langle D \rangle^{1/2} (T_0 + i)^{-1} \},$ (5.14)

where we have used Lemma 5.4 in (5.14). It follows from Lemmas 5.1–5.4 that (5.14) makes sense as a product of three bounded operators in \mathcal{H} and that the product is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} .

Proposition 5.1, together with Theorem 2.3, gives the following result on the spectrum of the magnetic Dirac operator H_A .

Theorem 5.1. Let Assumption (BE) be satisfied. Then

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\rm ess}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty).$$

We now state the main results in this section, which are concerned with the eigenspaces corresponding to the threshold eigenvalues of magnetic Dirac operators H_A .

Theorem 5.2. Let Assumption (BE) be satisfied. Then

(i) $\operatorname{Ker}(H_A - m)$ is non-trivial if and only if $\operatorname{Ker}(H_A + m)$ is non-trivial; in other words,

$$\left\{ A \in \left[L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \right]^3 \mid \operatorname{Ker}(H_A - m) \neq \{0\} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ A \in \left[L^3(\mathbb{R}^3) \right]^3 \mid \operatorname{Ker}(H_A + m) \neq \{0\} \right\}.$$

(ii) There exists a constant c such that

$$\dim \left(\operatorname{Ker}(H_A - m) \right) = \dim \left(\operatorname{Ker}(H_A + m) \right) \le c \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |A(x)|^3 \, dx.$$
 (5.15)

Moreover, the dimension of $\text{Ker}(H_A \mp m)$ is independent of m.

(iii) The set $\{A \in [L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)]^3 \mid \text{Ker}(H_A \mp m) = \{0\}\}$ contains an open dense subset of $[L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)]^3$.

Proof. By Corollary 2.1, we see that

$$\operatorname{Ker}(T_A) \text{ is trivial} \iff \operatorname{Ker}(H_A - m) \text{ is trivial} \iff \operatorname{Ker}(H_A + m) \text{ is trivial.}$$
(5.16)

Assertion (i) is equivalent to (5.16). Assertion (ii) follows from Corollary 2.1 and Balinsky and Evans [5, Theorem 3]. Assertion (iii) follows from Corollary 2.1 and Balinsky and Evans [5, Theorem 2].

Remark 5.1. Assertions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 5.2 mean the following facts: The threshold energy m is an eigenvalue of H_A if and only if the threshold energy -m is an eigenvalue of H_A . If this is the case, their multiplicity are the same.

Remark 5.2. As for the best constant in (5.15), see Balinsky and Evans [5, Theorem 3].

6. The structure of the set of vector potentials yielding $\pm m$ modes

In this section, we shall discuss a property of non-locality of magnetic vector potentials as well as the sparseness of the set of vector potentials A which give rise to $\pm m$ modes of H_A in the sprit of Elton [10], where he investigated Weyl-Dirac operators. We make the following assumption:

Assumption(E).

Each A_j (j = 1, 2, 3) is a real-valued continuous function such that $A_j(x) = o(|x|^{-1})$ as $|x| \to \infty$.

It is straightforward to see that under Assumption(E), $-\sigma \cdot A$ is a bounded selfadjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$. Hence we can define the self-adjoint realization T_A with $\text{Dom}(T_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^2$ as the operator sum of T_0 and $-\sigma \cdot A$.

Also, it is straightforward to see that $-\alpha \cdot A$ is a bounded self-adjoint operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{K} = [L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$, hence we can define the self-adjoint realization H_A with $\text{Dom}(H_A) = [H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)]^4$ in \mathcal{K} as the operator sum of H_0 and $-\alpha \cdot A$. Therefore, in the same way as in section 5, we can regard H_A as a supersymmetric Dirac operator, and apply the results in section 2 to H_A .

We note that under Assumption(E) $(-\sigma \cdot A)(T_0 + i)^{-1}$ is a compact operator in \mathcal{H} . Hence in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 5.1 we can show that $\sigma(T_A) = \mathbb{R}$. This fact, together with Theorem 2.3, implies the following result.

Theorem 6.1. Let Assumption (E) be satisfied. Then

$$\sigma(H_A) = \sigma_{\text{ess}}(H_A) = (-\infty, -m] \cup [m, \infty).$$

To state the main results in this section, we need to introduce the following notation:

$$\mathcal{A} := \{ A \mid A \text{ satisfies Assumption}(E) \}.$$
(6.1)

We regard \mathcal{A} as a Banach space with the norm

$$||A||_{\mathcal{A}} = \sup_{x} \{ \langle x \rangle |A(x)| \}$$

Theorem 6.2. Let Assumption(E) be satisfied. Define

$$\mathcal{Z}_{k}^{\pm} = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} \, | \dim(\operatorname{Ker}(H \mp m)) = k \}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Then

(i) $\mathcal{Z}_k^+ = \mathcal{Z}_k^-$ for all k.

(ii) \mathcal{Z}_0^{\pm} is an open and dense subset of \mathcal{A} .

(iii) For any k and any open subset $\Omega(\neq \emptyset)$ of \mathbb{R}^3 there exists an $A \in \mathbb{Z}_k^{\pm}$ such that $A \in [C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)]^3$.

Proof. Assertion (i) is a direct consequence of Corollary 2.1. Assertions (ii) and (iii) follows from Corollary 2.1 and Elton [10, Theorem 1]. \Box

It is of some interest to point out a conclusion following from Theorem 4.1 and Assertion (iii) of Theorem 6.2. Namely, there are (at least) countably infinite number of vector potentials A with compact support such that the corresponding Dirac operators H_A have $\pm m$ modes f^{\pm} with the property (4.4). The $\pm m$ modes f^{\pm} behave like $|f^{\pm}(x)| \sim |x|^{-2}$ for $|x| \to \infty$, in spite of the fact that the vector potentials and the corresponding magnetic fields vanish outside bounded regions. It is obvious that this phenomenon describes a certain kind of non-locality.

7. A CONCLUDING REMARK

Section 4 is based upon our results on supersymmetric Dirac operators in section 2 of the present paper and those of Saitō and Umeda [26]. Section 5 is based upon our results on supersymmetric Dirac operators in section 2 and those of Balinsky and Evans [5]. Section 6 is based upon our results on supersymmetric Dirac operators and those of Elton [10]. The combinations of our abstract results and those existing works broaden the understandings of $\pm m$ modes (threshold eigenfunctions) of the magnetic Dirac operators.

In each section from section 4 to section 6, we have made a different assumption on the vector potential. It is important to compare these assumptions to each other. To this end, mimicking (6.1), we introduce the following notation

$$\mathcal{A}_{SU} := \{ A \mid A \text{ satisfies Assumption(SU)} \}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{BE} := \{ A \mid A \text{ satisfies Assumption(BE)} \}.$$

We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{SU} \subset \mathcal{A}_{BE}, & \mathcal{A}_{BE} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{SU} \neq \emptyset, \\ \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{SU} \neq \emptyset, & \mathcal{A}_{SU} \setminus \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset, \\ \mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{BE} \neq \emptyset, & \mathcal{A}_{BE} \setminus \mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

References

- C. Adam, B. Muratori and C. Nash, Zero modes of the Dirac operator in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 60 (1999), 125001-1 – 125001-8.
- [2] C. Adam, B. Muratori and C. Nash, Degeneracy of zero modes of the Dirac operator in three dimensions, Phys. Lett. B 485 (2000), 314–318
- [3] C. Adam, B. Muratori and C. Nash, Multiple zero modes of the Dirac operator in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. D 62 (2000), 085026-1 – 085026-9.
- [4] A.A. Balinsky and W.D. Evans, On the zero modes of Pauli operators, J. Funct. Analysis, 179 (2001), 120–135.
- [5] A.A. Balinsky and W.D. Evans, On the zero modes of Weyl-Dirac operators and their multiplicity, Bull. London Math. Soc., 34 (2002), 236–242.
- [6] A.A. Balinsky and W.D. Evans, Zero modes of Pauli and Weyl-Dirac operators, Advances in differential equations and mathematical physics (Birmingham, AL, 2002), 1–9, Contemp. Math., **327**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 2003.
- [7] A.A. Balinsky, W.D. Evans and Y. Saitō, Dirac-Sobolev inequalities and estimates for the zero modes of massless Dirac operators, J. Math. Phys, 49 (2008), 043514-1 – 043514-10.
- [8] E. Balslev and B. Helffer, Limiting absorption principle and resonances for the Dirac operators, Advances Appl. Math. 13, (1992), 186 - 215.
- [9] L. Bugliaro, C. Fefferman and G.M. Graf, A Lieb-Thirring bound for a magnetic Pauli Hamiltonian, II, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 15 (1999), 593–619.
- [10] D.M. Elton, The local structure of zero mode producing magnetic potentials, Commun. Math. Phys. 229 (2002), 121–139.
- [11] L. Erdös and J.P. Solovej, The kernel of Dirac operators on \mathbb{S}^3 and \mathbb{R}^3 , Rev. Math. Phys. **13** (2001), 1247–1280.
- [12] L. Erdös and J.P. Solovej, Uniform Lieb-Thirring inequality for the three-dimensional Pauli operator with a strong non-homogeneous magnetic field, Ann. Henri Poincaré 5 (2004), 671–741.

18

- [13] L. Erdös and J.P. Solovej, Magnetic Lieb-Thirring inequalities with optimal dependence on the field strength, J. Statist. Phys. 116 (2004), 475–506.
- [14] J. Fröhlich, E.H. Lieb and M. Loss, Stability of Coulomb systems with magnetic fields.
 I. The one-electron Atom, Commun. Math. Phys. 104 (1986), 251–270.
- [15] B. Helffer, J. Nourrigat and X.P. Wang Sur le spectre de l'équation de Dirac (dans R³ ou R²) avec champ magnétique, Ann. sci. l'É.N.S. 22 (1989), 515 -533.
- [16] B. Helffer and B. Parisse, Comparaison entre la décroissance de fonctions propres pour les opérateurs de Dirac et de Klein-Gordon. Application à l'étude de l'effect tunnel, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théor. 60 (1994), 147–187.
- [17] E. Lieb, Private communication (2009).
- [18] M. Loss and H.T. Yau, Stability of Coulomb systems with magnetic fields. III. Zero energy bound states of the Pauli operators, Commun. Math. Phys. 104 (1986), 283– 290.
- [19] P. Pickl, Generalized eigenfunctions for critical potentials with small perturbations, J. Math. Phys. 48 (2007), 123505-1 – 123505-31.
- [20] P. Pickl and D. Dürr, On adiabatic pair creation, Commun. Math. Phys. 282 (2008), 161–198.
- [21] C. Pladdy, Asymptotics of the resolvent of the Dirac operator with a scalar short-range potential, Analysis 21 (2001), 79-97.
- [22] C. Pladdy, Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, Radiation condition for Dirac operators, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 37 (1998), 567-584.
- [23] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical analysis I, Functional Analysis, Revised and enlarged edition, Academic Press, London, 1980.
- [24] M. Reed and B. Simon, Methods of modern mathematical analysis IV, Analysis of operators, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [25] Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, The asymptotic limits of zero modes of massless Dirac operators, Lett. Math. Phys. 83 (2008), 97-106.
- [26] Y. Saitō and T. Umeda, The zero modes and zero resonances of massless Dirac operators, Hokkaido Math. J. 37 (2008), 363 - 388.
- [27] B. Thaller, Dirac particles in magnetic fields, "Recent developments in quantum mechanics," A. Boutet de Monvel et al edts., Kluwer Acadimic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1991.
- [28] B. Thaller, *The Dirac equation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [29] X. P. Wang, Puits multiples pour l'opérateur de Dirac, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Phys. Théor. 43 (1985), 269–319.
- [30] D. R. Yafaev, Exponential decay of eigenfunctions of first order systems, Contemporary Mathematics 447, F. Germinet and P. D. Hislop edts., American Mathematical Society, 2007.
- [31] O. Yamada, On the principle of limiting absorption for the Dirac operators, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. 8 (1972/73), 557–577.
- [32] O. Yamada, Eigenfunction expansions and scattering theory for Dirac operators, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. 11 (1976), 651–689.